# Transverse conformal Killing forms on foliated manifolds

#### Seoung Dal Jung

Jeju National University. Korea

XVII International Conference "Geometry, Integrability and Quantization" June 5 - 10, 2015 Varna, Bulgaria

Seoung Dal Jung Transverse conformal Killing forms on foliated manifolds

伺 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト

### Abstract

We study transverse Killing vector, forms on foliations and prove some vanishing theorem for foliations.

## Keyword

Transverse Killing vector field, Transverse coformal vector field, Transverse Killing form, Transverse conformal form

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Seoung Dal Jung Transverse conformal Killing forms on foliated manifolds

▲□ > ▲□ > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲□ > ▲□ >

#### Definition

A codimension q foliation  ${\mathcal F}$  on M is given by an open cover  $(U_j)$ , submersion  $f_j: U_j \to N$  over a q-dimensional transverse manifold N and, for  $U_i \cap U_j \neq \varnothing$ , a diffeomorphism  $\gamma_{ij}: f_i(U_i \cap U_j) \subset N \to f_j(U_i \cap U_j) \subset N$  satisfying

$$f_j(x) = \gamma_{ij} \circ f_i(x) \quad x \in U_i \cap U_j.$$

We say that  $\{U_j, f_j, N, \gamma_{ij}\}$  is a **foliated cocycle** defining  $\mathcal{F}$ .

 Roughly speaking, a foliation corresponds to a decomposition of a manifold into a union of connected submanifolds, which are called leaves.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

## Examples

(1) 
$$M = \mathbb{R}^2 - \{0\}$$
 and  $L_r = \{(x, y) | x^2 + y^2 = r^2\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F} = \{L_f\}$ .

(2) 
$$M = \mathbb{R}^2$$
 and  $L_a = \{(x, y) | y = x^2 + a\}.$ 

(3)  $M = \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $L_a = \{(x, y) | y = ln | sec x| + a\}$  together with the vertical lines cos x = 0. Equivalently, the solution of  $\frac{dy}{dx} = tan x$  is a foliation  $L_a$ .



・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

(4) Let  $M=D^2\times S^1$   $(D^2=\{(x,y)|x^2+y^2\leqslant 1\})$  and for  $0\leqslant \alpha<1,$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{L}_{\mathfrak{a}} &= \{(\mathsf{x}, e^{\mathsf{i} 2\pi (\mathfrak{a} + \mathsf{f}(|\mathsf{x}|))}) | \mathsf{x} \in \mathsf{Int}(\mathsf{D}^2)\}, \\ \mathfrak{d}(\mathsf{D}^2 \times \mathsf{S}^1) &= \mathsf{S}^1 \times \mathsf{S}^1 = \mathsf{T}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\mathcal{F} \equiv \{L_{\alpha}, T^2\}$  is a codimension 1 foliation of  $D^2 \times S^1$ . In this case,  $L_{\alpha}$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $T^2$  is the only compact leaf. This is called a **Reeb foliation** of the solid torus  $D^2 \times S^1$ .



(5) Let  $S^3=\{(z,w)\in\mathbb{C}^2||z|^2+|w|^2=1\}.$  Let two solid torus be

$$\begin{split} S^{3}_{+} &= \{(z,w) \in S^{3} ||z|^{2} \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\} \cong D^{2} \times S^{1}, \\ S^{3}_{-} &= \{(z,w) \in S^{3} ||z|^{2} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\} \cong D^{2} \times S^{1}. \end{split}$$

Then  $S^3 = S^3_+ \cup S^3_- \cong (D^2 \times S^1) \cup (D^2 \times S^1)$  by pasting the boundaries  $\partial(D^2 \times S^1)$ . And  $S^3_+ \cap S^3_- = T^2$ . A foliation on  $S^3$  is obtained from **Reeb foliations**  $\{L_a\}$  in (9) and one compact leaf  $T^2$ .

(6) (**Submersion**) A smooth submersion  $f : M \to B$  is a map of manifolds with a surjective derivative map at every point of M.

(7) An ordinary manifold can be considered as a foliated manifold with the point foliation.

