Constraints and Symmetries in Mechanics of Affine Motion B. Gołubowska, V. Kovalchuk, J.J. Sławianowski

> Institute of Fundamental Technological Research Polish Academy of Sciences 5^B , Pawińskiego str., 02-106 Warsaw, Poland

XVth International Conference on Geometry, Integrability and Quantization Sts. Constantine and Elena, Varna, Bulgaria June 7–12, 2013





Plan of the presentation:

- Affine motion and affine bodies
- Special solutions of unconstrained motion:
 - Gyroscopic constraints
 - Isochoric constraints (incompressible body)
 - Constraints implied by linear conformal group (rotations and dilatations)
 - Constraints of purely rotation-free affine motion
- Elimination of reaction forces:
 - d'Alembert prescription
 - Vakonomic prescription
- Nonholonomic constraints of rotation-free affine motion:
 - Polar decomposition
 - Vakonomic lagrangian and resulting equations of motion
 - Usual (non-vakonomic) constraints and equations of motion
- Nonlinear vakonomic constraints



Home Page	
Title Page	
Contents	
44	••
•	
Page 2 of 23	
Go Back	
Full Screen	
Close	
Quit	

• Affine motion and affine bodies

We describe the configuration of an affine body by

$$x^{i}(r,\varphi;t) = r^{i}(t) + \varphi^{i}{}_{K}(t)a^{K},$$

where x^i are spatial variables, r^i are coordinates of the centre of mass, $\varphi^i{}_K$ are internal (relative) parameters, and a^K are material variables.

To describe equations of motion we use the following definitions:

• the total mass of the body and the co-moving (constant) tensor of inertia in the material space

$$M = \int d\mu, \qquad J^{KL} = \int a^K a^L d\mu(a)$$

• when the centre of mass is placed at $a^K = 0$, then

$$J^K = \int a^K d\mu(a) = 0$$

• the total force and the spatial components of the co-moving dipole of forces distribution

$$F^{i} = \int \mathcal{F}^{i}(a)d\mu(a), \qquad N^{ij} = \int \varphi^{i}{}_{K}\varphi^{j}{}_{L}a^{K}a^{L}d\mu(a) = \varphi^{i}{}_{K}\varphi^{j}{}_{L}\int a^{K}a^{L}d\mu(a).$$





• Affine motion and affine bodies (cont.)

The equations of motion can be written in the following form:

$$M\frac{d^2r^i}{dt^2} = F^i, \qquad \varphi^i{}_K\frac{d^2\varphi^j{}_L}{dt^2}J^{KL} = N^{ij}.$$

Alternative balance forms of the above equations of motion:

$$\frac{dp^i}{dt} = F^i, \qquad \frac{dK^{ij}}{dt} = \frac{d\varphi^i{}_K}{dt} \frac{d\varphi^j{}_L}{dt} J^{KL} + N^{ij},$$

where p^i is a linear momentum and K is an affine spin:

$$p^{i} = M \frac{dr^{i}}{dt}, \qquad K^{ij} = \varphi^{i}{}_{K} \frac{d\varphi^{j}{}_{L}}{dt} J^{KL}$$

The angular momentum (spin) $S^{ij} = K^{ij} - K^{ji}$ is conserved, if N^{ij} is symmetric:

$$\frac{dS^{ij}}{dt} = N^{ij} - N^{ji}.$$

In other words:

$$\frac{dp^i}{dt} = F^i, \qquad \frac{dK^{ij}}{dt} = \Omega^i{}_m K^{mj} + N^{ij},$$

where the affine velocity, called also Eringen's "gyration", is

$$\Omega^{i}{}_{j} = \frac{d\varphi^{i}{}_{A}}{dt}\varphi^{-1A}{}_{j}, \qquad \widehat{\Omega}^{A}{}_{B} = \varphi^{-1A}{}_{i}\Omega^{i}{}_{j}\varphi^{j}{}_{B}.$$





• Affine motion and affine bodies (cont.)

