A Recursion Operator for the Geodesic Flow on *n*-dimensional Sphere

Kiyonori HOSOKAWA

Ph.D. student, the Prof. Yoshioka Laboratory, Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Tokyo University of Science

> Varna, Bulgaria, June 7th - 12th, 2013

1 Preface

1 Preface

2 Definition

- 1 Preface
- 2 Definition
- 3 Introduction of the recursion operator

- 1 Preface
- 2 Definition
- 3 Introduction of the recursion operator
- 4 Construction of a recursion operator for the geodesic flow on *n*-dimensional sphere

- 1 Preface
- 2 Definition
- 3 Introduction of the recursion operator
- 4 Construction of a recursion operator for the geodesic flow on *n*-dimensional sphere
- 5 Application of a recursion operator for the geodesic flow on n-dimensional sphere

- 1 Preface
- 2 Definition
- 3 Introduction of the recursion operator
- 4 Construction of a recursion operator for the geodesic flow on *n*-dimensional sphere
- 5 Application of a recursion operator for the geodesic flow on n-dimensional sphere
- 6 Conclusion

1. Preface

• For a completely integrable system, the way of finding the first integrals is not formulated in general.

- For a completely integrable system, the way of finding the first integrals is not formulated in general.
- Liouville proved that a system with *n* degrees of freedom is integrable by quadratures when there exist *n* independent first integrals in involution (cf. [1]).

- For a completely integrable system, the way of finding the first integrals is not formulated in general.
- Liouville proved that a system with *n* degrees of freedom is integrable by quadratures when there exist *n* independent first integrals in involution (cf. [1]).
- In classical mechanics, *a completely integrable system* in the sense of Liouville are called simply *an integrable system*.

• Also, integrable systems were characterized by [2], [3], [4] and [5] papers related to the recursion operator since 1980 have been written ([5]).

- Also, integrable systems were characterized by [2], [3], [4] and [5] papers related to the recursion operator since 1980 have been written ([5]).
- The integrable system is characterized by the recursion operator T in the Hamiltonian dynamical system on the cotangent vector bundle T^*M of a manifold M.

- Also, integrable systems were characterized by [2], [3], [4] and [5] papers related to the recursion operator since 1980 have been written ([5]).
- The integrable system is characterized by the recursion operator T in the Hamiltonian dynamical system on the cotangent vector bundle $T^*\mathcal{M}$ of a manifold \mathcal{M} . T is a diagonalizable (1, 1)-tensor field which satisfies certain conditions. In particular, the recursion operator is written in the following form if we choose an action-angle variables (J_k, φ^k) :

- Also, integrable systems were characterized by [2], [3], [4] and [5] papers related to the recursion operator since 1980 have been written ([5]).
- The integrable system is characterized by the recursion operator *T* in the Hamiltonian dynamical system on the cotangent vector bundle *T***M* of a manifold *M*.
 T is a diagonalizable (1, 1)-tensor field which satisfies certain conditions. In particular, the recursion operator is written in the following form if we choose an action-angle variables (*J_k*, φ^k):

$$T = \sum_{k} \lambda^{k} (J_{k}) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial J_{k}} \otimes dJ_{k} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^{k}} \otimes d\varphi^{k} \right),^{(*)}$$

where $\lambda^k(J_k)$ is doubly degenerate eigenvalues.

- Also, integrable systems were characterized by [2], [3], [4] and [5] papers related to the recursion operator since 1980 have been written ([5]).
- The integrable system is characterized by the recursion operator *T* in the Hamiltonian dynamical system on the cotangent vector bundle *T***M* of a manifold *M*.
 T is a diagonalizable (1, 1)-tensor field which satisfies certain conditions. In particular, the recursion operator is written in the following form if we choose an action-angle variables (*J_k*, φ^k):

$$T = \sum_{k} \lambda^{k} (J_{k}) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial J_{k}} \otimes dJ_{k} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^{k}} \otimes d\varphi^{k} \right),^{(*)}$$

where $\lambda^k(J_k)$ is doubly degenerate eigenvalues.

• Functionally independent constants of the motion are obtained by taking each trace of T^k :

$$Tr(T^k), (k = 1, 2, ..., n).$$

• There are some examples about constructing recursion operators from the viewpoint of physics; 1-dimensional harmoic oscilator, Kepler problem, KdV equation, etc.

- There are some examples about constructing recursion operators from the viewpoint of physics; 1-dimensional harmoic oscilator, Kepler problem, KdV equation, etc.
- Now, we consider about a recursion operator from the viewpoint of geometry, specifically for the geodesic flow on *n*-dimensioal sphere *Sⁿ* case.

- There are some examples about constructing recursion operators from the viewpoint of physics; 1-dimensional harmoic oscilator, Kepler problem, KdV equation, etc.
- Now, we consider about a recursion operator from the viewpoint of geometry, specifically for the geodesic flow on *n*-dimensioal sphere *Sⁿ* case.

