# Kepler Problem and Formally Real Jordan Algebras II-III

#### Guowu Meng

Department of Mathematics Hong Kong University of Science and Technology

17<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Geometry, Integrability and Quantization Varna, Bulgaria, June 6-8, 2015

Think deeply of simple things — Arnold Ross

< ロト < 母 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 三 臣

- configuration space  $\mathbb{R}^3_*$ ,
- conserved angular momentum L,
- and an additional conserved vector A.

Moreover, the orbits of these models are either linear or conic. These models are completely integrable in the sense that

the number of functionally independent constants of motion

is equal to

the dimension of the phase space - 1.

A (1) > A (2) > A

## • configuration space $\mathbb{R}^3_*$ ,

conserved angular momentum L,

• and an additional conserved vector A.

Moreover, the orbits of these models are either linear or conic. These models are completely integrable in the sense that

the number of functionally independent constants of motion

is equal to

the dimension of the phase space - 1.

• • • • • • • •

- configuration space  $\mathbb{R}^3_*$ ,
- conserved angular momentum L,
- and an additional conserved vector A.

Moreover, the orbits of these models are either linear or conic. These models are completely integrable in the sense that

the number of functionally independent constants of motion

is equal to

the dimension of the phase space - 1.

• • • • • • • •

- configuration space  $\mathbb{R}^3_*$ ,
- conserved angular momentum L,
- and an additional conserved vector A.

Moreover, the orbits of these models are either linear or conic. These models are completely integrable in the sense that

the number of functionally independent constants of motion

is equal to

the dimension of the phase space - 1.

▲ @ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ▲ ■

- configuration space  $\mathbb{R}^3_*$ ,
- conserved angular momentum L,
- and an additional conserved vector A.

Moreover, the orbits of these models are either linear or conic. These models are completely integrable in the sense that

the number of functionally independent constants of motion

is equal to

the dimension of the phase space - 1.

< 回 > < 三 > < 三

- configuration space  $\mathbb{R}^3_*$ ,
- conserved angular momentum L,
- and an additional conserved vector A.

Moreover, the orbits of these models are either linear or conic. These models are completely integrable in the sense that

the number of functionally independent constants of motion

is equal to

the dimension of the phase space - 1.

不可し 不可し 不可

$$\Lambda_+ := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{1,3} | x^2 = 0, x_0 > 0 \}$$

in the Minkowski space  $\mathbb{R}^{1,3} := (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^3, \text{Lorentz inner product})$ spanned by our ordinary three spatial dimensions and a new mysterious temporal dimension. Is this a coincidence? More precisely, one may ask this

<u>Question</u>: Can Kepler problem and its magnetized versions be naturally formulated on that future light cone  $\Lambda_+$ ?

<u>Answer</u>: Yes, provided that we can employ the more refined Jordan algebra structure behind the Lorentz structure on that Minkowski space  $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ . Since

$$\mathbb{R}^3_* \rightarrow \Lambda_+ \ \mathbf{r} \mapsto (r, \mathbf{r})$$

$$\Lambda_+ := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{1,3} | x^2 = 0, x_0 > 0 \}$$

in the Minkowski space  $\mathbb{R}^{1,3} := (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^3, \text{Lorentz inner product})$ spanned by our ordinary three spatial dimensions and a new mysterious temporal dimension. Is this a coincidence? More precisely, one may ask this

<u>Question</u>: Can Kepler problem and its magnetized versions be naturally formulated on that future light cone  $\Lambda_+$ ?

<u>Answer</u>: Yes, provided that we can employ the more refined Jordan algebra structure behind the Lorentz structure on that Minkowski space  $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ . Since

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \mathbb{R}^3_* & \to & \Lambda_+ \\ \mathbf{r} & \mapsto & (r,\mathbf{r}) \end{array}$$

$$\Lambda_+ := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{1,3} | x^2 = 0, x_0 > 0 \}$$

in the Minkowski space  $\mathbb{R}^{1,3} := (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^3, \text{Lorentz inner product})$ spanned by our ordinary three spatial dimensions and a new mysterious temporal dimension. Is this a coincidence? More precisely, one may ask this

<u>Question</u>: Can Kepler problem and its magnetized versions be naturally formulated on that future light cone  $\Lambda_+$ ?

<u>Answer</u>: Yes, provided that we can employ the more refined Jordan algebra structure behind the Lorentz structure on that Minkowski space  $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ . Since

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \mathbb{R}^3_* & \to & \Lambda_+ \\ \mathbf{r} & \mapsto & (r,\mathbf{r}) \end{array}$$

$$\Lambda_+ := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{1,3} | x^2 = 0, x_0 > 0 \}$$

in the Minkowski space  $\mathbb{R}^{1,3} := (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^3, \text{Lorentz inner product})$ spanned by our ordinary three spatial dimensions and a new mysterious temporal dimension. Is this a coincidence? More precisely, one may ask this

<u>Question</u>: Can Kepler problem and its magnetized versions be naturally formulated on that future light cone  $\Lambda_+$ ?

<u>Answer</u>: Yes, provided that we can employ the more refined Jordan algebra structure behind the Lorentz structure on that Minkowski space  $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ . Since

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathbb{R}^3_* & \to & \Lambda_+ \\ \mathbf{r} & \mapsto & (r, \mathbf{r}) \end{array}$$

$$\Lambda_+ := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{1,3} | x^2 = 0, x_0 > 0 \}$$

in the Minkowski space  $\mathbb{R}^{1,3} := (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^3, \text{Lorentz inner product})$ spanned by our ordinary three spatial dimensions and a new mysterious temporal dimension. Is this a coincidence? More precisely, one may ask this

<u>Question</u>: Can Kepler problem and its magnetized versions be naturally formulated on that future light cone  $\Lambda_+$ ?

<u>Answer</u>: Yes, provided that we can employ the more refined Jordan algebra structure behind the Lorentz structure on that Minkowski space  $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ . Since

$$egin{array}{cccc} \mathbb{R}^3_* & o & \Lambda_+ \ \mathbf{r} & \mapsto & (r,\mathbf{r}) \end{array}$$

Write  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ , then  $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ . Write X for  $x_0 I + \mathbf{x} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$ , i.e.

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 + x_3 & x_1 - \mathrm{i}x_2 \\ x_1 + \mathrm{i}x_2 & x_0 - x_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let  $H_2(\mathbb{C})$  is the set of all complex hermitian matrices of order two. Note that det  $X = x^2$ .

The map x → X is an isometry between R<sup>1,3</sup> and (H<sub>2</sub>(C), det).
Under the symmetrized matrix multiplication:

$$X \circ Y := \frac{1}{2}(XY + YX),$$

 $H_2(\mathbb{C})$  becomes a *real commutative algebra with unit*.

• This algebra is formally real in the following sense: for *A*, *B* in  $H_2(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $A^2 + B^2 = 0 \implies A = B = 0$ .

Proof.

For any column vector  $\vec{x}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , let  $\vec{x}^{\dagger}$  be its hermitian conjugate. Then  $0 = \vec{x}^{\dagger} (A^2 + B^2) \vec{x} = ||A\vec{x}||^2 + ||B\vec{x}||^2$ , so  $A\vec{x} = B\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ , so A = 0 and B = 0

Write  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ , then  $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ . Write X for  $x_0 I + \mathbf{x} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$ , i.e.

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 + x_3 & x_1 - \mathrm{i}x_2 \\ x_1 + \mathrm{i}x_2 & x_0 - x_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let  $H_2(\mathbb{C})$  is the set of all complex hermitian matrices of order two. Note that det  $X = x^2$ .

- The map  $x \mapsto X$  is an isometry between  $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$  and  $(H_2(\mathbb{C}), det)$ .
- Under the symmetrized matrix multiplication:

$$X \circ Y := \frac{1}{2}(XY + YX),$$

 $H_2(\mathbb{C})$  becomes a *real commutative algebra with unit*.

• This algebra is formally real in the following sense: for *A*, *B* in  $H_2(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $A^2 + B^2 = 0 \implies A = B = 0$ .

Proof.

For any column vector  $\vec{x}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , let  $\vec{x}^{\dagger}$  be its hermitian conjugate. Then  $0 = \vec{x}^{\dagger} (A^2 + B^2) \vec{x} = ||A\vec{x}||^2 + ||B\vec{x}||^2$ , so  $A\vec{x} = B\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ , so A = 0 and

Write  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ , then  $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ . Write X for  $x_0 I + \mathbf{x} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$ , i.e.