If Lagrangian is given by

$$L = T - V\left(r^{i}, \varphi^{i}_{K}\right),$$

where the kinetic energy is

$$T = T_{\rm tr} + T_{\rm int} = \frac{M}{2} g_{ij} \frac{dr^i}{dt} \frac{dr^j}{dt} + \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} \frac{d\varphi^i{}_K}{dt} \frac{d\varphi^j{}_L}{dt} J^{KL},$$

then the forces and the momentum of forces are

$$F^i = -g^{ij} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r^j}, \qquad N^{ij} = -\varphi^i{}_A \frac{\partial V}{\partial \varphi^k{}_A} g^{kj}.$$

There is also another formula:

$$\frac{dK^{ij}}{dt} = N^{ij} + 2\frac{\partial T_{int}}{\partial g_{ij}}.$$

When there exist dissipative forces non-derivable from Lagrangian, then there appear some additional terms. In the simplest case, we choose them just linear or quadratic in generalized velocities.





• Gyroscopic constraints

There are some additional geometric, namely group-implied, forces imposed on the system. For example, gyroscopic constraints (pseudo-holonomic constraints of rigid motion) imply that Ω^{i}_{j} , $\widehat{\Omega}^{A}_{B}$ are respectively g- and η -skew-symmetric angular velocities in spatial and co-moving representations,

$$\Omega^{i}{}_{j} = -\Omega_{j}{}^{i} = -g_{jk}\Omega^{k}{}_{l}g^{li}, \qquad \widehat{\Omega}^{A}{}_{B} = -\widehat{\Omega}_{B}{}^{A} = -\eta_{BC}\widehat{\Omega}^{C}{}_{D}\eta^{DA},$$

where g is the metric tensor of the physical space and η is the material metric.

It is easy to see that the above conditions are holonomic and may be written down as the conditions of isometry,

$$g_{ij}\varphi^i{}_A\varphi^j{}_B = \eta_{AB}$$

Then the reaction moments N_R are symmetric,

$$N_{Rij} = N_{Rj}$$

and our equations are independent of explicitly non-specified reactions. Of course, gyroscopic reactions do not vanish, but their full tensor contractions with skew-symmetric affine virtual velocities (angular velocities) are vanishing in virtue of constraints.

So, if we are taking the skew-symmetric part of original equations, we can eliminate reaction moments and then obtain the effective equations of motion.





• Isochoric constraints (incompressible body)

In the case of incompressible body (isochoric constraints) the traces of affine velocities vanish:

Tr $\Omega = \Omega^i{}_i = 0.$

The total contractions of such virtual Ω -s with the reaction affine moment N_R must vanish:

$$N_R{}^{ij}\Omega_{ji} = N_R{}^{ij}\Omega^k{}_ig_{jk} = 0.$$

It is easy to see that then reactions are pure traces,

$$N_R{}^i{}_j = \lambda \delta^i{}_j, \qquad N_R{}^{ij} = \lambda g^{ij},$$

where

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr} N_R = \frac{1}{n} g_{ij} N_R^{ij}.$$

So, to eliminate the Lagrange multiplier λ , we must take the constraints condition (i.e., det $\varphi = \text{const}$) jointly with the *g*-traceless part of the initial equation itself:

$$\varphi^{i}{}_{A}\frac{d^{2}\varphi^{j}{}_{B}}{dt^{2}}J^{AB} - \frac{1}{n}g_{ab}\varphi^{a}{}_{A}\frac{d^{2}\varphi^{b}{}_{B}}{dt^{2}}J^{AB}g^{ij} = N^{ij} - \frac{1}{n}g_{ab}N^{ab}g^{ij}$$





• Constraints implied by linear conformal group (rotations and dilatations)

In such a case an affine velocity (gyration) has the form:

$$\Omega^{i}{}_{j} = \omega^{i}{}_{j} + \alpha \delta^{i}{}_{j}$$

where ω_{j}^{i} is the g-skew-symmetric angular velocity, and α is an arbitrary real, dilatational parameter, so that

$$g_{ij}\varphi^i{}_A\varphi^j{}_B = \lambda\eta_{AB}, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