- There are some examples about constructing recursion operators from the viewpoint of physics; 1-dimensional harmoic oscilator, Kepler problem, KdV equation, etc.
- Now, we consider about a recursion operator from the viewpoint of geometry, specifically for the geodesic flow on *n*-dimensioal sphere *Sⁿ* case.

The purpose of this talk is:

• Introducing the recursion operator for the geodesic flow on S^n that we had obtained,

- There are some examples about constructing recursion operators from the viewpoint of physics; 1-dimensional harmoic oscilator, Kepler problem, KdV equation, etc.
- Now, we consider about a recursion operator from the viewpoint of geometry, specifically for the geodesic flow on *n*-dimensioal sphere *Sⁿ* case.

- Introducing the recursion operator for the geodesic flow on S^n that we had obtained,
- Giving an application of the recursion operator for the geodesic flow on *S*^{*n*};

- There are some examples about constructing recursion operators from the viewpoint of physics; 1-dimensional harmoic oscilator, Kepler problem, KdV equation, etc.
- Now, we consider about a recursion operator from the viewpoint of geometry, specifically for the geodesic flow on *n*-dimensioal sphere *Sⁿ* case.

- Introducing the recursion operator for the geodesic flow on S^n that we had obtained,
- Giving an application of the recursion operator for the geodesic flow on *S*^{*n*}; we obtain
 - a sequence of Abelian symmetries between Hamiltonian vector fields,

- There are some examples about constructing recursion operators from the viewpoint of physics; 1-dimensional harmoic oscilator, Kepler problem, KdV equation, etc.
- Now, we consider about a recursion operator from the viewpoint of geometry, specifically for the geodesic flow on *n*-dimensioal sphere *Sⁿ* case.

- Introducing the recursion operator for the geodesic flow on S^n that we had obtained,
- Giving an application of the recursion operator for the geodesic flow on *S*^{*n*}; we obtain
 - a sequence of Abelian symmetries between Hamiltonian vector fields,
 - a sequence of involutive Hamiltonian functions,

We introduce endomorphisms \hat{T} and \check{T} induced by a (1, 1)-tensor T given in [5]:

We introduce endomorphisms \hat{T} and \check{T} induced by a (1, 1)-tensor T given in [5]:

Definition (Endomorphisms)

Let T be a (1, 1)-tensor field on a manifold \mathcal{M} and we write T : (1, 1)-tensor *s.t.*

$$T=\sum_{i,j=1}^nT_i^jdx^i\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}.$$

We introduce endomorphisms \hat{T} and \check{T} induced by a (1, 1)-tensor T given in [5]:

Definition (Endomorphisms)

Let T be a (1, 1)-tensor field on a manifold \mathcal{M} and we write T : (1, 1)-tensor s.t.

$$T=\sum_{i,j=1}^n T_i^{\ j}dx^i\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}.$$

Then we define endomorphisms \hat{T} and \check{T} by:

$$\begin{split} \hat{T} &: T_p \mathcal{M} \ni X \mapsto \hat{T}X \in T_p \mathcal{M}, \quad \hat{T}X = \sum_{i,j=1}^n T_i^{\ j} X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, \\ \check{T} &: T_p^* \mathcal{M} \ni \alpha \mapsto \check{T}\alpha \in T_p^* \mathcal{M}, \quad \check{T}\alpha = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_j T_i^{\ j} dx^i, \end{split}$$

where a vector field X and a 1-form α *s.t.*

$$X = \sum_{k=1}^{n} X^{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{k}}, \quad \alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k} dx^{k}.$$

Kiyonori HOSOKAWA (Ph.D. student, the Prof. Yoshioka IA Recursion Operator for the Geodesic Flow on n-dimension

And, we introduce a separability of a dynamical vector field ([5]):

And, we introduce a separability of a dynamical vector field ([5]):

Definition (Separability)

A dynamical vector field Δ is said to be *separable on an open subset* $O \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ when there exists a basis $\{e_i\}$ of local vector fields on O *s.t.*

 $\mathcal{L}_{e_i}\langle \Delta, \vartheta^j \rangle \neq 0 \Rightarrow i = j,$

where $\{\vartheta^j\}$ is the dual basis of $\{e_i\}$.

And, we introduce a separability of a dynamical vector field ([5]):

Definition (Separability)

A dynamical vector field Δ is said to be *separable on an open subset* $O \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ when there exists a basis $\{e_i\}$ of local vector fields on O *s.t.*

 $\mathcal{L}_{e_i}\langle \Delta, \vartheta^j \rangle \neq 0 \Rightarrow i = j,$

where $\{\vartheta^j\}$ is the dual basis of $\{e_i\}$. If $O = \mathcal{M}$, we call Δ is separable.

We describe a new characterization of integrable systems. Specifically, we consider a diagonalizable tensor.

We describe a new characterization of integrable systems. Specifically, we consider a diagonalizable tensor.

Theorem (DMSV[5])

Let a vector field Δ is a dynamical vector field on a 2n-dimensional manifold \mathcal{M} and suppose Δ admits a diagonalizable (1, 1)-tensor field T *s.t.*

We describe a new characterization of integrable systems. Specifically, we consider a diagonalizable tensor.