伺 と く き と く き と … き

- A nowhere zero differential 1-form ω defines a codimension one foliation on M if L is integrable, where L<sub>x</sub> = Kerω<sub>x</sub>, i.e. ω ∧ dω = 0 (integrable condition). (For example, Level hypersurfaces)
- Any compact manifold M admits a one dimensional foliation if and only if the Euler characteristic χ(M) = 0.
- Every closed manifold M with  $\chi(M) = 0$  admits a codimension one foliation (Thurston,1974).

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と 二 ヨ

# Leaf space

- Define  $x \sim y$  in  $M \iff x$  and y are in the same leaf.
- Then M/𝔅 := M/ ∼, endowed with the quotient topology. This is called as the leaf space of 𝔅.
- Generally, M/F is not a manifold. But we can define on M/F many geometrical objects like functions, differential forms, differential operators etc. They correspond to their analogues on M invariant along the leaves.
- The **tangential geometry** is infinitesimally modeled by the leaves. And the **transversal geometry** is infinitesimally modeled by the leaf space, which plays a central role in the current research.

イロト 不得 とくき とくき とうせい

• Let TF be the tangent bundle of F and Q = TM/TF the normal bundle of F. Then we have the exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \to \mathsf{T}\mathcal{F} \to \mathsf{T}\mathsf{M} \xrightarrow{\pi} Q \to 0. \tag{1}$$

- $\mathcal{F}$  is a **Riemannian foliation** if there exists a metric  $g_Q$  on Q satisfying  $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_X g_Q = 0$  for any  $X \in T\mathcal{F}$ . where  $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$  is the partial Bott connection in Q.
- The property  $\mathcal{F}$  is Riemannian means that the leaf space  $M/\mathcal{F}$  is a Riemannian manifold even if  $M/\mathcal{F}$  does not support any differentiable structure.

(4月) (4日) (4日) 日

# Bundle-like metric

- Let (M, g<sub>M</sub>, F) be a Riemannian manifold with a foliation F of codimension q and a Riemannian metric g<sub>M</sub>.
- $g_M$  is a **bundle-like metric**  $\iff$  All geodesics orthogonal to a leaf at one point are orthogonal to each leaf at every point.
- A Riemannian foliation admits a bundle-like metric.
- Let M be a foliated manifold and complete in a bundle-like metric. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a codimension 1-foliation. If one leaf is compact, then every leaf is compact.
- Not all foliations have bundle-like metrics.

伺 と く き と く き と … き

# Transversal vector fields

- A vector field Y on M is an transversal infinitesimal automorphism if its flow preserves the leaves. That is, [Y, Z] ∈ T𝔅 for all Z ∈ T𝔅.
- An infinitesimal automorphism Y is called a transversal Killing field (or transversal conformal field) if Y satisfies θ(Y)g<sub>Q</sub> = 0 (or θ(Y)g<sub>Q</sub> = 2f<sub>Y</sub>g<sub>Q</sub> for a basic function f<sub>Y</sub> depending on Y).
- A transversal Killing (or conformal ) field Y preserves the transverse metric, i.e., transversally isometric (or the conformal class of the transverse metric).

・ 同下 ・ 日下 ・ 日下 - 日

• A differential form  $\omega \in \Omega^{r}(M)$  is **basic**, if

$$i(X)\omega = 0, \ \theta(X)\omega = 0 \ \forall X \in \Gamma L.$$

• Let  $\Omega_B^*(\mathcal{F})$  be the space of all basic forms on M. Then  $d:\Omega_B^r\to \Omega_B^{r+1}$  and  $d^2=0.$  So the **basic cohomology** is given by

$$\mathsf{H}^{\mathsf{r}}_{\mathsf{B}}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathsf{H}(\Omega_{\mathsf{B}}(\mathcal{F}), \mathsf{d}_{\mathsf{B}}), \quad \mathsf{d}_{\mathsf{B}} = \mathsf{d}|_{\Omega_{\mathsf{B}}}.$$

- $H^1_B(\mathfrak{F}) \to H^1_{DR}(M)$  : injective (Tondeur, 1977).
- The basic cohomology plays the role of the De Rham cohomology of the leaf space of the foliation.