The reaction-free equations of motion consist of the skew-symmetric part of the original equation and of the g-trace of that equation, and reaction moments N_R^{ij} are symmetric and g-traceless:

$$\begin{split} \varphi^{i}{}_{A}\frac{d^{2}\varphi^{j}{}_{B}}{dt^{2}}J^{AB} - \varphi^{j}{}_{A}\frac{d^{2}\varphi^{i}{}_{B}}{dt^{2}}J^{AB} &= N^{ij} - N^{j}\\ g_{ij}\varphi^{i}{}_{A}\frac{d^{2}\varphi^{j}{}_{B}}{dt^{2}}J^{AB} &= g_{ij}N^{ij}. \end{split}$$

• Constraints of purely rotation-free affine motion

It is a very interesting example of nonholonomic constraints, when Ω is g-symmetric (the only geometrically correct definition):

$$\Omega^i{}_j - \Omega^j{}^i = \Omega^i{}_j - g_{jk}g^{il}\Omega^k{}_l = 0.$$

Then the reactions forces are anti-symmetric. So, the above equation must be joined with the symmetric part of equations of motion as balance laws:

$$\varphi^i{}_A\frac{d^2\varphi^j{}_B}{dt^2}J^{AB} + \varphi^j{}_A\frac{d^2\varphi^i{}_B}{dt^2}J^{AB} = N^{ij} + N^{ji}.$$





• Elimination of reaction forces: d'Alembert prescription

Let Lagrangian of the dynamical system be $L(q, \dot{q})$, i.e., it is a function of generalized coordinates q^1, \ldots, q^n and their velocities, but we can also take the time into a consideration explicitly, i.e., $L(t, q, \dot{q})$. Then the constraints are given by the following expressions:

 $F_a(q, \dot{q}) = 0$ $(F_a(t, q, \dot{q}) = 0), \quad a = 1, \dots, m.$

In applications mostly often we have the constraints linear in velocities:

$$F_a\left(q,\dot{q}\right) = \omega_{ai}\left(q\right)\dot{q}^i.$$

Then the d'Alembert principle give us the following equations of motion:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} = R_i,$$

where R_i are reaction forces, which vanish on velocities compatible with constraints:

$$\omega_{ai}\left(q\right)\dot{q}^{i}=0, \qquad \text{i.e.}, \qquad R_{i}\dot{q}^{i}=0.$$

This implies that

$$R_i = \lambda^a \omega_{ai}$$





• d'Alembert prescription (cont.)

By analogy the similar expressions can be written also for systems with dissipative forces. The nonconstrained dynamics is given by the following equations of motion:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} = D_i,$$

where D_i are covariant vectors of non-variational, e.g., friction forces. The corresponding constrained systems is given by the expressions:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^{i}} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^{i}} = D_{i} + R_{i}$$

$$F_{a}\left(q(t), \dot{q}(t)\right) = \omega_{ai}(q)\dot{q}^{i} = 0$$

where R_i are the reaction forces.





• Elimination of reaction forces: Vakonomic prescription

The variational principle constrained by $F_a = 0$ is given by the following expressions:

 $\delta \int L\left(q(t), \dot{q}(t)\right) dt = 0, \qquad F_a\left(q(t), \dot{q}(t)\right) = 0,$

where the variations $\delta q^{i}(t)$ are subject to constraints.

The Lusternik theorem give us that the above variational principle is equivalent to the corresponding non-restricted principle:

$$\delta \int L\left[\mu\right](q(t), \dot{q}(t))dt = 0$$

where μ is the Lagrange multiplier and $L[\mu]$ is given by

$$L[\mu](q(t), \dot{q}(t)) = L(q(t), \dot{q}(t)) - \mu^{a} F_{a}(q(t), \dot{q}(t)).$$

Mathematically here μ^a are some a priori unknown functions of time.