Theorem (DMSV[5])

Let a vector field Δ is a dynamical vector field on a 2n-dimensional manifold \mathcal{M} and suppose Δ admits a diagonalizable (1, 1)-tensor field T *s.t.*

1 *T* is invariant under Δ : $\mathcal{L}_{\Delta}T = 0$,

We describe a new characterization of integrable systems. Specifically, we consider a diagonalizable tensor.

Theorem (DMSV[5])

Let a vector field Δ is a dynamical vector field on a 2n-dimensional manifold \mathcal{M} and suppose Δ admits a diagonalizable (1, 1)-tensor field T *s.t.*

- **1** *T* is invariant under $\Delta : \mathcal{L}_{\Delta}T = 0$,
- **2** *T* has vanishing Nijenhuis torsion : $N_T = 0$,
We describe a new characterization of integrable systems. Specifically, we consider a diagonalizable tensor.

Theorem (DMSV[5])

Let a vector field Δ is a dynamical vector field on a 2n-dimensional manifold \mathcal{M} and suppose Δ admits a diagonalizable (1, 1)-tensor field T *s.t.*

- **1** *T* is invariant under $\Delta : \mathcal{L}_{\Delta}T = 0$,
- **2** *T* has vanishing Nijenhuis torsion : $N_T = 0$,

T has doubly degenerate eigenvalues λ^j with nowhere vanishing differentials : deg λ^j = 2, (dλ^j)_p ≠ 0, ∀p ∈ M, (j = 1,...,n).

We describe a new characterization of integrable systems. Specifically, we consider a diagonalizable tensor.

Theorem (DMSV[5])

Let a vector field Δ is a dynamical vector field on a 2n-dimensional manifold \mathcal{M} and suppose Δ admits a diagonalizable (1, 1)-tensor field T *s.t.*

- **1** *T* is invariant under Δ : $\mathcal{L}_{\Delta}T = 0$,
- **2** *T* has vanishing Nijenhuis torsion : $N_T = 0$,
- T has doubly degenerate eigenvalues λ^j with nowhere vanishing differentials : deg λ^j = 2, (dλ^j)_p ≠ 0, ∀p ∈ M, (j = 1,...,n).

Then, the vector field Δ is separable, completely integrable and Hamiltonian with respect to a certain symplectic structure.

We describe a new characterization of integrable systems. Specifically, we consider a diagonalizable tensor.

Theorem (DMSV[5])

Let a vector field Δ is a dynamical vector field on a 2n-dimensional manifold \mathcal{M} and suppose Δ admits a diagonalizable (1, 1)-tensor field T *s.t.*

- **1** *T* is invariant under Δ : $\mathcal{L}_{\Delta}T = 0$,
- **2** *T* has vanishing Nijenhuis torsion : $N_T = 0$,
- T has doubly degenerate eigenvalues λ^j with nowhere vanishing differentials : deg λ^j = 2, (dλ^j)_p ≠ 0, ∀p ∈ M, (j = 1,...,n).

Then, the vector field Δ is separable, completely integrable and Hamiltonian with respect to a certain symplectic structure.

This *T* is called a recursion operator of the vector field Δ .

The properties of Recursion operator T:

The properties of Recursion operator T:

When (1, 1)-tensor T is a recursion operator in finite dimensional case, we get:

The properties of Recursion operator T:

When (1, 1)-tensor T is a recursion operator in finite dimensional case, we get:

• There exist *n* vector fields Δ_k *s.t.*

$$\Delta_{k+1} = \hat{T} \Delta_k, \quad (k \ge 1).$$

The properties of Recursion operator T:

When (1, 1)-tensor T is a recursion operator in finite dimensional case, we get:

• There exist *n* vector fields Δ_k *s.t.*

$$\Delta_{k+1} = \hat{T} \Delta_k, \quad (k \ge 1).$$

• There exist *n* differential 1-form *s.t*.

$$(d\alpha = 0, d\check{T}\alpha = 0, \mathcal{L}_T = 0) \Rightarrow d(\check{T}^n\alpha) = 0, (k \ge 1).$$

The properties of Recursion operator T:

When (1, 1)-tensor T is a recursion operator in finite dimensional case, we get:

• There exist *n* vector fields $\Delta_k s.t.$

$$\Delta_{k+1} = \hat{T} \Delta_k, \quad (k \ge 1).$$

• There exist *n* differential 1-form *s.t*.

$$(d\alpha = 0, d\check{T}\alpha = 0, \mathcal{L}_T = 0) \Rightarrow d(\check{T}^n\alpha) = 0, (k \ge 1).$$

• Under the flow generated by a vector field Δ , the invaliance of T implies the invaliance of $\hat{T}\Delta_n$, $\check{T}^n\alpha$ and its eigenvalues λ .

