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## Harmonic and biharmonic maps

$$
\text { Let } \varphi:(M, g) \rightarrow(N, h) \text { be a smooth map. }
$$

Energy functional

$$
E(\varphi)=E_{1}(\varphi)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{M}|d \varphi|^{2} v_{g}
$$

Euler-Lagrange equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau(\varphi)=\tau_{1}(\varphi) & =\operatorname{trace}_{g} \nabla d \varphi \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Critical points of $E$ : harmonic maps

## Harmonic and biharmonic maps

## Let $\varphi:(M, g) \rightarrow(N, h)$ be a smooth map.

Energy functional
$E(\varphi)=E_{1}(\varphi)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{M}|d \varphi|^{2} v_{g}$
Euler-Lagrange equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau(\varphi)=\tau_{1}(\varphi) & =\operatorname{trace}_{g} \nabla d \varphi \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Critical points of $E$ : harmonic maps

Bienergy functional

$$
E_{2}(\varphi)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{M}|\tau(\varphi)|^{2} v_{g}
$$

Euler-Lagrange equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{2}(\varphi) & =\Delta^{\varphi} \tau(\varphi)-\operatorname{trace}_{g} R^{N}(d \varphi, \tau(\varphi)) d \varphi \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

## The biharmonic equation (Jiang, 1986)

$$
\tau_{2}(\varphi)=\Delta^{\varphi} \tau(\varphi)-\operatorname{trace}_{g} R^{N}(d \varphi, \tau(\varphi)) d \varphi=0
$$

where

$$
\Delta^{\varphi}=\operatorname{trace}_{g}\left(\nabla^{\varphi} \nabla^{\varphi}-\nabla_{\nabla}^{\varphi}\right)
$$

is the rough Laplacian on sections of $\varphi^{-1} T N$
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- is a fourth-order non-linear elliptic equation
- any harmonic map is biharmonic
- a non-harmonic biharmonic map is called proper biharmonic
- the biharmonic submanifolds $M$ of a given space $N$ are the submanifolds such that the inclusion map $i: M \rightarrow N$ is biharmonic (the inclusion map $i: M \rightarrow N$ is harmonic if and only if $M$ is minimal)


## The biharmonic equation

Theorem (Balmuş-Montaldo-Oniciuc, 2012)
A submanifold $\Sigma^{m}$ in a Riemannian manifold $N$, with second fundamental form $\sigma$, mean curvature vector field $H$, and shape operator $A$, is biharmonic if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta^{\perp} H+\operatorname{trace} \sigma\left(\cdot, A_{H} \cdot\right)+\operatorname{trace}\left(R^{N}(\cdot, H) \cdot\right)^{\perp}=0 \\
\frac{m}{2} \operatorname{grad}|H|^{2}+2 \operatorname{trace} A_{\nabla+H}(\cdot)+2 \operatorname{trace}\left(R^{N}(\cdot, H) \cdot\right)^{\top}=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Delta^{\perp}$ is the Laplacian in the normal bundle.

## Biharmonic submanifolds in Euclidean spaces

$$
R^{N}=0 \Rightarrow \tau_{2}(\varphi)=\Delta^{\varphi} \tau(\varphi)
$$

Definition (Chen)
A submanifold $i: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is biharmonic if it has harmonic mean curvature vector field, i.e.,

$$
\Delta^{i} H=0 \Leftrightarrow \Delta^{i} \tau(i)=0 .
$$

## Non existence of proper biharmonic submanifolds

For any of the following classes of submanifolds the biharmonicity is equivalent to minimality:

- submanifolds of $N^{3}(c), c \leq 0$ (Chen/Caddeo - Montaldo - Oniciuc)
- curves of $N^{n}(c), c \leq 0$ (Dimitric/Caddeo - Montaldo - Oniciuc)
- submanifolds of finite type in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (Dimitric)
- hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with at most two principal curvatures (Dimitric)
- pseudo-umbilical submanifolds of $N^{n}(c), c \leq 0, n \neq 4$ (Dimitric/Caddeo - Montaldo - Oniciuc)
- hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ (Hasanis - Vlachos)
- spherical submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (Chen)
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Chen's conjecture (still open)
Any biharmonic submanifold of the Euclidean space is minimal.