# **Basic Laplacian**

- Let  $\delta_B$  the formal adjoint of  $d_B = d|_{\Omega_B}$ . Generally,  $\delta_B \neq \delta|_{\Omega_B}$ , but for any  $\phi \in \Omega_B^1$ ,  $\delta_B \phi = \delta \phi$ .
- The **basic Laplacian** is given by  $\Delta_B = d_B \delta_B + \delta_B d_B$ .
- (El Kacimi-Hector-Sergiescu, 1985) Let M be a closed manifold. Then

 $\Omega^r_B(\mathfrak{F}) \cong \mathfrak{H}^r_B \oplus \mathsf{imd}_B \oplus \mathsf{imd}_B,$ 

with finite dimensional  $\mathcal{H}^r_B=\{\varphi\in\Omega^r_B|\Delta_B\varphi=0\}.$ 

• (Kamber-Tondeur, 1997)  $H^r_B(\mathfrak{F}) \cong \mathfrak{H}^r_B$ .

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● ● ● ● ● ●

## Transverse conformal Killing forms

 A basic r-form φ is said to be a transverse conformal Killing form if

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{X}} \phi = \frac{1}{r+1} \mathfrak{i}(\mathbf{X}) d_{\mathbf{B}} \phi - \frac{1}{q-r+1} \mathbf{X}^* \wedge \delta_{\mathbf{T}} \phi$$
(2)

for any  $X\in T\mathfrak{F}^{\perp},$  where  $\delta_T=\delta_B-\mathfrak{i}(\kappa^{\sharp}).$ 

A basic r-form φ is a transverse Killing form if

$$\nabla_{X}\phi = \frac{1}{r+1}i(X)d_{B}\phi$$
(3)

for any  $X \in T\mathcal{F}^{\perp}$ .

- 4 同 ト 4 ヨ ト - ヨ - わえで

- Note that a transverse conformal Killing 1-form (or Killing 1-form) is a dual form of a transversal conformal (or Killing) vector field.
- Also, on a transverse spin foliation, transverse conformal Killing forms (or Killing forms) are related to transversal twistor spinors, i.e., ∇<sub>X</sub>ψ = -<sup>1</sup>/<sub>q</sub>X · D<sub>b</sub>ψ (or Killing spinors, i.e., ∇<sub>X</sub>ψ = μX · ψ). Here D<sub>b</sub> is a basic Dirac operator on (M, 𝔅).

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と 二 ヨ

## The curvature operator

Let F be the curvature endomorphism, which is defined by

$$F(\varphi) = \sum_{a,b=1}^{q} \theta^{a} \wedge i(E_{b}) R^{Q}(E_{b}, E_{a}) \varphi, \qquad (4)$$

where  $\mathsf{R}^Q$  is the curvature tensor on  $\Omega^r_B(\mathfrak{F})$  induced by the connection on Q.

- For any basic 1-form  $\phi$ ,  $F(\phi)^{\sharp} = Ric^{Q}(\phi^{\sharp})$ .
- The operator A<sub>Y</sub> is defined by

$$A_{Y}\phi = \theta(Y)\phi - \nabla_{Y}\phi.$$
 (5)

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト … ヨ

# Generalized Weitzenbock formula

• The generalized Weitzenböck formula is given by

$$\Delta_{\rm B} \varphi = \nabla_{\rm tr}^* \nabla_{\rm tr} \varphi + F(\varphi) + A_{\kappa^{\sharp}} \varphi, \qquad (6)$$

where  $\nabla_{tr}^* \nabla_{tr} = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^q \nabla_{E_{\alpha},E_{\alpha}}^2 + \nabla_{\kappa^{\sharp}}$  and  $\kappa$  is the mean curvature form of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

• Assume that F is positive definite. Then

$$H^r_B(\mathcal{F}) = 0.$$

• Assume that the transversal Ricci curvature Ric<sup>Q</sup> is positive definite. Then  $H^1_B(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ .

