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## I. Review

The nature of $(1+1)$-dimensional integrable systems is now well understood [1].
Nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLSE)

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \varphi_{t}+\varphi_{x x}+2|\varphi|^{2} \varphi=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\varphi(x, t)\right|_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi(x, t)$ is a complex-valued function (classical charged field), subscripts mean the partial derivatives of the corresponding variables.
[1] M.J. Ablowitz and P.A. Clarkson, Solitons, Non-linear Evolution Equations and Inverse Scattering (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992).

The integrability of the NLSE (1) through the IST is realized by the following Lax pair:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{x}=U \Phi  \tag{3a}\\
& \Phi_{t}=V \Phi \tag{3b}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\lambda U_{1}+U_{0} \tag{4a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{1}=\frac{1}{2 i}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right), \quad U_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & i \bar{\varphi} \\
i \varphi & 0
\end{array}\right) . \\
V=\lambda^{2} V_{2}+\lambda V_{1}+V_{0} \tag{4b}
\end{gather*}
$$

with

$$
V_{2}=-U_{1}, \quad V_{1}=-U_{0}, \quad V_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-i|\varphi|^{2} & \bar{\varphi}_{x} \\
-\varphi_{x} & i|\varphi|^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

An interesting subclass of integrable systems, useful both from the mathematical and physical points of view, is the set of integrable spin systems.
(1+1)-dimensional isotropic classical continuous Heisenberg ferromagnet model (HFM):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{S}_{t}=\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{S}_{x x} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathbf{S}\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}\right)\right|_{x \rightarrow \infty} \rightarrow(0,0, \pm 1) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{S}(x, t)$ is a spin vector, $\times$ means a vector product. The range of the value of $\mathbf{S}$ is a subset of the unit sphere in $R^{3}$.

The integrability of the HFM (5) using the IST problem is associated with the compatibility condition of the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{x}=U \Phi  \tag{7a}\\
& \Phi_{t}=V \Phi \tag{7b}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\frac{i}{2} \lambda S, \quad V=\frac{i \lambda^{2}}{2} S+\frac{\lambda}{4}\left[S, S_{x}\right] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the identification of the first integrable Heisenberg spin systems $[2,3]$, several other integrable spin systems in $(1+1)$-dimensional have been identified and investigated through geometrical and gauge equivalence concepts and its the IST method.
[2] M. Lakshmanan, Phys. Lett. A 61 (1977) 53.
[3] L.A. Takhtajan, Phys. Lett. A 64 (1977) 235.

## Integrable spin systems in (2+1)-dimensions

The equation (5) admits a series of integrable (2+1)-dimensional generalizations. One of them is the following equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{S}_{t}=\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{S}_{x y}+u \mathbf{S}_{x}  \tag{9a}\\
& u_{x}=-\mathbf{S} \cdot\left(\mathbf{S}_{x} \times \mathbf{S}_{y}\right) \tag{9b}
\end{align*}
$$

Line system for eq.(9)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi_{1 x}=U_{1} \Phi_{1}  \tag{10a}\\
\Phi_{1 t}=\beta \lambda \Phi_{1 y}+V_{1} \Phi_{1} \tag{10b}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{1}=\frac{i}{2} \lambda S  \tag{11a}\\
V_{1}=\alpha\left(\frac{i \lambda^{2}}{2} S+\frac{\lambda}{4}\left[S, S_{x}\right]\right)+\beta \frac{\lambda}{4}\left(\left[S, S_{y}\right]+2 i u S\right) \tag{11b}
\end{gather*}
$$

The integrable spin equation (9) is investigated in [4]. It is shown that its geometrical and gauge equivalent counterparts are the $(2+1)$-dimensional non-linear Schrödinger equation belonging to the class of equations discovered by Calogero and then discussed by Zakharov and studied by Strachan. It has the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
i q_{t}-\alpha q_{x x}-\beta q_{x y}-v q=0  \tag{12a}\\
i p_{t}+\alpha p_{x x}+\beta p_{x y}+v p=0,  \tag{12b}\\
v_{x}=2\left[\alpha(p q)_{x}+\beta(p q)_{y}\right] \tag{12c}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ - real constants, $q$ and $p$-complex-valued functions, $v$ is a potential.
[4] R. Myrzakulov, S. Vijayalakshmi, G. Nugmanova, M. Lakshmanan. A (2+1)-dimensional integrable spin model: Geometrical and gauge equivalent counterpart, solitons and localized coherent structures. Phys. Lett. A 233 (1997) 391-396.
[5] Chen Chi, Zhou Zi-Xiang. Darboux Transformation and Exact Solutions of the Myrzakulov-I Equation, Chinese Physics Letters, v26, N8, 080504 (2009)
[6] Chen Hai, Zhou Zi-Xiang. Darboux Transformation with a Double Spectral Parameter for the Myrzakulov-I Equation, Chinese Physics Letters., v31, N12, 120504 (2014)
[7] Chen Hai, Zhou Zi-Xiang. Global explicit solutions with n double spectral parameters for the Myrzakulov-l equation, Modern Physics Letters B, v30, N29, 1650358 (2016)