Generalized Chen's Conjecture (still open)
Biharmonic submanifolds of $N^{n}(c), n>3, c \leq 0$, are minimal.

## Main examples of biharmonic submanifolds in $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ (Jiang, 1986/ Caddeo - Montaldo - Oniciuc, 2002)

The composition property

$$
\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(a) \xrightarrow{\text { biharmonic }} \mathbb{S}^{n} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad a=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}
$$
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## Properties

- $M$ has parallel mean curvature vector field and $|H|=1$
- $M$ is pseudo-umbilical in $\mathbb{S}^{n}$, i.e., $A_{H}=|H|^{2} \mathrm{Id}$
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Properties

- $M_{1} \times M_{2}$ has parallel mean curvature vector field and $|H| \in(0,1)$
- $M_{1} \times M_{2}$ is not pseudo-umbilical in $\mathbb{S}^{n}$


## Complex space forms

## Definition

A complex space form is a $2 n$-dimensional Kähler manifold $N^{n}(\rho)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $\rho$.

A complex space form $N^{n}(\rho)$ is either:

- the complex projective space $\mathbb{C} P^{n}(\rho)$, if $\rho>0$
- the complex Euclidean space $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, if $\rho=0$
- the complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{C} H^{n}(\rho)$, if $\rho<0$

The curvature tensor

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{N}(U, V) W= & \frac{\rho}{4}\{\langle V, W\rangle U-\langle U, W\rangle V+\langle J V, W\rangle J U-\langle J U, W\rangle J V \\
& +2\langle J V, U\rangle J W\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Biharmonic submanifolds of $\mathbb{C} P^{n}$

Let $i: \Sigma^{m} \rightarrow N^{n}(\rho)$ be a submanifold of real dimension $m$.

- (Gauss) $\nabla_{X}^{N} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+\sigma(X, Y)$
- (Weingarten) $\nabla_{X}^{N} V=-A_{V} X+\nabla_{X}^{\perp} V$


## Biharmonic submanifolds of $\mathbb{C} P^{n}$

Let $i: \Sigma^{m} \rightarrow N^{n}(\rho)$ be a submanifold of real dimension $m$.

- (Gauss) $\nabla_{X}^{N} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+\sigma(X, Y)$
- (Weingarten) $\nabla_{X}^{N} V=-A_{V} X+\nabla_{X}^{\perp} V$
$N^{n}(\rho)=\mathbb{C} P^{n}(4)$
The biharmonic equation is

$$
\tau_{2}(i)=m\left\{\Delta H+m H-3 J(J H)^{\top}\right\}=0
$$

## Biharmonic submanifolds of $\mathbb{C} P^{n}$

## Proposition

If JH is tangent to $\Sigma^{m}$, then $\Sigma^{m}$ is biharmonic iff

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta^{\perp} H+\operatorname{trace} \sigma\left(\cdot, A_{H}(\cdot)\right)-(m+3) H=0 \\
4 \operatorname{trace} A_{\nabla_{(\cdot)}^{\perp} H}(\cdot)+m \operatorname{grad}\left(|H|^{2}\right)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem (F. - Loubeau - Montaldo - Oniciuc, 2010)
If JH is tangent to $\Sigma^{m}$ and $|H|=$ constant $\neq 0$, then
(1) If $\Sigma^{m}$ is proper-biharmonic, then $|H|^{2} \in\left(0, \frac{m+3}{m}\right]$.
(2) If $|H|^{2}=\frac{m+3}{m}$, then $\Sigma^{m}$ is proper-biharmonic iff it is pseudo umbilical, i.e., $A_{H}=|H|^{2} \mathrm{Id}$, and $\nabla^{\perp} H=0$.

## Remark

The upper bound of $|\mathrm{H}|^{2}$ is reached for curves.