▲冊 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q (や

 $\bullet$  Let  $\varphi$  be a transverse conformal Killing r-form. Then

$$F(\varphi) = \frac{r}{r+1} \delta_{T} d_{B} \varphi + \frac{r^{*}}{r^{*}+1} d_{B} \delta_{T} \varphi, \qquad (7)$$

where  $r^* = q - r$ .

 $\bullet~$  If  $\varphi$  is a transverse Killing r-form, then

$$F(\phi) = \frac{r}{r+1} \delta_{T} d_{B} \phi, \qquad (8)$$

or

$$\Delta_{\rm B} \phi = \frac{r+1}{r} F(\phi) + \theta(\kappa^{\sharp}) \phi.$$
(9)

## Theorem(Jung-Richardson, 2012)

Let  $(M, g_M, \mathcal{F})$  be a closed, connected Riemannian manifold. (i) Assume that  $F \leq 0$ . Then any transverse conformal Killing r  $(1 \leq r \leq q-1)$ -forms are parallel. (ii) In addition, if F < 0 at some point, then there are no transverse conformal Killing r-forms on M.

**Corollary.** Assume the transversal Ricci curvature  $Ric^Q$  is negative definite. Then there are no transversal conformal fields (of course, Killing fields) on M.

(日本) (日本) (日本) 日

# Kahler foliation

- Kähler foliation F satisfies the following three conditions;
   (i) F is Riemannian,
  - (ii) there is an almost complex structure  $J: Q \rightarrow Q$  such that

$$g_Q(JX, JY) = g_Q(X, Y) \ \forall X, Y \in Q. \tag{10}$$

(iii)  $\nabla J = 0$ .

**Examples.** (1) Sasakian manifold  $(M^{2n+1}, g)$  is a Kähler foliation with one dimensional foliation generated by the structure vector.

(2) The generalized Hopf-fiberation  $S^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}P^n$  is an example of a Kähler foliation with constant (transversal) holomorphic sectional curvature.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● ● ● ● ● ●

Note that

$$\Omega(X, Y) = g_Q(X, JY)$$
(11)

defines a basic Kähler 2-form  $\Omega$ , which is closed as a consequence of  $\nabla g_Q = 0$  and  $\nabla J = 0$ .

• Then  $\Omega$  is given by

$$\Omega = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \theta^{2k-1} \wedge \theta^{2k} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \theta^{k} \wedge J \theta^{k}, \qquad (12)$$

where  $\theta^{\alpha}$  is a  $g_Q$ -dual 1-form to  $E_{\alpha}$  on M.

# **Operators on Kähler foliation**

• Let 
$$L: \Omega_B^r \to \Omega_B^{r+2}$$
 and  $\Lambda: \Omega_B^r \to \Omega_B^{r-2}$  be given by  

$$L(\varphi) = \Omega \land \varphi, \quad \Lambda(\varphi) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2m} i(JE_\alpha)i(E_\alpha)\varphi.$$
(13)

 $\bullet$  Let  $J:\Omega^r_B\to\Omega^r_B$  and  $S:\Omega^r_B\to\Omega^r_B$  be

$$J(\phi) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2m} J\theta^{\alpha} \wedge i(E_{\alpha})\phi, \qquad (14)$$
  
$$S(\phi) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2m} J\theta^{\alpha} \wedge i(\operatorname{Ric}^{Q}(E_{\alpha}))\phi. \qquad (15)$$

•  $[J, L] = [J, \Lambda] = [F, J] = [F, \Lambda] = [S, J] = [S, \Lambda] = [S, L] = 0.$ 

< □ > < □ > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > のへで

# Lemmas on Kähler foliations (Jung, 2015)

• On a Kähler foliation ( $\mathcal{F}$ , J), a transverse conformal Killing form  $\phi$  satisfies

$$(q + r2 - qr)S(\phi) = F(J\phi), \qquad (16)$$

$$(q+r^2-qr)S(\varphi)=(1-r)F(J\varphi). \tag{17}$$

• On a Kähler foliation  $(\mathcal{F},J),$  if  $\varphi$  is a transverse conformal Killing form, then

$$F(J\phi) = 0 \tag{18}$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● ● ● ● ● ●