The properties of Recursion operator T:

When (1, 1)-tensor T is a recursion operator in finite dimensional case, we get:

• There exist *n* vector fields $\Delta_k s.t.$

$$\Delta_{k+1} = \hat{T} \Delta_k, \quad (k \ge 1).$$

• There exist *n* differential 1-form *s.t*.

$$(d\alpha = 0, d\check{T}\alpha = 0, \mathcal{L}_T = 0) \Rightarrow d(\check{T}^n\alpha) = 0, (k \ge 1).$$

- Under the flow generated by a vector field Δ , the invaliance of T implies the invaliance of $\hat{T}\Delta_n$, $\tilde{T}^n\alpha$ and its eigenvalues λ .
- About the Hamiltonian H and the symplectic structure ω for the system, the all of Δ_k is Hamiltonian vector field when

$$i_{\Delta}\omega = -dH.$$

The properties of Recursion operator T:

When (1, 1)-tensor T is a recursion operator in finite dimensional case, we get:

• There exist *n* vector fields Δ_k *s.t.*

$$\Delta_{k+1} = \hat{T} \Delta_k, \quad (k \ge 1).$$

• There exist *n* differential 1-form *s.t*.

$$(d\alpha = 0, d\check{T}\alpha = 0, \mathcal{L}_T = 0) \Rightarrow d(\check{T}^n\alpha) = 0, (k \ge 1).$$

- Under the flow generated by a vector field Δ , the invaliance of T implies the invaliance of $\hat{T}\Delta_n$, $\tilde{T}^n\alpha$ and its eigenvalues λ .
- About the Hamiltonian H and the symplectic structure ω for the system, the all of Δ_k is Hamiltonian vector field when

$$i_{\Delta}\omega = -dH.$$

• Trace of T^k is the constants of motion of the system:

$$Tr\left(T^{k}\right), \quad (k\geq 1).$$

4. Construction of a recursion operator for the geodesic flow on *n*-dimensional sphere

We had obtained a recursion operator of n-dimensional sphere S^n on our last research paper.

We had obtained a recursion operator of n-dimensional sphere S^n on our last research paper.

The process is:

We had obtained a recursion operator of n-dimensional sphere S^n on our last research paper.

The process is:

1 Considering the canonical Riemaniann metric on S^n .

We had obtained a recursion operator of n-dimensional sphere S^n on our last research paper.

The process is:

- 1 Considering the canonical Riemaniann metric on S^n .
- **2** Calculating the Hamiltonian *H* from the metric.

We had obtained a recursion operator of n-dimensional sphere S^n on our last research paper.

The process is:

- 1 Considering the canonical Riemaniann metric on S^n .
- 2 Calculating the Hamiltonian *H* from the metric.
- **3** Discribing the Hamiltonian system (H, Δ, ω) by the action-angle variables (J_k, φ^k) .

We had obtained a recursion operator of n-dimensional sphere S^n on our last research paper.

The process is:

- 1 Considering the canonical Riemaniann metric on S^n .
- 2 Calculating the Hamiltonian **H** from the metric.
- **3** Discribing the Hamiltonian system (H, Δ , ω) by the action-angle variables (J_k , φ^k).

then we get a recursion operator T.

We had obtained a recursion operator of n-dimensional sphere S^n on our last research paper.

The process is:

- 1 Considering the canonical Riemaniann metric on S^n .
- 2 Calculating the Hamiltonian *H* from the metric.

3 Discribing the Hamiltonian system (H, Λ , ω) by the action-angle variables (J_k , φ^k). then we get a recursion operator T.

And, the constants of motion is written by the trace of T^k :

 $\{Tr(T), Tr(T^2), \ldots, Tr(T^n)\}.$

() Considering the canonical Riemaniann metric on *Sⁿ*

1 Considering the canonical Riemaniann metric on Sⁿ

Using the spherical polar coordinate for an *n*-dimensional sphere of radius *a*, we consider an embedding ϕ to the sphere:

	$(a \cos q_1)$
$\phi(q^1,\ldots,q^n) =$	$a\sin q_1\cos q_2$
	•••••
	$a\sin q_1\cdots\sin q_{n-2}\cos q_{n-1}$
	$(a \sin q_1 \cdots \sin q_{n-2} \sin q_{n-1})$

.

1 Considering the canonical Riemaniann metric on Sⁿ

Using the spherical polar coordinate for an *n*-dimensional sphere of radius *a*, we consider an embedding ϕ to the sphere:

$$\phi(q^1,\ldots,q^n) = \begin{pmatrix} a\cos q_1 \\ a\sin q_1\cos q_2 \\ \cdots \\ a\sin q_1\cdots\sin q_{n-2}\cos q_{n-1} \\ a\sin q_1\cdots\sin q_{n-2}\sin q_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

.

We see that

$$g_{ij} = \rho_i^2 \delta_{ij}, \quad \left(i, j = 1, \dots, n, \ \rho_1 = a, \ \rho_\ell = a \prod_{k=1}^{\ell-1} \sin q_k, \ (\ell = 2, \dots, n)\right).$$

2 Calculating the Hamiltonian *H* from the metric

2 Calculating the Hamiltonian *H* from the metric

The corresponding Hamiltonian function H is

$$H(q,p) = \frac{1}{2a^2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} P_k \cdot p_k^2, \quad P_k = \begin{cases} 1, & (k=1), \\ \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\sin^2 q_i}, & (otherwise). \end{cases}$$
(1)

3 Discribing the Hamiltonian system (H, Δ, ω) - 1

3 Discribing the Hamiltonian system (H, Δ, ω) - 1

The Hamilton-Jacobi equation for (1) is

$$E = \frac{1}{2a^2}\sum_{k=1}^n P_k\left(\frac{dS_k}{dq_k}\right)^2, \quad S = \sum_{i=1}^n S_i(q_i),$$

where S is generating function.