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 + x_3 & x_1 - \mathrm{i}x_2 \\ x_1 + \mathrm{i}x_2 & x_0 - x_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let  $H_2(\mathbb{C})$  is the set of all complex hermitian matrices of order two. Note that det  $X = x^2$ .

- The map  $x \mapsto X$  is an isometry between  $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$  and  $(H_2(\mathbb{C}), det)$ .
- Under the symmetrized matrix multiplication:

$$X \circ Y := \frac{1}{2}(XY + YX),$$

 $H_2(\mathbb{C})$  becomes a real commutative algebra with unit.

• This algebra is formally real in the following sense: for *A*, *B* in  $H_2(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $A^2 + B^2 = 0 \implies A = B = 0$ .

Proof.

For any column vector  $\vec{x}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , let  $\vec{x}^{\dagger}$  be its hermitian conjugate. Then  $0 = \vec{x}^{\dagger} (A^2 + B^2) \vec{x} = ||A\vec{x}||^2 + ||B\vec{x}||^2$ , so  $A\vec{x} = B\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ , so A = 0 and B = 0

Write  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ , then  $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ . Write X for  $x_0 I + \mathbf{x} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$ , i.e.

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 + x_3 & x_1 - \mathrm{i}x_2 \\ x_1 + \mathrm{i}x_2 & x_0 - x_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let  $H_2(\mathbb{C})$  is the set of all complex hermitian matrices of order two. Note that det  $X = x^2$ .

- The map  $x \mapsto X$  is an isometry between  $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$  and  $(H_2(\mathbb{C}), det)$ .
- Under the symmetrized matrix multiplication:

$$X \circ Y := \frac{1}{2}(XY + YX),$$

 $H_2(\mathbb{C})$  becomes a real commutative algebra with unit.

• This algebra is formally real in the following sense: for *A*, *B* in  $H_2(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $A^2 + B^2 = 0 \implies A = B = 0$ .

#### Proof.

For any column vector  $\vec{x}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , let  $\vec{x}^{\dagger}$  be its hermitian conjugate. Then  $0 = \vec{x}^{\dagger} (A^2 + B^2) \vec{x} = ||A\vec{x}||^2 + ||B\vec{x}||^2$ , so  $A\vec{x} = B\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ , so A = 0 and B = 0

Write  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ , then  $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ . Write X for  $x_0 I + \mathbf{x} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$ , i.e.

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 + x_3 & x_1 - \mathrm{i}x_2 \\ x_1 + \mathrm{i}x_2 & x_0 - x_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let  $H_2(\mathbb{C})$  is the set of all complex hermitian matrices of order two. Note that det  $X = x^2$ .

- The map  $x \mapsto X$  is an isometry between  $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$  and  $(H_2(\mathbb{C}), det)$ .
- Under the symmetrized matrix multiplication:

$$X \circ Y := \frac{1}{2}(XY + YX),$$

 $H_2(\mathbb{C})$  becomes a real commutative algebra with unit.

• This algebra is formally real in the following sense: for A, B in  $H_2(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $A^2 + B^2 = 0 \implies A = B = 0$ .

Proof.

For any column vector  $\vec{x}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , let  $\vec{x}^{\dagger}$  be its hermitian conjugate. Then  $0 = \vec{x}^{\dagger} (A^2 + B^2) \vec{x} = ||A\vec{x}||^2 + ||B\vec{x}||^2$ , so  $A\vec{x} = B\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ , so A = 0 and B = 0

4/22

# Weak associativity

#### The symmetrized matrix multiplication is

#### not associative.

weakly associative in the following sense: for X, Y in H<sub>2</sub>(ℂ), we have

$$(X \circ Y) \circ X^2 = X \circ (Y \circ X^2).$$

Here  $X^2 = X \circ X = XX$ .

#### Proof.

$$LHS = \frac{1}{2}(XY + YX) \circ X^{2} = \frac{1}{4}[(XY + YX)X^{2} + X^{2}(XY + YX)]$$
  
$$= \frac{1}{4}[XYX^{2} + YXX^{2} + X^{2}XY + X^{2}YX]$$
  
$$= \frac{1}{4}[XYX^{2} + YX^{2}X + XX^{2}Y + X^{2}YX]$$
  
$$= \frac{1}{4}[(YX^{2} + X^{2}Y)X + X(YX^{2} + X^{2}Y)] = X \circ \frac{1}{2}(YX^{2} + X^{2}Y)$$
  
$$= RHS$$

# Weak associativity

The symmetrized matrix multiplication is

- not associative.
- weakly associative in the following sense: for X, Y in H<sub>2</sub>(ℂ), we have

$$(X \circ Y) \circ X^2 = X \circ (Y \circ X^2).$$

Here  $X^2 = X \circ X = XX$ .

#### Proof.

$$LHS = \frac{1}{2}(XY + YX) \circ X^{2} = \frac{1}{4}[(XY + YX)X^{2} + X^{2}(XY + YX)]$$
  
$$= \frac{1}{4}[XYX^{2} + YXX^{2} + X^{2}XY + X^{2}YX]$$
  
$$= \frac{1}{4}[XYX^{2} + YX^{2}X + XX^{2}Y + X^{2}YX]$$
  
$$= \frac{1}{4}[(YX^{2} + X^{2}Y)X + X(YX^{2} + X^{2}Y)] = X \circ \frac{1}{2}(YX^{2} + X^{2}Y)$$
  
$$= RHS$$

# Weak associativity

The symmetrized matrix multiplication is

- not associative.
- weakly associative in the following sense: for X, Y in H<sub>2</sub>(ℂ), we have

$$(X \circ Y) \circ X^2 = X \circ (Y \circ X^2).$$

Here  $X^2 = X \circ X = XX$ .

Proof.

$$LHS = \frac{1}{2}(XY + YX) \circ X^{2} = \frac{1}{4}[(XY + YX)X^{2} + X^{2}(XY + YX)]$$
  
$$= \frac{1}{4}[XYX^{2} + YXX^{2} + X^{2}XY + X^{2}YX]$$
  
$$= \frac{1}{4}[XYX^{2} + YX^{2}X + XX^{2}Y + X^{2}YX]$$
  
$$= \frac{1}{4}[(YX^{2} + X^{2}Y)X + X(YX^{2} + X^{2}Y)] = X \circ \frac{1}{2}(YX^{2} + X^{2}Y)$$
  
$$= RHS$$

# The euclidean structure on $H_2(\mathbb{C})$

For any  $u \in H_2(\mathbb{C})$ , we let  $L_u$  be the endomorphism on  $H_2(\mathbb{C})$  defined by  $v \mapsto u \circ v$ . Let  $\langle , \rangle : H_2(\mathbb{C}) \times H_2(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{R}$  be defined as follows:

$$\langle u,v\rangle := rac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\,(u\circ v) = rac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\,(uv) = rac{1}{4}\mathrm{tr}\,L_{u\circ v}.$$

 $\bullet \ \langle \, , \, \rangle$  is an inner product on  $H_2(\mathbb{C})$  such that

$$\sigma_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_3 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

form an orthonormal basis. Note that tr  $\sigma_0 = 2$  and tr  $\sigma_i = 0$ .

• The multiplication law for the real commutative algebra  ${\rm H}_2(\mathbb{C})$  with unit is given by

$$\sigma_i \circ \sigma_j = \delta_{ij}\sigma_0, \quad \sigma_0 \text{ is the unit } e.$$

L<sub>u</sub> is self-adjoint with respect to ⟨, ⟩, i.e., ⟨v, u ∘ w⟩ = ⟨u ∘ v, w⟩ for any v, w ∈ H<sub>2</sub>(ℂ). Indeed,

$$LHS = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \left( v(uw + wu) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \left( vuw + vwu \right) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \left( (uv + vu) \right) = RHS$$

- The future light cone  $\Lambda_+$  = the set of rank one, semi-positive elements in  $H_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Indeed, if the rank of X is less than two, then det X = 0, also, if  $X \neq 0$  is semi-positive, then tr X > 0. So  $x^2 = 0$  and  $x_0 > 0$ .
- For the Kepler problem, the potential term is



For details, we have to study Formally Real Jordan algebras.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- The future light cone  $\Lambda_+$  = the set of rank one, semi-positive elements in  $H_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Indeed, if the rank of X is less than two, then det X = 0, also, if  $X \neq 0$  is semi-positive, then tr X > 0. So  $x^2 = 0$  and  $x_0 > 0$ .
- For the Kepler problem, the potential term is

 $-\frac{1}{\langle e,x\rangle}.$ 

 The Kinetic term for the the Kepler problem (or rather the Riemannian metric on Λ<sub>+</sub>), angular momentum, and Lenz vector can all be naturally expressed in terms of Jordan algebra structure as well.