# Vanishing theorem (Killing forms)

• If  $\kappa^{\sharp}$  is transversally holomorphic, i.e.,  $\theta(\kappa)J=0,$  then

$$[\Delta_{B},\Lambda] = [A_{\kappa^{\sharp}},\Lambda] = \delta_{\mathsf{T}}\mathfrak{i}(J\kappa^{\sharp}) + \mathfrak{i}(J\kappa^{\sharp})\delta_{\mathsf{T}}.$$

#### Theorem (Jung-Jung, 2012)

Let  $(\mathcal{F}, J)$  be a Kähler foliation in a compact Riemannian manifold M. Assume that  $\kappa^{\sharp}$  is transversally holomorphic. Then any transverse Killing r-form  $(2 \leqslant r \leqslant q)$  is parallel.

- Note that on a Kähler foliation, we prove vanishing theorem without the conditions of the transversal Ricci curvature.
- Open when r = 1.

・ 同下 ・ 日下 ・ 日下 - 日

## Theorem (Jung, 2015)

Let  $(\mathcal{F}, J)$  be a Kähler foliation with a codimension q = 2m in a closed, connected Riemanian manifold M. Let  $\phi$  be a transverse conformal Killing  $\frac{q}{2}$ -form. Then (i) If  $q \neq 4$ , then J $\phi$  is parallel. (ii) If q = 4 and  $\mathcal{F}$  is minimal, then J $\phi$  is parallel.

**Proof.** (i) If  $q \neq 4$  or  $m \neq 2$ , then

 $\Delta_{\rm B} J \varphi = \theta(\kappa) J \varphi.$ 

So by the generalized Weitzenbock formula,

$$\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{\rm B}-\kappa)|J\varphi|^2 = -|\nabla_{\rm tr}J\varphi|^2 \leqslant 0.$$

By the generalized maximum principle, it is proved

(ii) If q = 4, then

$$\Delta_{\rm B} J \phi = -2\delta_{\rm B} \mathfrak{i}(\kappa) L \phi + d\mathfrak{i}(\kappa) J \phi.$$

Hence if  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{F}}$  is minimal, then by the generalized Weitzenbock formula,

 $\nabla_{tr}^*\nabla_{tr}J\varphi=0.$ 

The proof is completed.  $\Box$ 

## Theorem (Jung, 2015)

Let  $(\mathcal{F}, J)$  be a minimal Kähler foliation on a compact manifold. Then for a transverse conformal Killing  $r \ (2 \leq r \leq q-2)$ -form  $\phi$ ,  $J\phi$  is parallel.

**Proof.** First, note that  $F(J\Lambda\varphi) = \Lambda F(J\varphi) = 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is minimal,  $\Delta_B(J\Lambda\varphi) = 0$ . By the generalized Weitzenbock formula,

$$\nabla^*_{tr} \nabla_{tr} J \Lambda \phi = 0,$$

which means that  $J\Lambda\phi$  is parallel. Similarly,  $JL\phi$  is parallel. Note that  $(m-r)J\phi = [\Lambda, L]J\phi$  and  $[\nabla, L] = [\nabla, \Lambda] = 0$ . Hence if  $r \neq m$ , then  $J\phi$  is parallel. For r = m, see before Theorem.  $\Box$ 

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● ● ● ● ● ●

# Relations between vector fields

- Riemannian manifold
  - Conformal field  $\Leftarrow$  Killing field
  - Conformal field and  $d(divY) = 0 \Longrightarrow$  Killing field
- Riemannian foliation
  - Transversal conformal field <= Transversal Killing field
  - Transversal conformal field and  $d_B(\text{div}_{\nabla}\bar{Y}) = 0;$  $\int \langle A_Y \bar{Y} + A_Y^t \bar{Y}, \kappa \rangle \ge 0 \implies \text{Transversal Killing field}$

# Relations between vector fields

- Kähler manifiold
  - Conformal field  $\iff$  Killing field
- Kähler foliation
  - Transversal Killing field  $\implies$  Transversal conformal field
  - Transversal conformal field and  $\sigma^{\nabla} \neq 0$ ; constant  $\implies$ Transversal Killing field.