• Linear vakonomic constraints

The variational principle for $L[\mu]$ implies that for constraints that are linear in velocities,

$$F_a(q(t), \dot{q}(t)) = \omega_{ai}(q(t))\dot{q}^i(t)$$

we can write the following equations of motion:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^{i}} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^{i}} = \frac{d\mu^{a}}{dt}\omega_{ai} - \mu^{a}\left(\frac{\partial\omega_{aj}}{\partial q^{i}} - \frac{\partial\omega_{ai}}{\partial q^{j}}\right)\dot{q}^{j}$$
$$F_{a}(q(t),\dot{q}(t)) = \omega_{ai}(q(t))\dot{q}^{i}(t) = 0.$$

This is the system of (n + m) differential equations for the (n + m) variables $q^{i}(t)$ and $\mu^{a}(t)$ as functions of time.

Correspondingly the constraints reactions are given as follows:

$$R_i = \frac{d\mu^a}{dt}\omega_{ai} + \mu^a \left(\frac{\partial\omega_{ai}}{\partial q^j} - \frac{\partial\omega_{aj}}{\partial q^i}\right)\frac{dq^j}{dt}.$$





• Elimination of reaction forces: Linear constraints (summary)

So, there are two prescriptions for calculating R_i , namely:

1. d'Alembert prescription:

$$R_i = \lambda^a \omega_{ai}, \qquad \text{i.e.}, \qquad R_i \dot{q}^i = 0$$

for every virtual velocity satisfying the constraints,

2. Vaconomic prescription:

$$R_i = \frac{d\mu^a}{dt}\omega_{ai} + \mu^a \left(\frac{\partial\omega_{ai}}{\partial q^j} - \frac{\partial\omega_{aj}}{\partial q^i}\right)\frac{dq^j}{dt}.$$

• Holonomic constraints

For the holonomic constraints

$$F_a(q) = 0, \qquad a = 1, \dots, n$$

in the reaction forces survives only the first term and then they are given by the usual d'Alembert expression

$$R_i = \lambda^a \omega_{ai}$$
 with the multiplier $\lambda^a = \frac{d\mu^a}{dt}$





• Nonholonomic constraints of rotation-free affine motion

Let us remind that the affine velocity and its co-moving counterpart are given by the expressions:

$$\Omega^{i}{}_{j} = \frac{d\varphi^{i}{}_{A}}{dt}\varphi^{-1A}{}_{j}, \qquad \widehat{\Omega}^{A}{}_{B} = \varphi^{-1A}{}_{i}\frac{d\varphi^{i}{}_{B}}{dt} = \varphi^{-1A}{}_{i}\Omega^{i}{}_{j}\varphi^{j}{}_{B}$$

For the gyroscopic (metrically rigid) motion we have that

 $\Omega^i{}_j + \Omega_j{}^i = \Omega^i{}_j + g_{ja}\Omega^a{}_bg^{bi} = 0$

i.e., they are g-antisymmetric. This is nonholonomic description of holonomic constraints. Skew-symmetric matrices form a Lie algebra and those equations are integrated to the orthogonal group.

By analogy, the rotation-free motion is primarily described by

$$\Omega^i{}_j - \Omega_j{}^i = \Omega^i{}_j - g_{jk}g^{il}\Omega^k{}_l = 0$$

i.e., by the *g*-symmetry. But symmetric matrices do not form a Lie algebra. Moreover, those are truly nonholonomic constraints and they are not integrated to any submanifold.





• Polar decomposition

The polar decomposition of φ can be written as follows:

 $\varphi = UA,$

where U is an orthogonal (isometric) matrix and A is an η -symmetric one:

 $U \in \mathcal{O}(U,\eta;V,g), \qquad A \in \operatorname{Symm}(U,\eta), \qquad \text{i.e.}, \qquad \eta_{AB} = g_{ij}\varphi^{i}{}_{A}\varphi^{j}{}_{B}, \qquad \eta_{AC}A^{C}{}_{B} = \eta_{BC}A^{C}{}_{A}.$

The co-moving angular velocity $\widehat{\omega}$ of the U-rotator is given by

$$\widehat{\omega} = U^{-1} \frac{dU}{dt}.$$

The kinetic energy can be written as the sum of the translational and internal (relative) terms:

$$T = T_{\rm tr} + T_{\rm int} = \frac{M}{2} g_{ij} \frac{dr^i}{dt} \frac{dr^j}{dt} + \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} \frac{d\varphi^i{}_A}{dt} \frac{d\varphi^j{}_B}{dt} J^{AB}$$