For details, we have to study Formally Real Jordan algebras.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- The future light cone  $\Lambda_+$  = the set of rank one, semi-positive elements in  $H_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Indeed, if the rank of X is less than two, then det X = 0, also, if  $X \neq 0$  is semi-positive, then tr X > 0. So  $x^2 = 0$  and  $x_0 > 0$ .
- For the Kepler problem, the potential term is

$$-\frac{1}{\langle e,x\rangle}$$

 The Kinetic term for the the Kepler problem (or rather the Riemannian metric on Λ<sub>+</sub>), angular momentum, and Lenz vector can all be naturally expressed in terms of Jordan algebra structure as well.

For details, we have to study Formally Real Jordan algebras.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

- The future light cone  $\Lambda_+$  = the set of rank one, semi-positive elements in H<sub>2</sub>( $\mathbb{C}$ ). Indeed, if the rank of X is less than two, then det X = 0, also, if  $X \neq 0$  is semi-positive, then tr X > 0. So  $x^2 = 0$  and  $x_0 > 0$ .
- For the Kepler problem, the potential term is

$$-\frac{1}{\langle e,x\rangle}$$

 The Kinetic term for the the Kepler problem (or rather the Riemannian metric on Λ<sub>+</sub>), angular momentum, and Lenz vector can all be naturally expressed in terms of Jordan algebra structure as well.

For details, we have to study Formally Real Jordan algebras.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

# Formally real Jordan algebras [J.Faraut and A.Koranyi, Analysis on Symmetric Cones]

Jordan algebras are the unfavored cousins of Lie algebras, and Formally real Jordan algebras are the unfavored cousins of compact real Lie algebras. Having  $H_2(\mathbb{C})$  in mind, we have

# Definition (P. Jordan, 1933)

A finite dimensional **Formally real Jordan algebra** is a finite dimensional real algebra V with unit e such that, for any elements a, b in V, we have

- 1) *ab* = *ba* (symmetry),
- 2)  $a(ba^2) = (ab)a^2$  (weakly associative),
- 3)  $a^2 + b^2 = 0 \implies a = b = 0$  (formally real).

The simplest example is  $\mathbb{R}$ , the other example is  $H_2(\mathbb{C})$ . We use  $L_a$ :  $V \to V$  to denote the multiplication by a. Then 2) says that  $[L_a, L_{a^2}] = 0$ (Jordan Identity) and 3) can be replaced by

3´) The "Killing form"  $\langle a \mid b \rangle = \frac{1}{\dim V} \operatorname{tr} L_{ab}$  is positive definite. Note that  $\langle b \mid ac \rangle = \langle ab \mid c \rangle$ . Formally real Jordan algebras are also called Euclidean Jordan algebras.

# Formally real Jordan algebras [J.Faraut and A.Koranyi, Analysis on Symmetric Cones]

Jordan algebras are the unfavored cousins of Lie algebras, and Formally real Jordan algebras are the unfavored cousins of compact real Lie algebras. Having  $H_2(\mathbb{C})$  in mind, we have

## Definition (P. Jordan, 1933)

A finite dimensional **Formally real Jordan algebra** is a finite dimensional real algebra V with unit e such that, for any elements a, b in V, we have

2)  $a(ba^2) = (ab)a^2$  (weakly associative),

3)  $a^2 + b^2 = 0 \implies a = b = 0$  (formally real).

The simplest example is  $\mathbb{R}$ , the other example is  $H_2(\mathbb{C})$ . We use  $L_a$ :  $V \to V$  to denote the multiplication by a. Then 2) says that  $[L_a, L_{a^2}] = 0$ (Jordan Identity) and 3) can be replaced by

3´) The "Killing form"  $\langle a \mid b \rangle = \frac{1}{\dim V} \operatorname{tr} L_{ab}$  is positive definite. Note that  $\langle b \mid ac \rangle = \langle ab \mid c \rangle$ . Formally real Jordan algebras are also called Euclidean Jordan algebras.

# Formally real Jordan algebras [J.Faraut and A.Koranyi, Analysis on Symmetric Cones]

Jordan algebras are the unfavored cousins of Lie algebras, and Formally real Jordan algebras are the unfavored cousins of compact real Lie algebras. Having  $H_2(\mathbb{C})$  in mind, we have

# Definition (P. Jordan, 1933)

A finite dimensional **Formally real Jordan algebra** is a finite dimensional real algebra V with unit e such that, for any elements a, b in V, we have

1) 
$$ab = ba$$
 (symmetry),

2)  $a(ba^2) = (ab)a^2$  (weakly associative),

3)  $a^2 + b^2 = 0 \implies a = b = 0$  (formally real).

The simplest example is  $\mathbb{R}$ , the other example is  $H_2(\mathbb{C})$ . We use  $L_a$ :  $V \to V$  to denote the multiplication by *a*. Then 2) says that  $[L_a, L_{a^2}] = 0$ (Jordan Identity) and 3) can be replaced by

3´) The "Killing form"  $\langle a \mid b \rangle = \frac{1}{\dim V} \operatorname{tr} L_{ab}$  is positive definite. Note that  $\langle b \mid ac \rangle = \langle ab \mid c \rangle$ . Formally real Jordan algebras are also called Euclidean Jordan algebras.

# The classification theorem

Theorem (Jordan, von Neumann and Wigner, 1934)

Euclidean Jordan algebras are semi-simple, and the simple ones consist of four infinity families and one exceptional:

```
\mathbb{R}.
\Gamma(n) := \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^n, n \ge 2.
H_n(\mathbb{R}), n \ge 3.
H_n(\mathbb{C}), n \ge 3.
H_n(\mathbb{H}), n \ge 3.
H_3(\mathbb{O}).
```

#### Remark.

- $\Gamma(0) \cong \mathbb{R}, \Gamma(1) \cong \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}, \Gamma(2) \cong H_2(\mathbb{R}), \Gamma(3) \cong H_2(\mathbb{C}),$  $\Gamma(5) \cong H_2(\mathbb{H}), \Gamma(9) \cong H_2(\mathbb{O}).$
- Each but the exceptional one is associated with an associative algebra.
- $\bullet~\mathbb{R},\, \Gamma(3),\, \text{and} \, H_3(\mathbb{O})$  are somewhat special.

ヨト イヨト ニヨ

# The structure algebra

For a, b in V, we let

 $S_{ab} := [L_a, L_b] + L_{ab}, \quad \{abc\} := S_{ab}(c)$ 

and  $\mathfrak{str}$  be the span of  $\{S_{ab} \mid a, b \in V\}$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since

$$[S_{ab}, S_{cd}] = S_{\{abc\}d} - S_{c\{bad\}},$$

st becomes a real Lie algebra — the structure algebra of V. For example, (1) st  $\mathfrak{st} \cong \mathbb{R}$  for  $V = \mathbb{R}$ , (2) st  $\mathfrak{st} \cong \mathfrak{so}(1,3) \oplus \mathbb{R}$  for  $V = \Gamma(3)$ .

This Lie algebra is not simple, actually not even semi-simple, because it has a non-trivial central element:  $S_{ee}$ . Note that  $L_u = S_{ue}$ .

The good news is that this algebra can be extended to a simple real Lie algebra provided that V is a simple Euclidean Jordan algebra. From hereon V is assumed to be a simple Euclidean Jordan algebra.

# The structure algebra

For a, b in V, we let

 $S_{ab} := [L_a, L_b] + L_{ab}, \quad \{abc\} := S_{ab}(c)$ 

and  $\mathfrak{str}$  be the span of  $\{S_{ab} \mid a, b \in V\}$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since

$$[S_{ab}, S_{cd}] = S_{\{abc\}d} - S_{c\{bad\}},$$

st becomes a real Lie algebra — the structure algebra of V. For example, (1) st  $\mathfrak{st} \cong \mathbb{R}$  for  $V = \mathbb{R}$ , (2) st  $\mathfrak{st} \cong \mathfrak{so}(1,3) \oplus \mathbb{R}$  for  $V = \Gamma(3)$ .

This Lie algebra is not simple, actually not even semi-simple, because it has a non-trivial central element:  $S_{ee}$ . Note that  $L_u = S_{ue}$ .

The good news is that this algebra can be extended to a simple real Lie algebra provided that V is a simple Euclidean Jordan algebra. From hereon V is assumed to be a simple Euclidean Jordan algebra .