## Biharmonic submanifolds of $\mathbb{C} P^{n}$

## Proposition

If JH is normal to $\Sigma^{m}$, then $\Sigma^{m}$ is biharmonic if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta^{\perp} H+\operatorname{trace} \sigma\left(\cdot, A_{H}(\cdot)\right)-m H=0 \\
4 \operatorname{trace} A_{\nabla \frac{\downarrow}{(\cdot)} H}(\cdot)+m \operatorname{grad}\left(|H|^{2}\right)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Moreover, if $\Sigma^{m}$ has parallel mean curvature, i.e., $\nabla^{\perp} H=0$, then it is biharmonic iff

$$
\operatorname{trace} \sigma\left(\cdot, A_{H}(\cdot)\right)=m H
$$

Theorem (F. - Loubeau - Montaldo - Oniciuc, 2010)
If JH is normal to $\Sigma^{m}$ and $|H|=$ constant $\neq 0$, then
(1) If $\Sigma^{m}$ is proper-biharmonic, then $|H|^{2} \in(0,1]$.
(2) If $|H|^{2}=1$, then $\Sigma^{m}$ is proper-biharmonic iff it is pseudo-umbilical and $\nabla^{\perp} H=0$.

Remark
The upper bound is reached for curves.

## The Hopf fibration and the biharmonic equation

- let $\pi: \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{n}$ be the natural projection.
- the restriction to the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2 n+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is the Hopf fibration

$$
\pi: \mathbb{S}^{2 n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{n}
$$

- $\bar{\Sigma}=\pi^{-1}\left(\Sigma^{m}\right)$ is the Hopf tube over $\Sigma^{m} \subset \mathbb{C} P^{n}(4)$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\bar{\Sigma} \xrightarrow{\bar{i}} \mathbb{S}^{2 n+1} \\
\downarrow & & \\
\Sigma \xrightarrow{i} & \downarrow \\
\Sigma & \mathbb{C} P^{n}
\end{array}
$$

## The Hopf fibration and the biharmonic equation

Theorem (F.- Loubeau - Montaldo - Oniciuc, 2010)
Let $i: \Sigma^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{n}$ be an m-dimensional submanifold and
$\bar{i}: \bar{\Sigma}=\pi^{-1}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2 n+1}$ the corresponding Hopf-tube. Then we have

$$
\left(\tau_{2}(i)\right)^{H}=\tau_{2}(\bar{i})-4 \hat{J}(\hat{J} \tau(\bar{i}))^{\top}+2 \operatorname{div}\left((\hat{J} \tau(\bar{i}))^{\top}\right) \xi
$$

where $\bar{X}=X^{H}$ is the horizontal lift with respect to the Hopf map, $\xi$ is the Hopf vector field on $\mathbb{S}^{2 n+1}$ tangent to the fibres of the Hopf fibration, i.e., $\xi(p)=-\widehat{J} p$ at any $p \in \mathbb{S}^{2 n+1}$, and $\hat{J}$ is the complex structure of $\mathbb{R}^{2 n+2}$.

Remark

- If $\hat{J} \tau(\bar{i})$ is normal to $\bar{\Sigma}$, then $\tau_{2}(i)=0$ iff $\tau_{2}(\bar{i})=0$.
- If $\hat{J} \tau(\bar{i})$ is tangent to $\bar{\Sigma}$, then $\tau_{2}(i)=0$ and $\operatorname{div}_{\Sigma}\left((J \tau(i))^{\top}\right)=0$ iff $\tau_{2}(\bar{i})+4 \tau(\bar{i})=0$.


## The Hopf fibration and the biharmonic equation

## Theorem (Reckziegel, 1985)

A totally real isometric immersion $i: \Sigma^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{n}(\rho)$ can be lifted locally (or globally, if $\Sigma^{m}$ is simply connected) to a horizontal immersion $\widetilde{i}: \widetilde{\Sigma}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2 n+1}(\rho / 4)$. Conversely, if $\widetilde{i}: \widetilde{\Sigma}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2 n+1}(\rho / 4)$ is a horizontal isometric immersion, then $\pi(\widetilde{i}): \Sigma^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{n}(\rho)$ is a totally real isometric immersion. Moreover, we have $\pi_{*} \tilde{\sigma}=\sigma$, where $\widetilde{\sigma}$ and $\sigma$ are the second fundamental forms of the immersions $\widetilde{i}$ and $i$, respectively.
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## Proposition (F.-Loubeau-Montaldo-Oniciuc, 2010)