## II. Results

Integrable spin system with self-consistent potentials
The integrable Heisenberg ferromagnetic equation reads as

$$
\begin{align*}
i S_{t}+\frac{1}{2}\left[S, S_{x x}\right]+\frac{1}{\omega}[S, W] & =0  \tag{1}\\
i W_{x}+\omega[S, W] & =0 \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $S=S_{i} \sigma_{i}, W=W_{i} \sigma_{i}, S^{2}=I, \quad W^{2}=b(t) I, \quad b(t)=$ $\operatorname{const}(t), I=\operatorname{diag}(1,1),[A, B]=A B-B A, \omega$ is a real constant and $\sigma_{i}$ are Pauli matrices.

The Lax representation can be written in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{x} & =U \Phi  \tag{3}\\
\Phi_{t} & =V \Phi \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where the matrix operators $U$ and $V$ have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
U & =-i \lambda S  \tag{5}\\
V & =\lambda^{2} V_{2}+\lambda V_{1}+\left(\frac{i}{\lambda+\omega}-\frac{i}{\omega}\right) W \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{2} & =-2 i S, \quad V_{1}=0.5\left[S, S_{x}\right]  \tag{7}\\
S & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
S_{3} & S^{+} \\
S^{-} & -S_{3}
\end{array}\right), \quad W=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
W_{3} & W^{+} \\
W^{-} & -W_{3}
\end{array}\right) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure: One-soliton solution


Figure: The interaction of two solitons


Figure: The interaction of three solitons


Figure: The relationship between the spin vector and the vector potential

## 1-layer spin system

Consider the spin vector $\mathbf{A}=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}\right)$, where $\mathbf{A}^{2}=1$. Let this spin vector obey the 1-layer spin system which reads as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}_{t}+\mathbf{A} \wedge \mathbf{A}_{x x}+u_{1} \mathbf{A}_{x}+\mathbf{F}=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{1}\left(x, t, A_{j}, A_{j x}\right)$ is the potential, $\mathbf{F}$ is some vector function. The matrix form of the spin system looks like

$$
\begin{equation*}
i A_{t}+\frac{1}{2}\left[A, A_{x x}\right]+i u_{1} A_{x}+F=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{3} & A^{-} \\
A^{+} & -A_{3}
\end{array}\right), \quad A^{2}=I=\operatorname{diag}(1,1), \quad A^{ \pm}=A_{1} \pm i A_{2}  \tag{11}\\
F=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
F_{3} & F^{-} \\
F^{+} & -F_{3}
\end{array}\right), \quad F^{ \pm}=F_{1} \pm i F_{2} . \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

We consider the following particular case of the spin system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}_{t}+\mathbf{A} \wedge \mathbf{A}_{x x}+u_{1} \mathbf{A}_{x}+v_{1} \mathbf{H} \wedge \mathbf{A}=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{1}\left(x, t, A_{j}, A_{j x}\right)$ is the potential, $\mathbf{H}=(0,0,1)$ is the constant magnetic field. It is interesting to note that the integrable 2 -layer spin system contains constant magnetic field $\mathbf{H}$. It seems that this constant magnetic vector plays an important role in theory of "integrable multilayer spin system" and in nonlinear dynamics of magnetic systems.