# The structure algebra

For a, b in V, we let

 $S_{ab} := [L_a, L_b] + L_{ab}, \quad \{abc\} := S_{ab}(c)$ 

and  $\mathfrak{str}$  be the span of  $\{S_{ab} \mid a, b \in V\}$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since

$$[S_{ab}, S_{cd}] = S_{\{abc\}d} - S_{c\{bad\}},$$

st becomes a real Lie algebra — the structure algebra of V. For example, (1) st  $\mathfrak{st} \cong \mathbb{R}$  for  $V = \mathbb{R}$ , (2) st  $\mathfrak{st} \cong \mathfrak{so}(1,3) \oplus \mathbb{R}$  for  $V = \Gamma(3)$ .

This Lie algebra is not simple, actually not even semi-simple, because it has a non-trivial central element:  $S_{ee}$ . Note that  $L_u = S_{ue}$ .

The good news is that this algebra can be extended to a simple real Lie algebra provided that V is a simple Euclidean Jordan algebra. From hereon V is assumed to be a simple Euclidean Jordan algebra.

# The conformal algebra Write $z \in V$ as $X_z$ and $\langle w \mid \rangle \in V^*$ as $Y_w$ .

#### Definition (J. Tits, M. Koecher, I.L. Kantor, 1960's)

The **conformal algebra**  $\mathfrak{co}$  is a Lie algebra whose underlying real vector space is  $V \oplus \mathfrak{str} \oplus V^*$ , and the commutation relations are

$$[X_{u}, X_{v}] = 0, \quad [Y_{u}, Y_{v}] = 0, \quad [X_{u}, Y_{v}] = -2S_{uv},$$
$$[S_{uv}, X_{z}] = X_{\{uvz\}}, \quad [S_{uv}, Y_{z}] = -Y_{\{vuz\}},$$
$$[S_{uv}, S_{zw}] = S_{\{uvz\}w} - S_{z\{vuw\}}$$

(1)

for *u*, *v*, *z*, *w* in *V*.

When  $V = \Gamma(3)$ , str = so(3, 1)  $\oplus \mathbb{R}$ , co = so(4, 2). When  $V = \mathbb{R}$ , str =  $\mathbb{R}$ , co = sl(2,  $\mathbb{R}$ ). In general, co is the Lie algebra of the bi holomorphic automorphism group of the complex domain  $V \oplus iV_+ \subset V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ .

# After spending so much effort on the basic facts on Euclidean Jordan algebras, an impatient audience may ask this

<u>Question</u>: How could the Euclidean Jordan algebra  $H_2(\mathbb{C})$  (or  $\Gamma(3)$ ) be relevant to the Kepler problem?

Well, the answer will become clear after we review the Lenz algebra for the Kepler problem.

After spending so much effort on the basic facts on Euclidean Jordan algebras, an impatient audience may ask this

<u>Question</u>: How could the Euclidean Jordan algebra  $H_2(\mathbb{C})$  (or  $\Gamma(3)$ ) be relevant to the Kepler problem?

Well, the answer will become clear after we review the Lenz algebra for the Kepler problem.

Varna, Bulgaria, June 6-8, 2015

After spending so much effort on the basic facts on Euclidean Jordan algebras, an impatient audience may ask this

<u>Question</u>: How could the Euclidean Jordan algebra  $H_2(\mathbb{C})$  (or  $\Gamma(3)$ ) be relevant to the Kepler problem?

Well, the answer will become clear after we review the Lenz algebra for the Kepler problem.

Varna, Bulgaria, June 6-8, 2015

# Lenz algebra for the Kepler problem

The phase space for the Kepler problem, i.e.,  $T^*\mathbb{R}^3_*$ , is a Poisson manifold. In terms of the standard canonical coordinates  $x^1, x^2, x^3, p_1, p_2, p_3$ , the Poisson structure can be described by the following basic Poisson bracket relations:

$$\{x^{i}, x^{j}\} = 0, \quad \{x^{i}, p_{j}\} = \delta^{i}_{j}, \quad \{p_{i}, p_{j}\} = 0.$$

Recall that the Hamiltonian, angular momentum, and Lenz vector are

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p}^2 - \frac{1}{r}, \quad \mathbf{L} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}, \quad \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{L} \times \mathbf{p} + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}$$

respectively. In terms of Poisson bracket, the fact that L and A are constants of motion can be restated as

$$\{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{L}}, \mathrm{H}\} = \boldsymbol{\mathsf{0}}, \quad \{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{A}}, \mathrm{H}\} = \boldsymbol{\mathsf{0}}.$$

To show that, we first note that  $L_i$  (the *i*-th component of **L**) is the infinitesimal generator of the rotation about the *i*-th axis. For example, since  $L_3 = x^1 p_2 - x^2 p_1$ , we have

$$\{L_3, x^1\} = -x^2\{p_1, x^1\} = x^2, \{L_3, x^2\} = -x^1, \{L_3, x^3\} = 0.$$
  
Varia, Bulgaria, June 6-8, 2015

# Lenz algebra for the Kepler problem

The phase space for the Kepler problem, i.e.,  $T^*\mathbb{R}^3_*$ , is a Poisson manifold. In terms of the standard canonical coordinates  $x^1, x^2, x^3, p_1, p_2, p_3$ , the Poisson structure can be described by the following basic Poisson bracket relations:

$$\{x^{i}, x^{j}\} = 0, \quad \{x^{i}, p_{j}\} = \delta^{i}_{j}, \quad \{p_{i}, p_{j}\} = 0.$$

Recall that the Hamiltonian, angular momentum, and Lenz vector are

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p}^2 - \frac{1}{r}, \quad \mathbf{L} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}, \quad \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{L} \times \mathbf{p} + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}$$

respectively. In terms of Poisson bracket, the fact that  ${\bf L}$  and  ${\bf A}$  are constants of motion can be restated as

$$\{L, H\} = 0, \quad \{A, H\} = 0.$$

To show that, we first note that  $L_i$  (the *i*-th component of **L**) is the infinitesimal generator of the rotation about the *i*-th axis. For example, since  $L_3 = x^1 p_2 - x^2 p_1$ , we have

$$\{L_3, x^1\} = -x^2\{p_1, x^1\} = x^2, \{L_3, x^2\} = -x^1, \{L_3, x^3\} = 0.$$
  
Varna, Bulgaria, June 6-8, 2015

# Lenz algebra for the Kepler problem

The phase space for the Kepler problem, i.e.,  $T^*\mathbb{R}^3_*$ , is a Poisson manifold. In terms of the standard canonical coordinates  $x^1, x^2, x^3, p_1, p_2, p_3$ , the Poisson structure can be described by the following basic Poisson bracket relations:

$$\{x^{i}, x^{j}\} = 0, \quad \{x^{i}, p_{j}\} = \delta^{i}_{j}, \quad \{p_{i}, p_{j}\} = 0.$$

Recall that the Hamiltonian, angular momentum, and Lenz vector are

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p}^2 - \frac{1}{r}, \quad \mathbf{L} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}, \quad \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{L} \times \mathbf{p} + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}$$

respectively. In terms of Poisson bracket, the fact that  ${\bf L}$  and  ${\bf A}$  are constants of motion can be restated as

$$\{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{L}}, \mathrm{H}\} = \boldsymbol{\mathsf{0}}, \quad \{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{A}}, \mathrm{H}\} = \boldsymbol{\mathsf{0}}.$$

To show that, we first note that  $L_i$  (the *i*-th component of **L**) is the infinitesimal generator of the rotation about the *i*-th axis. For example, since  $L_3 = x^1 p_2 - x^2 p_1$ , we have

$$\{L_3, x^1\} = -x^2\{p_1, x^1\} = x^2, \{L_3, x^2\} = -x^1, \{L_3, x^3\} = 0.$$

Guowu Meng (HKUST)

Lecture II-III

Similarly, we have

$$\{L_3, p_1\} = p_2, \quad \{L_3, p_2\} = -p_1, \quad \{L_3, p_3\} = 0.$$

Then, it is clear that  $\{L, H\} = 0$ ; moreover,

$$\{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{H}\} = \mathbf{L} \times \{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{H}\} + \{\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}, \mathbf{H}\}$$
$$= \mathbf{L} \times \{\mathbf{p}, -\frac{1}{r}\} + \{\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}, \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p}^2\}$$
$$= \mathbf{L} \times \nabla \frac{1}{r} + \sum_i \{\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}, p_i\} p_i$$
$$= -\mathbf{L} \times \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^3} + \sum_i p_i \partial_{x^i} \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}$$
$$= -(\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}) \times \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^3} + \frac{\mathbf{p}}{r} - \frac{\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{r^3} \mathbf{r}$$
$$= \mathbf{0}.$$

Varna, Bulgaria, June 6-8, 2015

14/ 22

#### Theorem

Let  $L_i$  (resp.  $A_i$ ) be the *i*-th component of **L** (resp. **A**). Then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \{L_i, \mathrm{H}\} & = & 0 \ , \\ \{A_i, \mathrm{H}\} & = & 0 \ , \\ \{L_i, L_j\} & = & \epsilon_{ijk} L_k \ , \\ \{L_i, A_j\} & = & \epsilon_{ijk} A_k \ , \\ \{A_i, A_j\} & = & -2\mathrm{H}\epsilon_{ijk} L_k \ . \end{array}$$

Here  $\epsilon_{ijk} = 1$  (resp. -1) if *ijk* is an even (resp. odd) permutation of 123, and equals to 0 otherwise. A summation over the repeated index *k* is assumed. So we have  $\{L_1, L_2\} = L_3, \{L_2, A_3\} = A_1$ , and so on.