In the polar decomposition the internal kinetic energy T_{int} becomes as follows:

$$T_{\rm int} = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{KL} \frac{dA^K{}_A}{dt} \frac{dA^L{}_B}{dt} J^{AB} + \eta_{KL} \widehat{\omega}^K{}_C A^C{}_A \frac{dA^L{}_B}{dt} J^{AB} + \frac{1}{2} \eta_{KL} \widehat{\omega}^K{}_C \widehat{\omega}^L{}_D A^C{}_A A^D{}_B J^{AB}$$





• Polar decomposition (cont.)

Obviously, $\hat{\omega}$ is η -skew-symmetric:

$$\eta_{AC}\widehat{\omega}^{C}{}_{B} = -\eta_{BC}\widehat{\omega}^{C}{}_{A}$$

The g-symmetry constraints on Ω imply that

$$\widehat{\omega} = \frac{1}{2} \left[A^{-1}, \frac{dA}{dt} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left(A^{-1} \frac{dA}{dt} - \frac{dA}{dt} A^{-1} \right).$$

Substituting this to the expression for the internal kinetic energy $T_{\rm int}$, we obtain that

$$\begin{split} T_{\rm int}^{\rm Vak} &= \frac{1}{2} \eta_{KL} \frac{dA^{K}{}_{A}}{dt} \frac{dA^{L}{}_{B}}{dt} J^{AB} + \frac{1}{4} \eta_{KL} A^{-1K}{}_{D} \frac{dA^{D}{}_{C}}{dt} A^{C}{}_{A} \frac{dA^{L}{}_{B}}{dt} J^{AB} \\ &+ \frac{1}{8} \eta_{KL} A^{-1K}{}_{E} \frac{dA^{E}{}_{C}}{dt} A^{C}{}_{A} A^{-1L}{}_{F} \frac{dA^{F}{}_{D}}{dt} A^{D}{}_{B} J^{AB}. \end{split}$$

The simplest vakonomic Lagrangian is obtained by putting:

$$L_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{Vak}} = T_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{Vak}} + V\left(G\right),$$

where the potential V depends on the Green deformation tensor G:

$$G_{AB} = g_{ij}\varphi^i{}_A\varphi^j{}_B = \eta_{CD}A^C{}_AA^D{}_B.$$





• Vakonomic lagrangian and resulting equations of motion

The variational derivative of $T_{\text{int}}^{\text{Vak}}$ with respect to the symmetric tensor $A_{AB} = \eta_{AC} A^C{}_B = A_{BA}$ is given by

$$\begin{split} \frac{\delta T_{\rm int}^{\rm Vak}}{\delta A_{AB}} \bigg|_{\rm symm} &= -\frac{1}{4} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} A^{(A}{}_L J^{B)L} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\left(A^{-1} \right)^{(A}{}_E J^{B)L} \frac{dA^E{}_C}{dt} A^C{}_L \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{4} \eta_{KL} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dA^K{}_E}{dt} \left(A^{-1} \right)^{L(A} A^{B)}{}_D \right) J^{ED} \\ &- \frac{1}{4} \eta_{KL} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\left(A^{-1} \right)^K{}_E \frac{dA^E{}_C}{dt} A^C{}_F \left(A^{-1} \right)^{L(A} A^{B)}{}_D \right) J^{FD} \\ &- \frac{1}{4} \eta_{KL} \frac{dA^K{}_E}{dt} \frac{dA^F{}_D}{dt} A^D{}_G \left(A^{-1} \right)^{L(A} \left(A^{-1} \right)^{B)}{}_F J^{EG} \\ &- \frac{1}{4} \eta_{KL} \left(A^{-1} \right)^K{}_E \frac{dA^E{}_C}{dt} A^C{}_M \frac{dA^F{}_D}{dt} A^D{}_N \left(A^{-1} \right)^{L(A} \left(A^{-1} \right)^{B)}{}_F J^{MN} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \eta_{KL} \frac{dA^K{}_D}{dt} \left(A^{-1} \right)^L{}_E \frac{dA^{E(A}}{dt} J^{B)D} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \eta_{KL} \left(A^{-1} \right)^K{}_E \frac{dA^E{}_C}{dt} A^C{}_D \left(A^{-1} \right)^L{}_F \frac{dA^{F(A}}{dt} J^{B)D} . \end{split}$$