Let $\widetilde{i}: \widetilde{\Sigma}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2 n+1}(\rho / 4)$ be a horizontal isometric immersion and consider the totally real isometric immersion $i=\pi(\widetilde{i}): \Sigma^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{n}(\rho)$.
Then

$$
\left.\left(\tau_{2}(i)\right)^{H}=\tau_{2}(\widetilde{i})-4 \hat{J}(\hat{J} \tau(\widetilde{i}))^{\top}+2 \operatorname{div}_{\widetilde{\Sigma}^{m}}((\hat{J} \tau \widetilde{i}))^{\top}\right) \xi .
$$

## Curves in $\mathbb{C} P^{n}$

## Definition

A curve $\gamma: I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{n}(\rho)$ parametrized by arc-length is called a Frenet curve of osculating order $r, 1 \leq r \leq 2 n$, if there exist $r$ orthonormal vector fields $\left\{E_{1}=\gamma^{\prime}, \ldots, E_{r}\right\}$ along $\gamma$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nabla_{E_{1}}^{\mathbb{C} P^{n}} E_{1}=\kappa_{1} E_{2} \\
\nabla_{E_{1}}^{\mathbb{C} P^{n}} E_{i}=-\kappa_{i-1} E_{i-1}+\kappa_{i} E_{i+1} \\
\cdots \\
\nabla_{E_{1}}^{\mathbb{C} P^{n}} E_{r}=-\kappa_{r-1} E_{r-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $i \in\{2, \ldots, r-1\}$, where $\left\{\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}, \ldots, \kappa_{r-1}\right\}$ are positive functions on I called the curvatures of $\gamma$.

## Curves in $\mathbb{C} P^{n}$

- a Frenet curve of osculating order $r$ is called a helix of order $r$ if $\kappa_{i}=$ constant $>0$ for $1 \leq i \leq r-1$. A helix of order 2 is called a circle, and a helix of order 3 is called a helix
- the complex torsions $\tau_{i j}$ of the curve $\gamma$ are given by

$$
\tau_{i j}=\left\langle E_{i}, J E_{j}\right\rangle
$$

where $1 \leq i<j \leq r$

- a helix of order $r$ is called a holomorphic helix of order $r$ if all its complex torsions are constant


## The existence of holomorphic helices

Theorem (Maeda-Adachi, 1997)
For given positive constants $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}$, and $\kappa_{3}$, there exist four equivalence classes of holomorphic helices of order 4 in $\mathbb{C} P^{2}(\rho)$ with curvatures $\kappa_{1}$, $\kappa_{2}$, and $\kappa_{3}$ with respect to holomorphic isometries of $\mathbb{C} P^{2}(\rho)$.

Theorem (Maeda-Adachi, 1997)
For any positive number $\kappa$ and for any number $\tau$, such that $|\tau|<1$, there exits a holomorphic circle with curvature $\kappa$ and complex torsion $\tau$ in any complex space form.

## Biharmonic pmc surfaces in $\mathbb{C} P^{n}(\rho)$

Definition
If the mean curvature vector field $H$ of a surface $\Sigma^{2}$ immersed in a complex space form is parallel in the normal bundle, i.e., $\nabla^{\perp} H=0$, then $\Sigma^{2}$ is called a pmc surface.

## Biharmonic pmc surfaces in $\mathbb{C} P^{n}(\rho)$

## Definition

If the mean curvature vector field $H$ of a surface $\Sigma^{2}$ immersed in a complex space form is parallel in the normal bundle, i.e., $\nabla^{\perp} H=0$, then $\Sigma^{2}$ is called a pmc surface.