The real associated algebra with generators H,  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$ ,  $L_3$ ,  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$  and relations in Eq. (2) is called the Lenz algebra.

With this in mind, we are now ready to introduce the Universal Kepler Problem.

#### Theorem

Let  $L_i$  (resp.  $A_i$ ) be the *i*-th component of **L** (resp. **A**). Then

Here  $\epsilon_{ijk} = 1$  (resp. -1) if *ijk* is an even (resp. odd) permutation of 123, and equals to 0 otherwise. A summation over the repeated index *k* is assumed. So we have  $\{L_1, L_2\} = L_3, \{L_2, A_3\} = A_1$ , and so on.

The real associated algebra with generators H,  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$ ,  $L_3$ ,  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$  and relations in Eq. (2) is called the Lenz algebra.

With this in mind, we are now ready to introduce the Universal Kepler Problem.

(2)

#### Theorem

Let  $L_i$  (resp.  $A_i$ ) be the *i*-th component of **L** (resp. **A**). Then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \{L_i, \mathrm{H}\} &=& 0 \ , \\ \{A_i, \mathrm{H}\} &=& 0 \ , \\ \{L_i, L_j\} &=& \epsilon_{ijk} L_k \ , \\ \{L_i, A_j\} &=& \epsilon_{ijk} A_k \ , \\ \{A_i, A_j\} &=& -2\mathrm{H}\epsilon_{ijk} L_k \ . \end{array}$$

Here  $\epsilon_{ijk} = 1$  (resp. -1) if *ijk* is an even (resp. odd) permutation of 123, and equals to 0 otherwise. A summation over the repeated index *k* is assumed. So we have  $\{L_1, L_2\} = L_3, \{L_2, A_3\} = A_1$ , and so on.

The real associated algebra with generators H,  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$ ,  $L_3$ ,  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$  and relations in Eq. (2) is called the Lenz algebra.

With this in mind, we are now ready to introduce the Universal Kepler Problem.

(2)

#### Theorem

Let  $L_i$  (resp.  $A_i$ ) be the *i*-th component of **L** (resp. **A**). Then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \{L_i, \mathrm{H}\} &=& 0 \ , \\ \{A_i, \mathrm{H}\} &=& 0 \ , \\ \{L_i, L_j\} &=& \epsilon_{ijk} L_k \ , \\ \{L_i, A_j\} &=& \epsilon_{ijk} A_k \ , \\ \{A_i, A_j\} &=& -2\mathrm{H}\epsilon_{ijk} L_k \ . \end{array}$$

Here  $\epsilon_{ijk} = 1$  (resp. -1) if *ijk* is an even (resp. odd) permutation of 123, and equals to 0 otherwise. A summation over the repeated index *k* is assumed. So we have  $\{L_1, L_2\} = L_3, \{L_2, A_3\} = A_1$ , and so on.

The real associated algebra with generators H,  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$ ,  $L_3$ ,  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$  and relations in Eq. (2) is called the Lenz algebra.

With this in mind, we are now ready to introduce the Universal Kepler Problem.

(2)

[Based on [G. Meng, "The Universal Kepler Problems", JGSP 36 (2014) 47-57]] Let TKK be the complexified universal enveloping algebra for the conformal algebra, but with  $Y_e$  being formally inverted.

Definition

The **universal angular momentum** is

$$\begin{array}{rccc} L: V \times V & \to & \mathcal{TKK} \\ (u, v) & \mapsto & L_{u,v} := [L_u, L_v] \end{array}$$

The universal Hamiltonian is

$$H := \frac{1}{2} Y_e^{-1} X_e - (iY_e)^{-1}$$
(4)

The universal Lenz vector is

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A: V & \rightarrow & \mathcal{TKK} \\ & u & \mapsto & A_u := (\mathrm{i} Y_e)^{-1} [L_u, (\mathrm{i} Y_e)^2 H] \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & &$$

Guowu Meng (HKUST)

Lecture II-III

[Based on [G. Meng, "The Universal Kepler Problems", JGSP 36 (2014) 47-57]] Let TKK be the complexified universal enveloping algebra for the conformal algebra, but with  $Y_e$  being formally inverted.

Definition

The universal angular momentum is

$$\begin{array}{rccc} L: V \times V & \to & \mathcal{TKK} \\ (u, v) & \mapsto & L_{u,v} := [L_u, L_v] \end{array}$$

The **universal Hamiltonian** is

$$H := \frac{1}{2} Y_e^{-1} X_e - (i Y_e)^{-1}$$

The **universal Lenz vector** is

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A: V & \to & \mathcal{TKK} \\ u & \mapsto & \mathcal{A}_u := (\mathrm{i} Y_e)^{-1} [L_u, (\mathrm{i} Y_e)^2 H] \end{array}$$

(3)

[Based on [G. Meng, "The Universal Kepler Problems", JGSP 36 (2014) 47-57]] Let TKK be the complexified universal enveloping algebra for the conformal algebra, but with  $Y_e$  being formally inverted.

#### Definition

The universal angular momentum is

$$\begin{array}{rccc} L: V \times V & \to & \mathcal{TKK} \\ (u, v) & \mapsto & L_{u,v} := [L_u, L_v] \end{array}$$

The universal Hamiltonian is

$$H := \frac{1}{2} Y_e^{-1} X_e - (i Y_e)^{-1}$$
(4)

The **universal Lenz vector** is

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A: V & \to & \mathcal{TKK} \\ u & \mapsto & A_u := (\mathrm{i} Y_e)^{-1} [L_u, (\mathrm{i} Y_e)^2 H] \end{array}$$

Guowu Meng (HKUST)

Lecture II-II

(3)

[Based on [G. Meng, "The Universal Kepler Problems", JGSP 36 (2014) 47-57]] Let TKK be the complexified universal enveloping algebra for the conformal algebra, but with  $Y_e$  being formally inverted.

#### Definition

The universal angular momentum is

$$\begin{array}{rcccc} L: V \times V & \to & \mathcal{TKK} \\ (u, v) & \mapsto & L_{u,v} := [L_u, L_v] \end{array}$$

The universal Hamiltonian is

$$H := \frac{1}{2} Y_e^{-1} X_e - (i Y_e)^{-1}$$
(4)

The universal Lenz vector is

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \boldsymbol{A}: \boldsymbol{V} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{TKK} \\ \boldsymbol{u} & \mapsto & \boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{u}} := (\mathrm{i} \, \boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{e}})^{-1} [\boldsymbol{L}_{\boldsymbol{u}}, (\mathrm{i} \, \boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{e}})^{2} \boldsymbol{H}] \end{array}$$

(3)

(5)

# Universal Lenz algebra

Via the commutation relation for the conformal algebra, one can verify

#### Theorem

For u, v, z and w in V,

**Proof**. Since  $S_{uv} = L_{u,v} + L_{uv}$ , part of the commutation relations for the conformal algebra can be rewritten as

$$[L_{u,v}, X_{z}] = X_{L_{u,vZ}}, \quad [L_{u,v}, Y_{z}] = Y_{L_{u,vZ}},$$
$$[L_{u,v}, L_{z}] = L_{L_{u,vZ}}, \quad [L_{u,v}, L_{z,w}] = L_{L_{u,vZ,w}} + L_{z,L_{u,vW}}.$$
Then we have  $[L_{u,v}, X_{e}] = [L_{u,v}, Y_{e}] = 0$ , so  $[L_{u,va}, H] = 0$ , so  $[L$ 

# Universal Lenz algebra

Via the commutation relation for the conformal algebra, one can verify

#### Theorem

For u, v, z and w in V,

**Proof.** Since  $S_{uv} = L_{u,v} + L_{uv}$ , part of the commutation relations for the conformal algebra can be rewritten as

$$[L_{u,v}, X_z] = X_{L_{u,vz}}, \quad [L_{u,v}, Y_z] = Y_{L_{u,vz}},$$
$$[L_{u,v}, L_z] = L_{L_{u,vz}}, \quad [L_{u,v}, L_{z,w}] = L_{L_{u,vz},w} + L_{z,L_{u,vw}}.$$
Then we have  $[L_{u,v}, X_e] = [L_{u,v}, Y_e] = 0$ , so  $[L_{u,va}, H] = 0$ , so  $[L_{u,va}, H] = 0$ , so  $[L_{u,va}, H] = 0$ .