3 Discribing the Hamiltonian system (H, Δ , ω) - 2

3 Discribing the Hamiltonian system (H, Δ, ω) - 2

By the variable transformation, we assume the following:

$$Q_{\ell} := \left\{ R_{\ell} - \left(\frac{dS_{\ell}}{dq_{\ell}}\right)^2 \right\} \sin^2 q_{\ell} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-\ell} P_k \left(\frac{dS_{\ell+k}}{dq_{\ell+k}}\right)^2,$$

where

$$R_{\ell} = \begin{cases} 2a^{2}E, & (\ell = 1), \\ Q_{\ell-1}, & (otherwise), \end{cases} \quad P_{k} = \begin{cases} 1, & (k = 1), \\ \prod_{i=\ell}^{\ell+k-2} \frac{1}{\sin^{2} q_{i}}, & (otherwise). \end{cases}$$

3 Discribing the Hamiltonian system (H, Δ, ω) - 2

By the variable transformation, we assume the following:

$$Q_{\ell} := \left\{ R_{\ell} - \left(\frac{dS_{\ell}}{dq_{\ell}}\right)^2 \right\} \sin^2 q_{\ell} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-\ell} P_k \left(\frac{dS_{\ell+k}}{dq_{\ell+k}}\right)^2,$$

where

$$R_{\ell} = \begin{cases} 2a^{2}E, & (\ell = 1), \\ Q_{\ell-1}, & (otherwise), \end{cases} P_{k} = \begin{cases} 1, & (k = 1), \\ \prod_{i=\ell}^{\ell+k-2} \frac{1}{\sin^{2}q_{i}}, & (otherwise). \end{cases}$$

 Q_{ℓ} , E and a are constants, so we can set $\alpha_1 := \sqrt{2a^2E}$ and $\alpha_{\ell} := \sqrt{Q_{\ell-1}}$, therefore,

$$p_{\ell} = \frac{dS_{\ell}}{dq_{\ell}} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\alpha_{\ell}^2 - \frac{\alpha_{\ell+1}^2}{\sin^2 q_{\ell}}}, & (\ell = 1, \dots, n-1), \\ \alpha_{\ell}, & (\ell = n). \end{cases}$$
(2)

3 Discribing the Hamiltonian system (H, Δ, ω) - **3**

3 Discribing the Hamiltonian system (H, Δ, ω) - **3**

Then, let $J_{\ell} := \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint p_{\ell} dq_{\ell}$, we obtain the action variables $J_{\ell}(q, p)$:

$$J_{\ell} = \begin{cases} \alpha_{\ell} - \alpha_{\ell+1}, & (\ell = 1, \dots, n-1), \\ \alpha_{n}, & (\ell = n), \end{cases}$$

3 Discribing the Hamiltonian system (H, Δ, ω) - **3**

Then, let
$$J_{\ell} := \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint p_{\ell} dq_{\ell}$$
, we obtain the action variables $J_{\ell}(q, p)$:

$$J_{\ell} = \begin{cases} \alpha_{\ell} - \alpha_{\ell+1}, & (\ell = 1, \dots, n-1), \\ \alpha_{n}, & (\ell = n), \end{cases}$$

hence

$$\alpha_{\ell} = \sum_{k=\ell}^{n} J_k.$$
(3)

3 Discribing the Hamiltonian system (H, Δ, ω) - 4

3 Discribing the Hamiltonian system (H, Δ, ω) - 4

Therefore, from (1), (2) and (3), H is written as a function of J_i ,

$$H(J) = \frac{1}{2a^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n J_i \right)^2.$$

3 Discribing the Hamiltonian system (H, Δ, ω) - 4

Therefore, from (1), (2) and (3), H is written as a function of J_i ,

$$H(J) = \frac{1}{2a^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n J_i \right)^2.$$

The correspondig Hamiltonian vector field Δ is

$$\Delta = \{H, \cdot\} = \frac{1}{a^2} \sum_{i,\ell=1}^n J_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_\ell}$$

3 Discribing the Hamiltonian system (H, Δ, ω) - 4

Therefore, from (1), (2) and (3), H is written as a function of J_i ,

$$H(J) = \frac{1}{2a^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n J_i \right)^2.$$

The correspondig Hamiltonian vector field Δ is

$$\Delta = \{H, \cdot\} = \frac{1}{a^2} \sum_{i,\ell=1}^n J_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_\ell}$$

and the symplectic form ω is

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n} dJ_i \wedge d\varphi^i.$$
⁽⁴⁾