Theorem (F., 2012)
The ( 2,0 )-part $Q^{(2,0)}$ of the quadratic form $Q$ defined on a pmc surface $\Sigma^{2} \subset N^{n}(\rho)$ by

$$
Q(X, Y)=8|H|^{2}\left\langle A_{H} X, Y\right\rangle+3 \rho\langle X, T\rangle\langle Y, T\rangle,
$$

where $T=(J H)^{\top}$ is the tangent part of $J H$, is holomorphic.

## Biharmonic pmc surfaces in $\mathbb{C} P^{n}(\rho)$

Theorem (F. - Pinheiro, 2015)
Let $\Sigma^{2}$ be a complete non-minimal pmc surface with non-negative Gaussian curvature $K$ isometrically immersed in a complex space form $N^{n}(\rho), \rho \neq 0$. Then one of the following holds:
(1) the surface is flat;
(2) there exists a point $p \in \Sigma^{2}$ such that $K(p)>0$ and $Q^{(2,0)}$ vanishes on $\Sigma^{2}$.

## Biharmonic pmc surfaces in $\mathbb{C} P^{n}(\rho)$

Sketch of the proof

- Consider a symmetric traceless operator $S$ on $\Sigma^{2}$, given by

$$
S=8|H|^{2} A_{H}+3 \rho\langle T, \cdot\rangle T-\left(\frac{3 \rho}{2}|T|^{2}+8|H|^{4}\right) \mathrm{Id}
$$

- $\langle S X, Y\rangle=Q(X, Y)-\frac{\text { trace } Q}{2}\langle X, Y\rangle$
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## Biharmonic pmc surfaces in $\mathbb{C} P^{n}(\rho)$

Sketch of the proof

- Consider a symmetric traceless operator $S$ on $\Sigma^{2}$, given by

$$
S=8|H|^{2} A_{H}+3 \rho\langle T, \cdot\rangle T-\left(\frac{3 \rho}{2}|T|^{2}+8|H|^{4}\right) \mathrm{Id}
$$

- $\langle S X, Y\rangle=Q(X, Y)-\frac{\operatorname{trace} Q}{2}\langle X, Y\rangle$
- [Cheng-Yau, 1977] $\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \Delta|S|^{2}=2 K|S|^{2}+|\nabla S|^{2} \geq 0$ where $K$ is the Gaussian curvature of the surface
- $K \geq 0\left(\Rightarrow \Sigma^{2}=\right.$ parabolic $) \Rightarrow|S|^{2}=$ bounded $\Rightarrow$ Q.E.D.


## Biharmonic pmc surfaces in $\mathbb{C} P^{n}(\rho)$

## Proposition

Let $\Sigma^{2}$ be a pmc surface in a complex space form $(N(\rho), J,\langle\rangle$,$) . Then$ $\Sigma^{2}$ is biharmonic iff

$$
\operatorname{trace} \sigma\left(\cdot, A_{H} \cdot\right)=\frac{\rho}{4}\left\{2 H-3(J T)^{\perp}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad(J T)^{\top}=0
$$

where $T$ is the tangent part of $J H$.
Remark
Proper-biharmonic pmc surfaces exist only in $\mathbb{C} P^{n}(\rho)$, since

$$
0<\left|A_{H}\right|^{2}=\frac{\rho}{4}\left\{2|H|^{2}+3|T|^{2}\right\}
$$

## Biharmonic pmc surfaces in $\mathbb{C} P^{n}(\rho)$

Proposition (F. - Pinheiro, 2015)
If $\Sigma^{2}$ is a proper-biharmonic pmc surface in $\mathbb{C} P^{n}(\rho)$ then $T=(J H)^{\top}$ has constant length. Moreover, if $|T|=$ constant $\neq 0$, then $\nabla T=0$.
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Moreover, the mean curvature of $\Sigma^{2}$ is $|H|=\sqrt{\rho} / 2$.

## Biharmonic pmc surfaces in $\mathbb{C} P^{n}(\rho)$
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If $\Sigma^{2}$ is a proper-biharmonic pmc surface in $\mathbb{C} P^{n}(\rho)$ then $T=(J H)^{\top}$ has constant length. Moreover, if $|T|=$ constant $\neq 0$, then $\nabla T=0$.