Guowu Meng (HKUST)

Lecture II-III

# Universal Lenz algebra

Via the commutation relation for the conformal algebra, one can verify

#### Theorem

For u, v, z and w in V,

**Proof.** Since  $S_{uv} = L_{u,v} + L_{uv}$ , part of the commutation relations for the conformal algebra can be rewritten as

$$[L_{u,v}, X_z] = X_{L_{u,vZ}}, \quad [L_{u,v}, Y_z] = Y_{L_{u,vZ}},$$
$$[L_{u,v}, L_z] = L_{L_{u,vZ}}, \quad [L_{u,v}, L_{z,w}] = L_{L_{u,vZ},w} + L_{z,L_{u,vW}}.$$
Then we have  $[L_{u,v}, X_e] = [L_{u,v}, Y_e] = 0$ , so  $[L_{u,vZ}, H] = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} [L_{u,v}, A_z] &= i Y_e^{-1} [L_{u,v}, [L_z, Y_e^2 H]] \\ &= i Y_e^{-1} \left( [[L_{u,v}, L_z], Y_e^2 H] + [L_z, [L_{u,v}, Y_e^2 H]] \right) \\ &= i Y_e^{-1} [L_{L_{u,v}z}, Y_e^2 H] \\ &= A_{L_{u,v}z}. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof is skipped.

A concrete realization of the conformal algebra ↓ a concrete model of the Kepler type

To be more precise, we have

A suitable operator realization  $\implies$  a quantum model.

$$\begin{aligned} [L_{u,v}, A_{z}] &= iY_{e}^{-1}[L_{u,v}, [L_{z}, Y_{e}^{2}H]] \\ &= iY_{e}^{-1}\left([[L_{u,v}, L_{z}], Y_{e}^{2}H] + [L_{z}, [L_{u,v}, Y_{e}^{2}H]]\right) \\ &= iY_{e}^{-1}[L_{L_{u,v}z}, Y_{e}^{2}H] \\ &= A_{L_{u,v}z}. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof is skipped.

# A concrete realization of the conformal algebra $\downarrow\downarrow$ a concrete model of the Kepler type

To be more precise, we have

A suitable operator realization  $\implies$  a quantum model.

$$\begin{split} [L_{u,v}, A_z] &= \mathrm{i} Y_e^{-1} [L_{u,v}, [L_z, Y_e^2 H]] \\ &= \mathrm{i} Y_e^{-1} \left( [[L_{u,v}, L_z], Y_e^2 H] + [L_z, [L_{u,v}, Y_e^2 H]] \right) \\ &= \mathrm{i} Y_e^{-1} [L_{L_{u,v}z}, Y_e^2 H] \\ &= A_{L_{u,v}z}. \end{split}$$

The rest of the proof is skipped.

# A concrete realization of the conformal algebra $\psi$ a concrete model of the Kepler type

To be more precise, we have

A suitable operator realization  $\implies$  a quantum model.

$$\begin{aligned} [L_{u,v}, A_z] &= i Y_e^{-1} [L_{u,v}, [L_z, Y_e^2 H]] \\ &= i Y_e^{-1} \left( [[L_{u,v}, L_z], Y_e^2 H] + [L_z, [L_{u,v}, Y_e^2 H]] \right) \\ &= i Y_e^{-1} [L_{L_{u,v}z}, Y_e^2 H] \\ &= A_{L_{u,v}z}. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof is skipped.

#### A concrete realization of the conformal algebra ↓ a concrete model of the Kepler type

To be more precise, we have

A suitable operator realization  $\implies$  a quantum model.

# Poisson realizations

In a Poisson realization of the TKK algebra,  $S_{uv}$ ,  $X_z$ ,  $Y_w$  are respectively represented as real functions  $S_{uv}$ ,  $\mathcal{X}_z$ ,  $\mathcal{Y}_w$  on a Poisson manifold so that the commutation relations are represented by the Poisson bracket relations: for u, v, z, w in V, we have

$$\{\mathcal{X}_{u}, \mathcal{X}_{v}\} = 0, \quad \{\mathcal{Y}_{u}, \mathcal{Y}_{v}\} = 0, \quad \{\mathcal{X}_{u}, \mathcal{Y}_{v}\} = -2\mathcal{S}_{uv},$$
$$\{\mathcal{S}_{uv}, \mathcal{X}_{z}\} = \mathcal{X}_{\{uvz\}}, \quad \{\mathcal{S}_{uv}, \mathcal{Y}_{z}\} = -\mathcal{Y}_{\{vuz\}},$$
$$\{\mathcal{S}_{uv}, \mathcal{S}_{zw}\} = \mathcal{S}_{\{uvz\}w} - \mathcal{S}_{z\{vuw\}}.$$

Then, H,  $A_u$  and  $L_{u,v}$  can be realized as real functions

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{X}_{e} - 1}{\mathcal{Y}_{e}}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{u} := \frac{\{\mathcal{L}_{u}, \mathcal{Y}_{e}^{2}\mathcal{H}\}}{\mathcal{Y}_{e}}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{u,v} := \{\mathcal{L}_{u}, \mathcal{L}_{v}\}$$
(8)

respectively. Note that

$$\mathcal{A}_{U} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathcal{X}_{U} - \mathcal{Y}_{U} \frac{\mathcal{X}_{e}}{\mathcal{Y}_{e}} \right) + \frac{\mathcal{Y}_{U}}{\mathcal{Y}_{e^{*}}} \xrightarrow{(9)}{} \sqrt{2\pi n_{a}} \frac{(9)}{\text{Bulgaria, June 6.8, 2015}}$$

Guowu Meng (HKUST)

Lecture II-III

# Poisson realizations

In a Poisson realization of the TKK algebra,  $S_{uv}$ ,  $X_z$ ,  $Y_w$  are respectively represented as real functions  $S_{uv}$ ,  $\mathcal{X}_z$ ,  $\mathcal{Y}_w$  on a Poisson manifold so that the commutation relations are represented by the Poisson bracket relations: for u, v, z, w in V, we have

$$\{\mathcal{X}_{u}, \mathcal{X}_{v}\} = 0, \quad \{\mathcal{Y}_{u}, \mathcal{Y}_{v}\} = 0, \quad \{\mathcal{X}_{u}, \mathcal{Y}_{v}\} = -2\mathcal{S}_{uv},$$
$$\{\mathcal{S}_{uv}, \mathcal{X}_{z}\} = \mathcal{X}_{\{uvz\}}, \quad \{\mathcal{S}_{uv}, \mathcal{Y}_{z}\} = -\mathcal{Y}_{\{vuz\}},$$
$$\{\mathcal{S}_{uv}, \mathcal{S}_{zw}\} = \mathcal{S}_{\{uvz\}w} - \mathcal{S}_{z\{vuw\}}.$$

Then, H,  $A_u$  and  $L_{u,v}$  can be realized as real functions

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{X}_{e} - 1}{\mathcal{Y}_{e}}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{u} := \frac{\{\mathcal{L}_{u}, \mathcal{Y}_{e}^{2}\mathcal{H}\}}{\mathcal{Y}_{e}}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{u,v} := \{\mathcal{L}_{u}, \mathcal{L}_{v}\}$$
(8)

respectively. Note that

$$\mathcal{A}_{u} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathcal{X}_{u} - \mathcal{Y}_{u} \frac{\mathcal{X}_{e}}{\mathcal{Y}_{e}} \right) + \frac{\mathcal{Y}_{u}}{\mathcal{Y}_{e}}.$$
(9)
Varia, Bulgaria, June 6.8, 2015