## Geometrical equivalent counterpart

Let us find the geometrical equivalent counterpart of the 1-layer spin system (13). To do that, consider 3-dimensional curve in $R^{3}$. This curve is given by the following vectors $\mathbf{e}_{k}$. These vectors satisfy the following equations

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{e}_{1}  \tag{14}\\
\mathbf{e}_{2} \\
\mathbf{e}_{3}
\end{array}\right)_{x}=C\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{e}_{1} \\
\mathbf{e}_{2} \\
\mathbf{e}_{3}
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{e}_{1} \\
\mathbf{e}_{2} \\
\mathbf{e}_{3}
\end{array}\right)_{t}=D\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{e}_{1} \\
\mathbf{e}_{2} \\
\mathbf{e}_{3}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Here $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{3}$ are the unit tangent, normal and binormal vectors to the curve. The matrices $C$ and $G$ have the forms

$$
C=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & k_{1} & 0  \tag{15}\\
-k_{1} & 0 & \tau_{1} \\
0 & -\tau_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad G=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \omega_{3} & -\omega_{2} \\
-\omega_{3} & 0 & \omega_{1} \\
\omega_{2} & -\omega_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

The curvature and torsion of the curve are given by the following formulas

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}=\sqrt{\mathbf{e}_{1 \times}^{2}}, \quad \tau_{1}=\frac{\mathbf{e}_{1} \cdot\left(\mathbf{e}_{1 \times} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{1 x x}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{1 \times}^{2}} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The compatibility condition of the equations (14) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{t}-G_{x}+[C, G]=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

or in elements

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{1 t} & =\omega_{3 x}+\tau_{1} \omega_{2}  \tag{18}\\
\tau_{1 t} & =\omega_{1 x}-k_{1} \omega_{2}  \tag{19}\\
\omega_{2 x} & =\tau_{1} \omega_{3}-k_{1} \omega_{1} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we do the following identifications:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{e}_{1}, \quad \mathbf{F}=F_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+F_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}+F_{3} \mathbf{e}_{3} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{1}^{2} & =\mathbf{A}_{x}^{2}  \tag{22}\\
\tau_{1} & =\frac{\mathbf{A} \cdot\left(\mathbf{A}_{x} \wedge \mathbf{A}_{x x}\right)}{\mathbf{A}_{x}^{2}}, \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{1}=-\frac{k_{1 x x}+F_{2} \tau_{1}+F_{3 x}}{k_{1}}+\left(\tau_{1}-u_{1}\right) \tau_{1}  \tag{24}\\
& \omega_{2}=k_{1 x}+F_{3}  \tag{25}\\
& \omega_{3}=k_{1}\left(\tau_{1}-u_{1}\right)-F_{2} \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

with $F_{1}=E_{1}=0$. The equations for $k_{1}$ and $\tau_{1}$ reads as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{1 t}=2 k_{1 x} \tau_{1}+k_{1} \tau_{1 x}-\left(u_{1} k_{1}\right)_{x}-F_{2 x}+F_{3} \tau_{1}, \\
& \left.\tau_{1 t}=\left[-\frac{k_{1 x x}+F_{2} \tau_{1}+F_{3 x}}{k_{1}}+\left(\tau_{1}-u_{1}\right) \tau_{1}-\frac{1}{2} k_{1}^{2}\right]_{x}-F_{3} H_{1} 8\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we introduce a new complex function as

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}=\frac{\kappa_{1}}{2} e^{-i \partial_{x}^{-1} \tau_{1}} . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

This function satisfies the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
i q_{1 t}+q_{1 x x}+2\left|q_{1}\right|^{2} q_{1}+\ldots=0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is the desired geometrical equivalent counterpart of the spin system (9). If $u_{1}=v_{1}=0$, it turns to the NLSE

$$
\begin{equation*}
i q_{1 t}+q_{1 x x}+2\left|q_{1}\right|^{2} q_{1}=0 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2-layer spin system

Now we consider two spin vectors $\mathbf{A}=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}\right)$ and $\mathbf{B}=$ $\left(B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}\right)$, where $\mathbf{A}^{2}=\mathbf{B}^{2}=1$. Let these spin vectors satisfy the following 2-layer spin system or the coupled spin system

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{A}_{t}+\mathbf{A} \wedge \mathbf{A}_{x x}+u_{1} \mathbf{A}_{x}+2 v_{1} \mathbf{H} \wedge \mathbf{A}=0  \tag{32}\\
& \mathbf{B}_{t}+\mathbf{B} \wedge \mathbf{B}_{x x}+u_{2} \mathbf{B}_{x}+2 v_{2} \mathbf{H} \wedge \mathbf{B}=0 \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

or in matrix form

$$
\begin{align*}
& i A_{t}+\frac{1}{2}\left[A, A_{x x}\right]+i u_{1} A_{x}+v_{1}\left[\sigma_{3}, A\right]=0  \tag{34}\\
& i B_{t}+\frac{1}{2}\left[B, B_{x x}\right]+i u_{2} B_{x}+v_{2}\left[\sigma_{3}, B\right]=0 \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbf{H}=(0,0,1)^{T}$ is the constant magnetic field, $u_{j}$ and $v_{j}$ are coupling potentials.