Proposition (F. - Pinheiro, 2015)
If $\Sigma^{2}$ is a complete proper-biharmonic pmc surface in $\mathbb{C} P^{n}(\rho)$ with $K \geq 0$ and $T=0$, then $n \geq 3$ and $\Sigma^{2}$ is pseudo-umbilical and totally real.
Moreover, the mean curvature of $\Sigma^{2}$ is $|H|=\sqrt{\rho} / 2$.

Proposition (F. - Pinheiro, 2015)
If $\Sigma^{2}$ is a complete proper-biharmonic pmc surface in $\mathbb{C} P^{n}(\rho)$ with $K \geq 0$ and $T \neq 0$, then the surface is flat and $\nabla A_{H}=0$.

## Biharmonic pmc surfaces in $\mathbb{C} P^{n}(\rho)$

Theorem (Balmuş - Montaldo - Oniciuc, 2008)
Let $\Sigma^{m}$ be a proper-biharmonic cmc submanifold in $\mathbb{S}^{n}(\rho / 4)$ with mean curvature vector field $H$. Then $|H| \in(0, \sqrt{\rho} / 2]$ and, moreover, $|H|=\sqrt{\rho} / 2$ if and only if $\Sigma^{m}$ is minimal in a small hypersphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(\rho / 2) \subset \mathbb{S}^{n}(\rho / 4)$.

## The classification theorem

## Theorem (F. - Pinheiro, 2015)

Let $\Sigma^{2}$ be a complete proper-biharmonic pmc surface with non-negative Gaussian curvature in $\mathbb{C} P^{n}(\rho)$. Then $\Sigma^{2}$ is totally real and either
(1) $\Sigma^{2}$ is pseudo-umbilical and its mean curvature is equal to $\sqrt{\rho} / 2$. Moreover, $\Sigma^{2}=\pi\left(\widetilde{\Sigma}^{2}\right) \subset \mathbb{C} P^{n}(\rho), n \geq 3$, where $\pi: \mathbb{S}^{2 n+1}(\rho / 4) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{n}(\rho)$ is the Hopf fibration and the horizontal lift $\tilde{\Sigma}^{2}$ of $\Sigma^{2}$ is a complete minimal surface in a small hypersphere $\mathbb{S}^{2 n}(\rho / 2) \subset \mathbb{S}^{2 n+1}(\rho / 4)$; or
(2) $\Sigma^{2}$ lies in $\mathbb{C} P^{2}(\rho)$ as a complete Lagrangian proper-biharmonic pmc surface.

Moreover, if $\rho=4$, then

$$
\Sigma^{2}=\pi\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{9 \pm \sqrt{41}}{20}}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{11 \mp \sqrt{41}}{40}}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{11 \mp \sqrt{41}}{40}}\right)\right) \subset \mathbb{C} P^{2}(4) ; \text { or }
$$

(3) $\Sigma^{2}$ lies in $\mathbb{C} P^{3}(\rho)$ and $\Sigma^{2}=\gamma_{1} \times \gamma_{2} \subset \mathbb{C} P^{3}(\rho)$, where $\gamma_{1}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{2}(\rho) \subset \mathbb{C} P^{3}(\rho)$ is a holomorphic helix of order 4 with curvatures $\kappa_{1}=\sqrt{\frac{7 \rho}{6}}, \kappa_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{5 \rho}{42}}, \kappa_{3}=\frac{3}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{42}}$ and complex torsions $\tau_{12}=-\tau_{34}=\frac{11 \sqrt{14}}{42}, \tau_{23}=-\tau_{14}=\frac{\sqrt{70}}{42}, \tau_{13}=\tau_{2}=0$, and $\gamma_{2}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{3}(\rho)$ is a circle with curvature $\kappa=\sqrt{\rho / 2}$ and complex torsion $\tau_{12}=0$. Moreover, $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ always exist and are unique up to holomorphic isometries.