When there are hyperelastic forces derivable from the potential V depending only on the Green deformation tensor G, then equations of motion have the following form:

$$\frac{\delta T_{\rm int}^{\rm Vak}}{\delta A_{AB}}\bigg|_{\rm symm} = -A_{KC} \eta^{K(A} \widehat{N}^{B)C}.$$





• Usual (non-vakonomic) constraints and equations of motion

One can show that for the usual (non-vakonomic) constraints of the rotation-free motion the evolution of the system is given by the symmetric part of the following tensor equation:

$$AJ_{\eta}\frac{d^{2}A}{dt^{2}} - \frac{1}{2}AJ_{\eta}A\frac{d}{dt}\left[A^{-1}, \frac{dA}{dt}\right] - AJ_{\eta}\frac{d}{dt}\left[A^{-1}, \frac{dA}{dt}\right] + \frac{1}{4}AJ_{\eta}A\frac{d}{dt}\left[A^{-1}, \frac{dA}{dt}\right]^{2} = \overline{N},$$

where

$$J_{\eta}{}^{K}{}_{L} = J^{KM}\eta_{ML}, \qquad \overline{N}^{KL} = A^{K}{}_{M}A^{L}{}_{N}\widehat{N}^{MN},$$
$$\widehat{N}^{AB} = \varphi^{-1A}{}_{i}\varphi^{-1B}{}_{j}N^{ij}, \qquad N^{ij} = -g^{jk}\varphi^{i}{}_{M}\frac{\partial V}{\partial\varphi^{k}{}_{M}}.$$

In the explicit form the equations of motion are written as follows:

$$J^{AB} \frac{d^{2} A^{B(C}}{dt^{2}} A^{D)}{}_{A} - J^{A}{}_{B} A^{B}{}_{E} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(A^{-1} \right)^{E}{}_{F} \frac{d}{dt} \left(A^{F(C)} \right) A^{D)}{}_{A} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(A^{E}{}_{F} \right) \left(A^{-1} \right)^{F(C} A^{D)}{}_{A} \right)$$
$$- J^{A}{}_{B} \frac{dA^{B}{}_{E}}{dt} \left(\left(A^{-1} \right)^{E}{}_{F} \frac{d}{dt} \left(A^{F(C)} \right) A^{D)}{}_{A} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(A^{E}{}_{F} \right) \left(A^{-1} \right)^{F(C} A^{D)}{}_{A} \right)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{4} J^{A}{}_{B} A^{B}{}_{E} \left(\left(A^{-1} \right)^{E}{}_{G} \frac{d}{dt} \left(A^{G}{}_{F} \right) - \frac{d}{dt} \left(A^{E}{}_{G} \right) \left(A^{-1} \right)^{G}{}_{F} \right)$$
$$\cdot \left(\left(A^{-1} \right)^{F}{}_{H} \frac{d}{dt} \left(A^{H(C)} \right) A^{D)A} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(A^{F}{}_{H} \right) \left(A^{-1} \right)^{H(C} A^{D)}{}_{A} \right) = \overline{N}^{(CD)}.$$

The structures of vakonomic and d'Alembert equations are evidently different.





• Solving the above equations of motion:

- solving the symmetric or vakonomic part of our equations of motion, we find A(t).
- then we substitute it to $\widehat{\omega}$ and solving equation

$$\frac{dU}{dt} = U\hat{\omega}$$

we find U(t).

– finally, substituting it to

$$\varphi(t) = U(t)A(t)$$

we solve the problem, at least in principle.