Here  $\sigma^{\nabla}$  is the transversal scalr curvature of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

# Vanishing results (Vector fields)

## • Riemannian manifold

- If  $Ric\leqslant 0$  and Ric<0 at some point, then  $\nexists$  Killing vector and conformal vector.

• Riemannian foliation

- If  $\text{Ric}^Q \leqslant 0$  and  $\text{Ric}^Q < 0$  at some point, then  $\nexists$  transversal Killing field.

- If  $\textrm{Ric}^Q\leqslant 0$  and Ric<0 at some point and  $\delta_B\kappa=0,$  then  $\nexists$  transversal conformal field.

向下 イヨト イヨト 三日

# General forms on Riemannian case

## • Riemannian manifold

If F ≤ 0, then every Killing (conformal) r-forms are parallel.
In addition, if F < 0, then ∄ Killing (conformal) r-forms.</li>

## • Riemannian foliation (Jung-Richardson, 2012)

- The results are same in case of transverse Killing forms.

- If  $F\leqslant 0$  and  $\delta_B\kappa=0,$  then transverse conformal r-forms are parallel.

- In addition, if  $\mathsf{F} < 0$  at some point, then  $\nexists$  transverse conformal r-forms.

伺 と く き と く き と … き

# General forms on Kähler case

## • Kähler manifold

- Any Killing r  $(2 \leqslant r \leqslant 2m)$ -forms are parallel.
- For any conformal r  $(2 \le r \le 2m 2)$ -form  $\phi$ , JA $\phi$  is parallel. If  $r \ne m$ , then J $\phi$  is parallel (Moroianu-Semmelmann, 2003).

## • Kähler foliation

- Any transverse Killing r  $(2\leqslant r\leqslant q)\text{-forms}$  are parallel (Jung-Jung, 2012).

- For any transverse conformal Killing r  $(2 \le r \le q-2)$ -form, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is minimal, then J $\varphi$  is parallel. (Jung, 2015)

- Open when  $\mathcal{F}$  is not minimal !!!

(日本)(日本)(日本)(日本)

## References

 S. D. Jung, The first eigenvalue of the transversal Dirac operator, J. Geom. Phys. 39(2001), 253-264.
 S. D. Jung, Transversal twistor spinors on a Riemannian foliation, Foliations 2005, World Scientific, 2006, 215-228.
 S. D. Jung, Transverse conformal Killing forms on Kähler foliations, J. Geom. Phys. 90 (2015), 29-41.
 M. J. Jung and S. D. Jung, Riemannian foliations admitting transversal conformal fields, Geometriae Dedicata 133(2008), 155-168.
 S. D. Jung and K. Richardson, Transverse conformal Killing

[5] S. D. Jung and K. Richardson, *Transverse conformal Killing forms and a Gallot-Meyer theorem for foliations*, Math. Z. 270(2012), 337-350

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

[6] S. D. Jung and M. J. Jung, Transverse Killing forms on a Kahler foliation, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 49(2012), 445-454
[7] T. Kashiwada, On conformal Killing tensor, Natur. Sci. Rep. Ochanomizu Univ. 19(1968), 67-74.
[8] T. Kashiwada and S. Tachibana, On the integrability of Killing-Yano's equation, J. Math. Soc. Japan 21(1969), 259-265.
[9] S.D. Jung, K. R. Lee and K. Richardson, Generalized Obata theorem and its applications for foliations, J. Math. Anal. Appl.

376(2011), 129-135.

[10] U. Semmelmann, *Conformal Killing forms on Riemannian manifolds*, Math. Z. 245(2003), 503-527.

[11] S. Tachibana, *On conformal Killing tensor in a Riemannian space*, Tôhoku Math. J. 21(1969), 56-64.

[12] S. Tachibana, On Killing tensors in Riemannian manifolds of positive curvature operator, Tôhoku Math. J. 28(1976), 177-184.
[13] Ph. Tondeur, Foliations on Riemannian manifolds, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1988.

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と 二 ヨ

## Thank You for your attention