Guowu Meng (HKUST)

Lecture II-II

Via the canonical inner product on *V*,  $TV \cong T^*V$ . So *TV* becomes a symplectic space. Denote an element of  $TV = V \times V$  by  $(x, \pi)$  and fix an orthonormal basis  $\{e_{\alpha}\}$  for *V* so that we can write  $x = x^{\alpha}e_{\alpha}$  and  $\pi = \pi^{\alpha}e_{\alpha}$ . Then the basic Poisson bracket relations on *TV* are

$$\{\mathbf{x}^{lpha},\pi^{eta}\}=\delta^{lphaeta},\quad\{\mathbf{x}^{lpha},\mathbf{x}^{eta}\}=\mathbf{0},\quad\{\pi^{lpha},\pi^{eta}\}=\mathbf{0}.$$

In coordinate free form, we have

 $\{\langle x \mid u \rangle, \langle \pi \mid v \rangle\} = \langle u \mid v \rangle, \quad \{\langle x \mid u \rangle, \langle x \mid v \rangle\} = \{\langle \pi \mid u \rangle, \langle \pi \mid v \rangle\} = 0.$ 

One can check that real functions

$$S_{UV} := \langle S_{UV}(x) \mid \pi \rangle, \quad \mathcal{X}_U := \langle x \mid \{\pi U\pi\}\rangle, \quad \mathcal{Y}_V := \langle x \mid V\rangle$$
(10)

yield a Poisson realization on *TV* of  $S_{uv}$ ,  $X_z$ ,  $Y_w$  respectively. **Proof.** It is clear that  $\{\mathcal{Y}_u, \mathcal{Y}_v\} = 0$ .

$$\{ \mathcal{X}_{U}, \mathcal{Y}_{V} \} = \{ \langle x \mid \{ \pi U \pi \} \rangle, \langle x \mid v \rangle \}$$
  
=  $-2 \langle x \mid \{ V U \pi \} \rangle = -2 \langle S_{UV}(x) \mid \pi \rangle$   
=  $-2 S_{UV}.$ 

Via the canonical inner product on *V*,  $TV \cong T^*V$ . So *TV* becomes a symplectic space. Denote an element of  $TV = V \times V$  by  $(x, \pi)$  and fix an orthonormal basis  $\{e_{\alpha}\}$  for *V* so that we can write  $x = x^{\alpha}e_{\alpha}$  and  $\pi = \pi^{\alpha}e_{\alpha}$ . Then the basic Poisson bracket relations on *TV* are

$$\{\boldsymbol{x}^{lpha},\pi^{eta}\}=\delta^{lphaeta},\quad\{\boldsymbol{x}^{lpha},\boldsymbol{x}^{eta}\}=\mathbf{0},\quad\{\pi^{lpha},\pi^{eta}\}=\mathbf{0}.$$

In coordinate free form, we have

$$\{\langle x \mid u \rangle, \langle \pi \mid v \rangle\} = \langle u \mid v \rangle, \quad \{\langle x \mid u \rangle, \langle x \mid v \rangle\} = \{\langle \pi \mid u \rangle, \langle \pi \mid v \rangle\} = 0.$$
  
One can check that real functions

$$S_{UV} := \langle S_{UV}(x) \mid \pi \rangle, \quad \mathcal{X}_{U} := \langle x \mid \{\pi U\pi\}\rangle, \quad \mathcal{Y}_{V} := \langle x \mid V \rangle$$
(10)

yield a Poisson realization on *TV* of  $S_{uv}$ ,  $X_z$ ,  $Y_w$  respectively. **Proof**. It is clear that  $\{\mathcal{Y}_u, \mathcal{Y}_v\} = 0$ .

$$\{ \mathcal{X}_{U}, \mathcal{Y}_{V} \} = \{ \langle x \mid \{ \pi U \pi \} \rangle, \langle x \mid v \rangle \}$$
  
=  $-2 \langle x \mid \{ V U \pi \} \rangle = -2 \langle S_{UV}(x) \mid \pi \rangle$   
=  $-2 \mathcal{S}_{UV}.$   
Varia, Bulgaria, June 6-8, 2015

Via the canonical inner product on *V*,  $TV \cong T^*V$ . So *TV* becomes a symplectic space. Denote an element of  $TV = V \times V$  by  $(x, \pi)$  and fix an orthonormal basis  $\{e_{\alpha}\}$  for *V* so that we can write  $x = x^{\alpha}e_{\alpha}$  and  $\pi = \pi^{\alpha}e_{\alpha}$ . Then the basic Poisson bracket relations on *TV* are

$$\{\boldsymbol{x}^{lpha},\pi^{eta}\}=\delta^{lphaeta},\quad\{\boldsymbol{x}^{lpha},\boldsymbol{x}^{eta}\}=\mathbf{0},\quad\{\pi^{lpha},\pi^{eta}\}=\mathbf{0}.$$

In coordinate free form, we have

 $\{\langle x \mid u \rangle, \langle \pi \mid v \rangle\} = \langle u \mid v \rangle, \quad \{\langle x \mid u \rangle, \langle x \mid v \rangle\} = \{\langle \pi \mid u \rangle, \langle \pi \mid v \rangle\} = 0.$ 

One can check that real functions

$$\mathcal{S}_{uv} := \langle \mathcal{S}_{uv}(x) \mid \pi \rangle, \quad \mathcal{X}_{u} := \langle x \mid \{\pi u \pi\} \rangle, \quad \mathcal{Y}_{v} := \langle x \mid v \rangle$$
(10)

yield a Poisson realization on *TV* of  $S_{uv}$ ,  $X_z$ ,  $Y_w$  respectively. **Proof**. It is clear that  $\{\mathcal{Y}_u, \mathcal{Y}_v\} = 0$ .

$$\{ \mathcal{X}_{U}, \mathcal{Y}_{V} \} = \{ \langle x \mid \{ \pi U \pi \} \rangle, \langle x \mid v \rangle \}$$
  
=  $-2 \langle x \mid \{ V U \pi \} \rangle = -2 \langle S_{UV}(x) \mid \pi \rangle$   
=  $-2 S_{UV}.$   
Varia, Bulgaria, June 6.8,  $\overline{2}015^{\circ \circ \circ \circ}$ 

Via the canonical inner product on *V*,  $TV \cong T^*V$ . So *TV* becomes a symplectic space. Denote an element of  $TV = V \times V$  by  $(x, \pi)$  and fix an orthonormal basis  $\{e_{\alpha}\}$  for *V* so that we can write  $x = x^{\alpha}e_{\alpha}$  and  $\pi = \pi^{\alpha}e_{\alpha}$ . Then the basic Poisson bracket relations on *TV* are

$$\{\boldsymbol{x}^{lpha},\pi^{eta}\}=\delta^{lphaeta},\quad\{\boldsymbol{x}^{lpha},\boldsymbol{x}^{eta}\}=\mathbf{0},\quad\{\pi^{lpha},\pi^{eta}\}=\mathbf{0}.$$

In coordinate free form, we have

$$\{\langle \boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{u} \rangle, \langle \boldsymbol{\pi} \mid \boldsymbol{v} \rangle\} = \langle \boldsymbol{u} \mid \boldsymbol{v} \rangle, \quad \{\langle \boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{u} \rangle, \langle \boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{v} \rangle\} = \{\langle \boldsymbol{\pi} \mid \boldsymbol{u} \rangle, \langle \boldsymbol{\pi} \mid \boldsymbol{v} \rangle\} = \mathbf{0}.$$

One can check that real functions

$$\mathcal{S}_{uv} := \langle \mathcal{S}_{uv}(x) \mid \pi \rangle, \quad \mathcal{X}_{u} := \langle x \mid \{\pi u \pi\} \rangle, \quad \mathcal{Y}_{v} := \langle x \mid v \rangle$$
(10)

yield a Poisson realization on *TV* of  $S_{uv}$ ,  $X_z$ ,  $Y_w$  respectively. **Proof**. It is clear that  $\{\mathcal{Y}_u, \mathcal{Y}_v\} = 0$ .

$$\{ \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{V}} \} = \{ \langle \mathbf{X} \mid \{ \pi \mathbf{U} \pi \} \rangle, \langle \mathbf{X} \mid \mathbf{V} \rangle \}$$
  