• Nonlinear vakonomic constraints

In the case when there is no dissipation, calculating Euler-Lagrange equations for the modified Lagrangian $L[\mu] = L + \mu^a F_a$ we obtain the system for the (n + m) variables $q^i(t)$, $\mu^a(t)$:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^{i}} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^{i}} = \mu^{a}\frac{\partial F_{a}}{\partial q^{i}} - \frac{d}{dt}\left(\mu^{a}\frac{\partial F_{a}}{\partial \dot{q}^{i}}\right), \qquad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

where $\mu^{a}(t)$, a = 1, ..., m, are Lagrange multipliers and $F_{a}(q, \dot{q}) = 0$. The reactions forces:

$$R_{i} = \mu^{a} \frac{\partial F_{a}}{\partial q^{i}} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\mu^{a} \frac{\partial F_{a}}{\partial \dot{q}^{i}} \right) = \mu^{a} \frac{\partial F_{a}}{\partial q^{i}} - \frac{d\mu^{a}}{dt} \frac{\partial F_{a}}{\partial \dot{q}^{i}} - \mu^{a} \frac{\partial^{2} F_{a}}{\partial \dot{q}^{i} \partial q^{j}} \frac{dq^{j}}{dt} - \mu^{a} \frac{\partial^{2} F_{a}}{\partial \dot{q}^{i} \partial \dot{q}^{j}} \frac{d^{2} q^{j}}{dt^{2}}.$$

In general, such reactions need not be adiabatic. The equations of constrained motion have the form:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^{i}} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^{i}} = D_{i} + R_{i}, \quad F_{a}\left(q(t), \dot{q}(t)\right) = 0.$$

Nonlinearity of nonholonomic constraints with respect to velocities has a qualitative effect on the dynamical structure of reactions R_i (contains the term with second derivatives). Such accelerationdependent forces modify the inertial properties of the object. Besides, nonlinearity of M may influence the energy balance because, in general, the above reactions R_i need not annihilate the velocity vectors. After calculating the power of the reactions along curves in Q compatible with constraints M we obtain

$$R_i \frac{dq^i}{dt} = \mu^a \frac{dF_a}{dt} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\mu^a \dot{q}^i \frac{\partial F_a}{\partial \dot{q}^i} \right).$$





• Nonlinear vakonomic constraints (cont.)

The first term vanishes in virtue of constraints equations, so finally

$$R_i \dot{q}^i = -\frac{d}{dt} \left(\mu^a \frac{\partial F_a}{\partial \dot{q}^i} \dot{q}^i \right).$$

Then the energy balance has the form:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(E+\mu^a\dot{q}^i\frac{\partial F_a}{\partial\dot{q}^i}\right)=D_i\dot{q}^i$$

The balanced quantity

$$E[L,M] := E + \mu^a \dot{q}^i \frac{\partial F_a}{\partial \dot{q}^i}$$

can be interpreted as the effective energy of the system constrained by the manifold M.

When M is fixed, E[L, M] does not depend on the particular choice of functions F_a , used as left-hand sides of equations of M.





• Nonlinear vakonomic constraints (cont.)

The quantity E[L, M] contains two parts:

• the natural energy

$$E[L] := \dot{q}^i \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i} - L$$

 $E[M] := \mu^a \frac{\partial F_a}{\partial \dot{q}^i} \dot{q}^i.$

of the unconstrained system and

• the energy of constraints

In the case with no dissipative forces, the total energy
$$E[L, M]$$
 is a constant of motion. The existence
of this constant of motion is just the peculiarity and distinguishing feature of the Hamilton-Lusternik
algorithm.

E[L, M] can be directly obtained from the modified Lusternik Lagrangian $L[\mu]$:

$$E[L[\mu]] := \dot{q}^i \frac{\partial L[\mu]}{\partial \dot{q}^i} - L[\mu] = E[L] + \mu^a \frac{\partial F_a}{\partial \dot{q}^i} \dot{q}^i - \mu^a F_a,$$

where the last term vanishes on constraints M.

The mechanical work done by Hamilton-Lusternik reactions has a variational structure; it can be interpreted as the exchange of energy between the system in question and the constraining object.





PPT

The end.

Thank you for your attention!