## The geometrical equivalent counterpart

In this subsection we present the geometrical equivalent counterpart of the 2-layer spin systems (32)-(33). Now we consider two interacting 3-dimensional curves in $R^{n}$. These curves are given by the following two basic vectors $\mathbf{e}_{k}$ and $\mathbf{I}_{k}$. The motion of these curves is defined by the following equations

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{e}_{1}  \tag{36}\\
\mathbf{e}_{2} \\
\mathbf{e}_{3}
\end{array}\right)_{x}=C\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{e}_{1} \\
\mathbf{e}_{2} \\
\mathbf{e}_{3}
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{e}_{1} \\
\mathbf{e}_{2} \\
\mathbf{e}_{3}
\end{array}\right)_{t}=D\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{e}_{1} \\
\mathbf{e}_{2} \\
\mathbf{e}_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{I}_{1}  \tag{37}\\
\mathbf{I}_{2} \\
\mathbf{I}_{3}
\end{array}\right)_{x}=L\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{I}_{1} \\
\mathbf{I}_{2} \\
\mathbf{I}_{3}
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{I}_{1} \\
\mathbf{I}_{2} \\
\mathbf{I}_{3}
\end{array}\right)_{t}=N\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{I}_{1} \\
\mathbf{I}_{2} \\
\mathbf{I}_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Here $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{3}$ are the unit tangent, normal and binormal vectors respectively to the first curve, $\mathbf{I}_{1}, \mathbf{I}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{I}_{3}$ are the unit tangent, normal and binormal vectors respectively to the second curve, $x$ is the arclength parametrising these both curves. The matrices $C, D, L, N$ are given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
C=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & k_{1} & 0 \\
-k_{1} & 0 & \tau_{1} \\
0 & -\tau_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad G=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \omega_{3} & -\omega_{2} \\
-\omega_{3} & 0 & \omega_{1} \\
\omega_{2} & -\omega_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right),  \tag{38}\\
L=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & k_{2} & 0 \\
-k_{2} & 0 & \tau_{2} \\
0 & -\tau_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad N=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \theta_{3} & -\theta_{2} \\
-\theta_{3} & 0 & \theta_{1} \\
\theta_{2} & -\theta_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right) . \tag{39}
\end{gather*}
$$

For the curvatures and torsions of curves we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
k_{1}=\sqrt{\mathbf{e}_{1 x}^{2}}, & \tau_{1}=\frac{\mathbf{e}_{1} \cdot\left(\mathbf{e}_{1 x} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{1 x x}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{1 x}^{2}} \\
k_{2}=\sqrt{\mathbf{l}_{1 x}^{2}}, & \tau_{2}=\frac{\mathbf{l}_{1} \cdot\left(\mathbf{l}_{1 x} \wedge \mathbf{I}_{1 x x}\right)}{\mathbf{l}_{1 x}^{2}} \tag{41}
\end{array}
$$

The equations (36) and (37) are compatible if

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{t}-G_{x}+[C, G] & =0  \tag{42}\\
L_{t}-N_{x}+[L, N] & =0 \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

In elements these equations take the form

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{1 t} & =\omega_{3 x}+\tau_{1} \omega_{2}  \tag{44}\\
\tau_{1 t} & =\omega_{1 x}-k_{1} \omega_{2}  \tag{45}\\
\omega_{2 x} & =\tau_{1} \omega_{3}-k_{1} \omega_{1} \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{2 t} & =\theta_{3 x}+\tau_{2} \theta_{2}  \tag{47}\\
\tau_{2 t} & =\theta_{1 x}-k_{2} \theta_{2}  \tag{48}\\
\theta_{2 x} & =\tau_{2} \theta_{3}-k_{2} \theta_{1} \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