From the above,
From the above, the tensor field T defined by

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,\ell} \left\{ \left({}^{\ell} S \right)^{\ell}{}_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial J_{i}} \otimes dJ_{\ell} + S^{i}{}_{\ell} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^{i}} \otimes d\varphi^{\ell} \right\}$$

(5)

From the above, the tensor field T defined by

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,\ell} \left\{ \left({}^{t} \mathcal{S} \right)^{\ell}_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial J_{i}} \otimes dJ_{\ell} + \mathcal{S}^{i}_{\ell} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^{i}} \otimes d\varphi^{\ell} \right\}$$
(5)

where

$$\begin{cases} S_{1}^{1} = J_{1}, \\ S_{1}^{i} = -\sum_{k} J_{k} + J_{1} + 2J_{i}, \quad (i > 2), \\ S_{\ell}^{\ell} = \sum_{k} J_{k} - J_{\ell}, \quad (\ell > 2), \\ S_{\ell}^{i} = J_{\ell} \quad (otherwise) \end{cases}$$
(6)

From the above, the tensor field T defined by

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,\ell} \left\{ \left({}^{t} \mathcal{S} \right)^{\ell}_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial J_{i}} \otimes dJ_{\ell} + \mathcal{S}^{i}_{\ell} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^{i}} \otimes d\varphi^{\ell} \right\}$$
(5)

where

$$\begin{cases} S_{1}^{1} = J_{1}, \\ S_{1}^{i} = -\sum_{k} J_{k} + J_{1} + 2J_{i}, \quad (i > 2), \\ S_{\ell}^{\ell} = \sum_{k} J_{k} - J_{\ell}, \quad (\ell > 2), \\ S_{\ell}^{i} = J_{\ell} \quad (otherwise) \end{cases}$$
(6)

fullfills the conditions for the recursion operator.

Thus, we obtained the following proposition from the above:

Thus, we obtained the following proposition from the above:

Proposition (HT)

When we introduced a canonical Riemannian metric g on S^n , the geodesic flow of $T^{*}S^n$ has a recursion operator T. T is written by means of action-angle variables $(J(q, p), \varphi(q, p))$:

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,\ell} \left\{ \left({}^{\ell} S \right)^{\ell}_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial J_{i}} \otimes dJ_{\ell} + S^{i}_{\ell} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{i}} \otimes d\varphi_{\ell} \right\}$$

where (q, p) is a local coordinate system on T^*S^n .

Thus, we obtained the following proposition from the above:

Proposition (HT)

When we introduced a canonical Riemannian metric g on S^n , the geodesic flow of T^*S^n has a recursion operator T. T is written by means of action-angle variables $(J(q, p), \varphi(q, p))$:

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,\ell} \left\{ \left({}^{\ell} S \right)^{\ell}_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial J_{i}} \otimes dJ_{\ell} + S^{i}_{\ell} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{i}} \otimes d\varphi_{\ell} \right\}$$

where (q, p) is a local coordinate system on T^*S^n .

Example (3-dimensional case)

Thus, we obtained the following proposition from the above:

Proposition (HT)

When we introduced a canonical Riemannian metric g on S^n , the geodesic flow of T^*S^n has a recursion operator T. T is written by means of action-angle variables $(J(q, p), \varphi(q, p))$:

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,\ell} \left\{ \left({}^{\ell} S \right)^{\ell}_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial J_{i}} \otimes dJ_{\ell} + S^{i}_{\ell} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{i}} \otimes d\varphi_{\ell} \right\}$$

where (q, p) is a local coordinate system on T^*S^n .

Example (3-dimensional case)

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} J_1 & J_2 - J_3 & J_3 - J_2 & & & O \\ J_2 & J_1 + J_3 & J_2 & & & & \\ J_3 & J_3 & J_1 + J_2 & & & & \\ & & & J_1 & J_2 & J_3 \\ & & & & J_2 - J_3 & J_1 + J_3 & J_3 \\ O & & & & J_3 - J_2 & J_2 & J_1 + J_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

5. Application of the recursion operator for the geodesic flow on *n*-dimensional sphere

Constants of the motion

Constants of the motion

The constants of motion F_k of the geodesic flow is:

$$F_k = Tr(T^k) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^k, \quad (k = 1, ..., n),$$

where λ_i are the eigenvalues of *T*.

Constants of the motion

The constants of motion F_k of the geodesic flow is:

$$F_k = Tr(T^k) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^k, \quad (k = 1, ..., n),$$

where λ_i are the eigenvalues of *T*.

Example (3-dimensional case)

Constants of the motion

The constants of motion F_k of the geodesic flow is:

$$F_k = Tr(T^k) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^k, \quad (k = 1, ..., n),$$

where λ_i are the eigenvalues of T.

Example (3-dimensional case)

$$\begin{cases} F_1 = 3J_1 + J_2 + J_3 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3, \\ F_2 = 3(J_1^2 + J_2^2 + J_3^2) + 2(J_1J_2 - J_2J_3 + J_3J_1) = \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2, \\ F_3 = \cdots = \lambda_1^3 + \lambda_2^3 + \lambda_3^3. \end{cases}$$

Constants of the motion

The constants of motion F_k of the geodesic flow is:

$$F_k = Tr(T^k) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^k, \quad (k = 1, ..., n),$$

where λ_i are the eigenvalues of **T**.