=  $-2 \langle \mathbf{X} \mid \{ \mathbf{V} \mathbf{U} \pi \} \rangle = -2 \langle S_{\mathcal{U} \mathcal{V}}(\mathbf{X}) \mid \pi \rangle$   
=  $-2 \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{U} \mathcal{V}}.$ 

$$\{ \mathcal{S}_{uv}, \mathcal{Y}_{z} \} = \{ \langle \mathcal{S}_{uv}(x) \mid \pi \rangle, \langle x \mid z \rangle \} \\ = - \langle \mathcal{S}_{uv}(x) \mid z \rangle = - \langle x \mid \{ vuz \} \rangle \\ = - \mathcal{Y}_{\{ vuz \}}.$$

$$\{ S_{uv}, S_{zw} \} = \{ \langle S_{uv}(x) \mid \pi \rangle, \langle S_{zw}(x) \mid \pi \rangle \}$$
  
=  $\langle S_{uv}S_{zw}(x) \mid \pi \rangle - \langle S_{zw}S_{uv}(x) \mid \pi \rangle$   
=  $\langle [S_{uv}, S_{zw}](x) \mid \pi \rangle = \langle (S_{\{uvz\}w} - S_{z\{vuw\}})(x) \mid \pi \rangle$   
=  $S_{\{uvz\}w} - S_{z\{vuw\}}.$ 

However, this is not a suitable Poisson realization because neither  $\mathcal{X}_e$  nor  $\mathcal{Y}_e$  is positive on *TV*.

To salvage this Poisson realization, we restrict the Poisson realization to certain sub-symplectic manifolds of *TV*, for example, *TC<sub>r</sub>* where *C<sub>r</sub>* is the set of rank *r* semi-positive elements of *V*, with *r* being a positive integer less than or equal to the rank of *V*. Indeed, restricting  $\mathcal{H}$  to *TC<sub>r</sub>* yields an integrable model of Kepler type, which is the Kepler problem when  $V = \Gamma(3)$  and r = 1.

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{S}_{uv}, \mathcal{Y}_{z}\} &= \{ \langle \mathcal{S}_{uv}(x) \mid \pi \rangle, \langle x \mid z \rangle \} \\ &= - \langle \mathcal{S}_{uv}(x) \mid z \rangle = - \langle x \mid \{ vuz \} \rangle \\ &= - \mathcal{Y}_{\{vuz\}}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\{ S_{uv}, S_{zw} \} = \{ \langle S_{uv}(x) \mid \pi \rangle, \langle S_{zw}(x) \mid \pi \rangle \}$$
  
=  $\langle S_{uv}S_{zw}(x) \mid \pi \rangle - \langle S_{zw}S_{uv}(x) \mid \pi \rangle$   
=  $\langle [S_{uv}, S_{zw}](x) \mid \pi \rangle = \langle (S_{\{uvz\}w} - S_{z\{vuw\}})(x) \mid \pi \rangle$   
=  $S_{\{uvz\}w} - S_{z\{vuw\}}.$ 

However, this is not a suitable Poisson realization because neither  $\mathcal{X}_e$  nor  $\mathcal{Y}_e$  is positive on TV.

To salvage this Poisson realization, we restrict the Poisson realization to certain sub-symplectic manifolds of *TV*, for example, *TC<sub>r</sub>* where *C<sub>r</sub>* is the set of rank *r* semi-positive elements of *V*, with *r* being a positive integer less than or equal to the rank of *V*. Indeed, restricting  $\mathcal{H}$  to *TC<sub>r</sub>* yields an integrable model of Kepler type, which is the Kepler problem when  $V = \Gamma(3)$  and r = 1.

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{S}_{uv}, \mathcal{Y}_{z}\} &= \{ \langle \mathcal{S}_{uv}(x) \mid \pi \rangle, \langle x \mid z \rangle \} \\ &= - \langle \mathcal{S}_{uv}(x) \mid z \rangle = - \langle x \mid \{ vuz \} \rangle \\ &= - \mathcal{Y}_{\{vuz\}}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\{ S_{uv}, S_{zw} \} = \{ \langle S_{uv}(x) \mid \pi \rangle, \langle S_{zw}(x) \mid \pi \rangle \}$$
  
=  $\langle S_{uv}S_{zw}(x) \mid \pi \rangle - \langle S_{zw}S_{uv}(x) \mid \pi \rangle$   
=  $\langle [S_{uv}, S_{zw}](x) \mid \pi \rangle = \langle (S_{\{uvz\}w} - S_{z\{vuw\}})(x) \mid \pi \rangle$   
=  $S_{\{uvz\}w} - S_{z\{vuw\}}.$ 

However, this is not a suitable Poisson realization because neither  $\mathcal{X}_e$  nor  $\mathcal{Y}_e$  is positive on TV.

To salvage this Poisson realization, we restrict the Poisson realization to certain sub-symplectic manifolds of *TV*, for example, *TC<sub>r</sub>* where *C<sub>r</sub>* is the set of rank *r* semi-positive elements of *V*, with *r* being a positive integer less than or equal to the rank of *V*. Indeed, restricting  $\mathcal{H}$  to *TC<sub>r</sub>* yields an integrable model of Kepler type, which is the Kepler problem when  $V = \Gamma(3)$  and r = 1.

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{S}_{uv}, \mathcal{Y}_{z}\} &= \{ \langle \mathcal{S}_{uv}(x) \mid \pi \rangle, \langle x \mid z \rangle \} \\ &= - \langle \mathcal{S}_{uv}(x) \mid z \rangle = - \langle x \mid \{ vuz \} \rangle \\ &= - \mathcal{Y}_{\{vuz\}}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\{ \mathcal{S}_{UV}, \mathcal{S}_{ZW} \} = \{ \langle S_{UV}(x) \mid \pi \rangle, \langle S_{ZW}(x) \mid \pi \rangle \}$$
  
=  $\langle S_{UV}S_{ZW}(x) \mid \pi \rangle - \langle S_{ZW}S_{UV}(x) \mid \pi \rangle$   
=  $\langle [S_{UV}, S_{ZW}](x) \mid \pi \rangle = \langle (S_{\{UVZ\}W} - S_{Z\{VUW\}})(x) \mid \pi \rangle$   
=  $\mathcal{S}_{\{UVZ\}W} - \mathcal{S}_{Z\{VUW\}}.$ 

However, this is not a suitable Poisson realization because neither  $\mathcal{X}_e$  nor  $\mathcal{Y}_e$  is positive on TV.

To salvage this Poisson realization, we restrict the Poisson realization to certain sub-symplectic manifolds of TV, for example,  $TC_r$  where  $C_r$ is the set of rank *r* semi-positive elements of *V*, with *r* being a positive integer less than or equal to the rank of *V*. Indeed, restricting  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $TC_r$ yields an integrable model of Kepler type, which is the Kepler problem

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{S}_{uv}, \mathcal{Y}_{z}\} &= \{ \langle \mathcal{S}_{uv}(x) \mid \pi \rangle, \langle x \mid z \rangle \} \\ &= - \langle \mathcal{S}_{uv}(x) \mid z \rangle = - \langle x \mid \{ vuz \} \rangle \\ &= - \mathcal{Y}_{\{vuz\}}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\{ S_{UV}, S_{ZW} \} = \{ \langle S_{UV}(x) \mid \pi \rangle, \langle S_{ZW}(x) \mid \pi \rangle \}$$
  
=  $\langle S_{UV}S_{ZW}(x) \mid \pi \rangle - \langle S_{ZW}S_{UV}(x) \mid \pi \rangle$   
=  $\langle [S_{UV}, S_{ZW}](x) \mid \pi \rangle = \langle (S_{\{UVZ\}W} - S_{Z\{VUW\}})(x) \mid \pi \rangle$   
=  $S_{\{UVZ\}W} - S_{Z\{VUW\}}.$ 

However, this is not a suitable Poisson realization because neither  $\mathcal{X}_e$  nor  $\mathcal{Y}_e$  is positive on TV.

To salvage this Poisson realization, we restrict the Poisson realization to certain sub-symplectic manifolds of *TV*, for example, *TC<sub>r</sub>* where *C<sub>r</sub>* is the set of rank *r* semi-positive elements of *V*, with *r* being a positive integer less than or equal to the rank of *V*. Indeed, restricting  $\mathcal{H}$  to *TC<sub>r</sub>* yields an integrable model of Kepler type, which is the Kepler problem when  $V = \Gamma(3)$  and r = 1.

# To be continued

# Thanks for your attention!

Guowu Meng (HKUST)

Varna, Bulgaria, June 6-8, 2015 22 / 22

To be continued

# Thanks for your attention!

Guowu Meng (HKUST)

Lecture II-II