Our next step is the following identifications:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{e}_{1}, \quad \mathbf{B} \equiv \mathbf{I}_{1} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{F}=F_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+F_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}+F_{3} \mathbf{e}_{3}, \quad \mathbf{E}=E_{1} \mathbf{I}_{1}+E_{2} \mathbf{l}_{2}+E_{3} \mathbf{l}_{3} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{F}=2 v_{1} \mathbf{H} \wedge \mathbf{A}, \quad \mathbf{E}=2 v_{2} \mathbf{H} \wedge \mathbf{B} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{1}^{2} & =\mathbf{A}_{x}^{2},  \tag{53}\\
\tau_{1} & =\frac{\mathbf{A} \cdot\left(\mathbf{A}_{x} \wedge \mathbf{A}_{x x}\right)}{\mathbf{A}_{x}^{2}},  \tag{54}\\
k_{2}^{2} & =\mathbf{B}_{x}^{2},  \tag{55}\\
\tau_{2} & =\frac{\mathbf{B} \cdot\left(\mathbf{B}_{x} \wedge \mathbf{B}_{x x}\right)}{\mathbf{B}_{x}^{2}}, \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega_{1} & =-\frac{k_{1 x x}+F_{2} \tau_{1}+F_{3 x}}{k_{1}}+\left(\tau_{1}-u_{1}\right) \tau_{1},  \tag{57}\\
\omega_{2} & =k_{1 x}+F_{3},  \tag{58}\\
\omega_{3} & =k_{1}\left(\tau_{1}-u_{1}\right)-F_{2},  \tag{59}\\
\theta_{1} & =-\frac{k_{2 x x}+E_{2} \tau_{2}+E_{3 x}}{k_{2}}+\left(\tau_{2}-u_{2}\right) \tau_{2},  \tag{60}\\
\theta_{2} & =k_{2 x}+E_{3},  \tag{61}\\
\theta_{3} & =k_{2}\left(\tau_{2}-u_{2}\right)-E_{2} . \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}=E_{1}=0 \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now can write the equations for $k_{j}$ and $\tau_{j}$. They look like

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{k}_{1 t}=2 k_{1 x} \tau_{1}+k_{1} \tau_{1 x}-\left(u_{1} k_{1}\right)_{x}-F_{2 x}+F_{3} \tau_{1},  \tag{64}\\
& \tau_{1 t}=\left[-\frac{k_{1 x x}+F_{2} \tau_{1}+F_{3 x}}{k_{1}}+\left(\tau_{1}-u_{1}\right) \tau_{1}-\frac{1}{2} k_{1}^{2}\right]_{x}-F_{3} k_{1},  \tag{65}\\
& \mathrm{k}_{2 t}=2 k_{2 x} \tau_{2}+k_{2} \tau_{2 x}-\left(u_{2} k_{2}\right)_{x}-E_{2 x}+E_{3} \tau_{2},  \tag{66}\\
& \tau_{2 t}=\left[-\frac{k_{2 x x}+E_{2} \tau_{2}+E_{3 x}}{k_{2}}+\left(\tau_{2}-u_{2}\right) \tau_{2}-\frac{1}{2} k_{2}^{2}\right]_{x}-E_{3} k_{2} . \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us now introduce new four real functions $\alpha_{j}$ and $\beta_{j}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{1} & =0.5 k_{1} \sqrt{1+\zeta_{1}}  \tag{68}\\
\beta_{1} & =\tau_{1}\left(1+\xi_{1}\right)  \tag{69}\\
\alpha_{2} & =0.5 k_{2} \sqrt{1+\zeta_{2}}  \tag{70}\\
\beta_{2} & =\tau_{2}\left(1+\xi_{2}\right) \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