Example (3-dimensional case)

$$\begin{cases}
F_1 = 3J_1 + J_2 + J_3 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3, \\
F_2 = 3(J_1^2 + J_2^2 + J_3^2) + 2(J_1J_2 - J_2J_3 + J_3J_1) = \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2, \\
F_3 = \cdots = \lambda_1^3 + \lambda_2^3 + \lambda_3^3.
\end{cases}$$

Thus, the constants of motion are obtained by traces of the kth powers of T.

The symplectic form ω_1 , which is induced by (5) and (6), is written as follows:

$$\omega_1 = \sum_{i,\ell} S^i_{\ \ell} dJ_i \wedge d\varphi^\ell.$$

The symplectic form ω_1 , which is induced by (5) and (6), is written as follows:

$$\omega_1 = \sum_{i,\ell} S^i_{\ \ell} dJ_i \wedge d\varphi^\ell.$$

We get

$$dK_i = \sum_{k=1}^n ({}^tS)^i_{\ k} dJ_k, \quad \omega_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n dK_i \wedge d\alpha_i, \quad (\alpha_i = \varphi_i).$$

The symplectic form ω_1 , which is induced by (5) and (6), is written as follows:

$$\omega_1 = \sum_{i,\ell} S^i_{\ \ell} dJ_i \wedge d\varphi^\ell.$$

We get

$$dK_i = \sum_{k=1}^n ({}^tS)^i_{\ k} dJ_k, \quad \omega_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n dK_i \wedge d\alpha_i, \quad (\alpha_i = \varphi_i).$$

The ω_1 can be considered as the Lie derivertive of the simplectic form ω given by equation (4) with respect to the vector field

$$\Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^n K_i \frac{\partial}{\partial J_i},$$

The symplectic form ω_1 , which is induced by (5) and (6), is written as follows:

$$\omega_1 = \sum_{i,\ell} S^i_{\ \ell} dJ_i \wedge d\varphi^\ell.$$

We get

$$dK_i = \sum_{k=1}^n ({}^tS)^i_{\ k} dJ_k, \quad \omega_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n dK_i \wedge d\alpha_i, \quad (\alpha_i = \varphi_i).$$

The ω_1 can be considered as the Lie derivertive of the simplectic form ω given by equation (4) with respect to the vector field

$$\Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^n K_i \frac{\partial}{\partial J_i},$$

therefore,

$$\omega_1=\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma}\omega.$$

A sequence of Abelian symmetries (Γ -scheme[5])

A sequence of Abelian symmetries (Γ -scheme[5])

We can set the new vector fields Δ_{i+1} as follows:

 $\Delta_{i+1} := [\Delta_i, \Gamma], \quad \Delta_0 = \Delta$

A sequence of Abelian symmetries (Γ -scheme[5])

We can set the new vector fields Δ_{i+1} as follows:

$$\Delta_{i+1} := [\Delta_i, \Gamma], \quad \Delta_0 = \Delta = \frac{J_1 + \cdots + J_n}{2a^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_1} + \cdots + \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_n} \right),$$

A sequence of Abelian symmetries (Γ -scheme[5])

We can set the new vector fields Δ_{i+1} as follows:

$$\Delta_{i+1} := [\Delta_i, \Gamma], \quad \Delta_0 = \Delta = \frac{J_1 + \cdots + J_n}{2a^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_1} + \cdots + \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_n} \right),$$

so that

$$\Delta_{i+1} = (-1)^{i+1} \frac{(i+1)! (J_1 + \dots + J_n)^{i+2}}{2^{i+1}a^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_1} + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_n}\right), \ (i=0,\dots,n-1).$$

A sequence of Abelian symmetries (Γ -scheme[5])

We can set the new vector fields Δ_{i+1} as follows:

$$\Delta_{i+1} := [\Delta_i, \Gamma], \quad \Delta_0 = \Delta = \frac{J_1 + \cdots + J_n}{2a^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_1} + \cdots + \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_n} \right),$$

so that

$$\Delta_{i+1} = (-1)^{i+1} \frac{(i+1)! (J_1 + \dots + J_n)^{i+2}}{2^{i+1}a^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_1} + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_n}\right), (i=0,\dots,n-1).$$

The generated Δ_i by the commutator are the Hamiltonian vector field which commute between them:

$$[\Delta_i, \Delta_\ell] = 0,$$

A sequence of Abelian symmetries (Γ -scheme[5])

We can set the new vector fields Δ_{i+1} as follows:

$$\Delta_{i+1} := [\Delta_i, \Gamma], \quad \Delta_0 = \Delta = \frac{J_1 + \cdots + J_n}{2a^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_1} + \cdots + \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_n} \right),$$

so that

$$\Delta_{i+1} = (-1)^{i+1} \frac{(i+1)! (J_1 + \dots + J_n)^{i+2}}{2^{i+1}a^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_1} + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_n}\right), (i=0,\dots,n-1).$$