## The classification theorem (the proof)

Case I: $T=(J H)^{\top}=0$

- let $\pi: \mathbb{S}^{2 n+1}(\rho / 4) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{n}(\rho)$ be the Hopf fibration and $\widetilde{\Sigma}^{2}$ the horizontal lift of $\Sigma^{2}$
- $\Sigma^{2}=$ proper-biharmonic $\Rightarrow \Sigma^{2}=$ pseudo-umbilical and totally real with $|H|=\sqrt{\rho} / 2$
- [Reckziegel, 1985] $\Rightarrow \widetilde{\Sigma}^{2} \subset \mathbb{S}^{2 n+1}(\rho / 4)$ is pseudo-umbilical and pmc
- [F. - L. - M. - O., 2010] and $T=0 \Rightarrow \Sigma^{2}=$ proper-biharmonic iff $\widetilde{\Sigma}^{2}=$ proper-biharmonic
- [Balmuş - Montaldo - Oniciuc, 2008] $\Rightarrow \widetilde{\Sigma}^{2}=$ minimal in a small hypersphere $\mathbb{S}^{2 n}(\rho / 2) \subset \mathbb{S}^{2 n+1}(\rho / 4)$


## The classification theorem (the proof)

Case II: $T=(J H)^{\top} \neq 0$

- $\Sigma^{2}=$ proper-biharmonic $\Rightarrow \Sigma^{2}=$ totally real and flat with $\nabla A_{H}=0$
- $U=$ normal, $U \perp H, U \perp J\left(T \Sigma^{2}\right)$, Ricci eq. $\Rightarrow\left[A_{H}, A_{U}\right]=0$
- $\Sigma^{2} \neq$ pseudo-umbilical $(|T|=$ constant $\neq 0) \Rightarrow A_{U}=0$
- consider the global orthonormal frame field $\left\{E_{1}=T /|T|, E_{2}\right\}$ on $\Sigma^{2}$ [F. - P., 2015] $\Rightarrow \nabla E_{1}=0$ and $\nabla E_{2}=0$
- if $J H=$ tangent $(|T|=|H|)$, then $L=\operatorname{span}\left\{J E_{1}, J E_{2}\right\} \subset N \Sigma^{2}$ is parallel $\left(\nabla^{\perp} L \subset L\right)$, $J\left(T \Sigma^{2} \oplus L\right)=T \Sigma^{2} \oplus L$
[Eschenburg - Tribuzy, 1993] $\Rightarrow \Sigma^{2}$ is a complete Lagrangian proper-biharmonic pmc surface in $\mathbb{C} P^{2}(\rho)$
- $\rho=4$ : [Sasahara, 2007] $\Rightarrow(2)$


## The classification theorem (the proof)

- if $J H \neq$ tangent $(|T|<|H|)$, then
$L=\operatorname{span}\left\{E_{3}=J E_{1}, E_{4}=J E_{2}, E_{5}=\frac{1}{|N|} J N, E_{6}=\frac{1}{|N|} N\right\} \subset N \Sigma^{2}$ is parallel,
$J\left(T \Sigma^{2} \oplus L\right)=T \Sigma^{2} \oplus L\left(\right.$ where $\left.N=(J H)^{\perp}\right)$
[Eschenburg - Tribuzy, 1993] $\Rightarrow \Sigma^{2}$ lies in $\mathbb{C} P^{3}(\rho)$
- $\Sigma^{2}=$ totally real, Ricci eq., $\operatorname{trace}\left(A_{H} A_{U}\right)=(\rho / 4)\{2\langle H, U\rangle-3\langle J T, U\rangle\}, K=0$
$\Rightarrow|H|=\frac{\rho}{3}$ and

$$
A_{3}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{3}}\left(\begin{array}{rr}
-\frac{11}{3} & 0  \tag{1}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{4}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{3}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{5}=-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{5 \rho}{3}}\left(\begin{array}{rr}
-\frac{1}{3} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{6}=0
$$

- $\nabla E_{1}=\nabla E_{2}=0$, de Rham Decomposition Theorem $\Rightarrow \Sigma^{2}=\gamma_{1} \times \gamma_{2}$
- (1) and [Maeda - Adachi, 1997] $\Rightarrow$ (3)
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