## where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \zeta_{1}=\frac{2\left|W A_{x}^{-}-M A^{-}\right|^{2}}{W^{2}\left(1+A_{3}\right)^{2} A_{x}^{2}}-1,  \tag{72}\\
& \zeta_{2}=\frac{2\left|W\left[\left(1+A_{3}\right)\left(1+B_{3}\right)^{-1} B^{-}\right]_{x}-M\left[\left(1+A_{3}\right)\left(1+B_{3}\right)^{-1} B^{-}\right]\right|^{2}}{W^{2}\left(1+A_{3}\right)^{2} \mathbf{B}_{x}^{2}}-1,  \tag{73}\\
& \xi_{1}=\frac{\bar{R}_{x} R-\bar{R} R_{x}-4 i|R|^{2} v_{x}}{2 i \alpha_{1}^{2} W^{2}\left(1+A_{3}\right)^{2} \tau_{1}}-1,  \tag{74}\\
& \xi_{2}=\frac{\bar{Z}_{x} Z-\bar{Z} Z_{x}-4 i|Z|^{2} v_{x}}{2 i i_{2}^{2} W^{2}\left(1+A_{3}\right)^{2} \tau_{2}}-1 . \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=2+\frac{\left(1+A_{3}\right)\left(1-B_{3}\right)}{1+B_{3}}=2+K \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{A}_{3 x}+\frac{A^{+} A_{x}^{-}}{1+A_{3}}+\frac{A_{3 x}\left(1-B_{3}\right)}{1+B_{3}}+\frac{\left(1+A_{3}\right) B^{+} B_{x}^{-}}{\left(1+B_{3}\right)^{2}}-\frac{\left(1+A_{3}\right)\left(1-B_{3}\right) B_{3 x}}{\left(1+B_{3}\right)^{2}},(77) \\
& \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{WA}_{x}^{-}-M A^{-},  \tag{78}\\
& \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{W}\left[\left(1+\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)\left(1+B_{3}\right)^{-1} B^{-}\right]_{x}-M\left[\left(1+A_{3}\right)\left(1+B_{3}\right)^{-1} B^{-}\right] .(79)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
v=\partial_{x}^{-1}\left[\frac{A_{1} A_{2 x}-A_{1 x} A_{2}}{\left(1+A_{3}\right) W}-\frac{\left(1+A_{3}\right)\left(B_{1 x} B_{2}-B_{1} B_{2 x}\right)}{\left(1+B_{3}\right)^{2} W}\right](.80)
$$

We now ready to write the equations for the functions $\alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{j}$. They satisfy the following four equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{1 t}-2 \alpha_{1 x} \beta_{1}-\alpha_{1} \beta_{1 x} & =0  \tag{81}\\
\beta_{1 t}+\left[\frac{\alpha_{1 x x}}{\alpha_{1}}-\beta_{1}^{2}+2\left(\alpha_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2}\right)\right]_{x} & =0  \tag{82}\\
\alpha_{2 t}-2 \alpha_{2 x} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{2} \beta_{2 x} & =0  \tag{83}\\
\beta_{2 t}+\left[\frac{\alpha_{2 x x}}{\alpha_{2}}-\beta_{2}^{2}+2\left(\alpha_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2}\right)\right]_{x} & =0 . \tag{84}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us now we introduce new two complex functions as

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{1}=\alpha_{1} e^{-i \partial_{x}^{-1} \beta_{1}}  \tag{85}\\
& q_{2}=\alpha_{2} e^{-i \partial_{x}^{-1} \beta_{2}} . \tag{86}
\end{align*}
$$

Sometime we use the following explicit form of the transformation (85) and (86)

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{1}=0.5 k_{1} \sqrt{1+\zeta_{1}} e^{-i \partial_{x}^{-1}\left[\tau_{1}\left(1+\xi_{1}\right)\right]},  \tag{87}\\
& q_{2}=0.5 k_{2} \sqrt{1+\zeta_{2}} e^{-i \partial_{x}^{-1}\left[\tau_{2}\left(1+\xi_{2}\right)\right]} . \tag{88}
\end{align*}
$$

It is not difficult to verify that these functions satisfy the following Manakov system

$$
\begin{align*}
& i q_{1 t}+q_{1 x x}+2\left(\left|q_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|q_{2}\right|^{2}\right) q_{1}=0  \tag{89}\\
& i q_{2 t}+q_{2 x x}+2\left(\left|q_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|q_{2}\right|^{2}\right) q_{2}=0 \tag{90}
\end{align*}
$$

The vector nonlinear Schrödinger equation is associated with symmetric space $S U(n+1) / S(U(1) \otimes U(n))$ [8]. The special case $n=2$ of such symmetric space is associated with the famous Manakov system.
[8] N.A. Kostov, R. Dandoloff, V.S. Gerdjikov and G.G. Grahovski.
The Manakov system as two moving interacting curves, arXiv:0707.0575v1 [nlin.SI] 4 Jul 2007.

## Conclusion

Thus we have shown that the Manakov system (89)-(90) is the geometrical equivalent counterpart of the 2-layer spin systems or, in other terminology, the coupled spin systems (32)-(33). It is interesting to understand the role of the constant magnetic field $\mathbf{H}$. It seems that this constant magnetic vector plays an important role in our construction of integrable multilayer spin systems and in nonlinear dynamics of multilayer magnetic systems.

## Thank you for attention!