The generated Δ_i by the commutator are the Hamiltonian vector field which commute between them:

$$[\Delta_i, \Delta_\ell] = 0,$$

and corresponding Hamiltonian function is

$$H_{i+1} = (-1)^{i+1} \frac{1}{(i+3) \cdot 2^{i+1}a^2} (J_1 + \dots + J_n)^{i+3}.$$

In general, from a recursion operator T, given by (5) and (6), the commutator generates a sequence of Abelian symmetries between each Δ_{i+1} *s.t.*

$$\Delta_{i+1} := [\Delta_i, \Gamma]. \tag{7}$$

In general, from a recursion operator T, given by (5) and (6), the commutator generates a sequence of Abelian symmetries between each $\Delta_{i+1} s.t$.

$$\Delta_{i+1} := [\Delta_i, \Gamma]. \tag{7}$$

Thus, we obtained the following proposition:

In general, from a recursion operator T, given by (5) and (6), the commutator generates a sequence of Abelian symmetries between each Δ_{i+1} *s.t.*

$$\Delta_{i+1} := [\Delta_i, \Gamma]. \tag{7}$$

Thus, we obtained the following proposition:

Proposition (1)

In the **n***-dimensional sphere case, there exists the sequence of Abelian symmetries, generated by* (7), **s.t.**

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_0 = \Delta = \frac{J_1 + \dots + J_n}{2a^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_1} + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_n} \right), \\ \Delta_{i+1} = (-1)^{i+1} \frac{(i+1)! (J_1 + \dots + J_n)^{i+2}}{2^{i+1}a^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_1} + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_n} \right), (i = 0, \dots, n-1). \end{cases}$$

And, the corresponding Hamiltonian function is

$$H_{i+1} = (-1)^{i+1} \frac{1}{(i+3) \cdot 2^{i+1} a^2} (J_1 + \dots + J_n)^{i+3}.$$

 \Rightarrow The geodesic flow on *n*-dimensional sphere has a recursion operator *T*.

- \Rightarrow The geodesic flow on *n*-dimensional sphere has a recursion operator *T*.
- \Rightarrow Using the properties of the *T*, we got a sequence of Aberian symmetric vector fields and a sequence of involutive Hamiltonian function.

- \Rightarrow The geodesic flow on *n*-dimensional sphere has a recursion operator *T*.
- \Rightarrow Using the properties of the *T*, we got a sequence of Aberian symmetric vector fields and a sequence of involutive Hamiltonian function.
- \star Is there a T_1 ,

- \Rightarrow The geodesic flow on *n*-dimensional sphere has a recursion operator *T*.
- \Rightarrow Using the properties of the *T*, we got a sequence of Aberian symmetric vector fields and a sequence of involutive Hamiltonian function.
- **★** Is there a T_1 , likewise the case of the Minkowski metric?

- \Rightarrow The geodesic flow on *n*-dimensional sphere has a recursion operator *T*.
- \Rightarrow Using the properties of the *T*, we got a sequence of Aberian symmetric vector fields and a sequence of involutive Hamiltonian function.
- ★ Is there a T_1 , likewise the case of the Minkowski metric? If there is, $T_1 = T$ or $T_1 \neq T$?

- \Rightarrow The geodesic flow on *n*-dimensional sphere has a recursion operator *T*.
- \Rightarrow Using the properties of the *T*, we got a sequence of Aberian symmetric vector fields and a sequence of involutive Hamiltonian function.
- ★ Is there a T_1 , likewise the case of the Minkowski metric? If there is, $T_1 = T$ or $T_1 \neq T$? (WIP)

- \Rightarrow The geodesic flow on *n*-dimensional sphere has a recursion operator *T*.
- \Rightarrow Using the properties of the *T*, we got a sequence of Aberian symmetric vector fields and a sequence of involutive Hamiltonian function.
- ★ Is there a T_1 , likewise the case of the Minkowski metric? If there is, $T_1 = T$ or $T_1 \neq T$? (WIP)
- \star How about on the other geometric structure?

- \Rightarrow The geodesic flow on *n*-dimensional sphere has a recursion operator *T*.
- \Rightarrow Using the properties of the *T*, we got a sequence of Aberian symmetric vector fields and a sequence of involutive Hamiltonian function.
- ★ Is there a T_1 , likewise the case of the Minkowski metric? If there is, $T_1 = T$ or $T_1 \neq T$? (WIP)
- ★ How about on the other geometric structure? (WIP)

References

- V. I. Arnold, Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics, Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- S. De Filippo, G. Marmo, M. Salerno, and G. Vilasi, A new characterization of completely integrable systems, Il N. Cimento B83, 97 (1984).
- S. De Filippo, G. Marmo, and G. Vilasi, A geometrical setting for the Lax representation, Phys. Lett. B117(6), 418 (1982).
- G. Marmo, G. Vilasi, When do recursion operators generate new conservation laws?, Phys. Lett. B277 (1992), no. 1-2, 137-140.
- G. Vilasi, Hamiltonian dynamics, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2001.
Thank you for your attention!